STATE	OF WASHING	TON	
WASHINGTON STATE DAIRY FEDE the WASHINGTON FARM BUREAU, SOUNDKEEPER ALLIANCE, COMMU ASSOCIATION FOR RESTORATION ENVIRONMENT (CARE), FRIENDS TOPPENISH CREEK, SIERRA CLU WATERKEEPER ALLIANCE, CENTE FOOD SAFETY, and RESOURCES SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES,	RATION, PUGET NITY OF THE OF B, R FOR FOR)))) PCHB No. 1))))	L7-016(c)
Appell	ants,)	
vs.)))	
STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPART ECOLOGY,	MENT OF)))	
Respon	dent.)	
Ma Olympi Pages 9	y 23, 2016 a, Washing 00 through	ton 1116	
Tak	en Before:		
Andrea L. Clev Registered P	enger, RPR rofessiona of	, CCR #3041 l Reporter	
Capitol Paci 2401 Bristol Court SW, Tel (360) 352-2054 Fax	fic Report Suite C-10 (360) 705- 407-0148	ing, Inc. 3, Olympia, W 6539 Toll Fr	NA 98502 cee (800)
Tacoma, WA S (253) 564-8494	eattle, WA (206)	Abero 622-9919	leen, WA (360) 532-74
Chehalis, WA (800) 407-014	Bre 8 (800)	emerton, WA 407-0148	
www.capitolp	acificrepo	orting.com	

```
1
      APPEARANCES
2
    For the Appellants:
3
    Charles M. Tebbutt
    Dan Snyder
4
    Sarah Matsumoto
    Law Offices of Charles M. Tebbutt,
5
      PC
б
    941 Lawrence Street
    Eugene, Oregon 97401
7
    541.344.3505
    541.344.3516 Fax
8
    charlie@tebbuttlaw.com
9
    Katelyn J. Kinn
    Attorney at Law
10
    2720 3rd Avenue
    Apt 310
    Seattle, Washington 98121
11
    920.782.0114
12
    katelyn@pugetsoundkeeper.org
13
    For the Department of Ecology:
14
    Phyllis J. Barney
15
    Assistant Attorney General
    2425 Bristol Court SW
16
    PO Box 40117
    Olympia, Washington 98504
17
    360.586.4616
    360.586.6760 Fax
18
    phyllisb@atg.wa.gov
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

APPEARANCES (Continuing) For Washington State Dairy Federation and Washington Farm Bureau: Elizabeth E. Howard Virginia Nicholson Schwabe Williamson 1211 SW 5th Avenue Suite 1900 Portland, Oregon 97204 503.796.2093 ehoward@schwabe.com Board Members: Heather C. Francks Joan Marchioro Kay M. Brown Neil L. Wise

1	EXAMINATION INDEX	
2	EXAMINATION OF:	PAGE NO.
3	David Erickson	
4	Cross (continuing) by Ms. Howard	907
5	Redirect by Mr. Tebbutt	926
6	Exam by Mr. Wise	948
7	Exam by Ms. Brown	949
8	Exam by Ms. Marchioro	952
9	Further exam by Mr. Tebbutt	955
10	Further exam by Ms. Barney	959
11	Further exam by Ms. Howard	962
12	Further exam by Mr. Tebbutt	964
13	Helen Reddout	
14	Direct by Mr. Tebbutt	966
15	Kevin Lindsey, Ph.D.	
16	Direct by Ms. Nicholson	987
17	Cross by Ms. Barney	1023
18	Cross by Mr. Snyder	1026
19	Redirect by Ms. Nicholson	1045
20	Exam by Mr. Wise	1049
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		903

1 EXAMINATION INDEX (Continuing) 2 EXAMINATION OF: PAGE NO. 3 J. Shannon Neibergs, Ph.D. 4 Direct by Ms. Nicholson 1052 5 Cross by Ms. Barney 1082 Cross by Ms. Kinn б 1084 7 Exam by Mr. Wise 1104 8 Exam by Ms. Brown 1111 9 10 EXHIBIT INDEX 11 EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Exhibit No. I-7 Kevin A. Lindsey, PhD, LHg 988 12 Resume. 13 Expert Report of Kevin Lindsey, Exhibit No. I-8 1047 14 PhD, LHg, dated August 17, 2017. 15 Exhibit No. I-9 Shannon Neibergs' Resume. 1054 16 Exhibit No. I-10 Expert Report of J. Shannon 1082 17 Neibergs. Exhibit No. I-17 Diagram of the Vadose Zone. 18 996 19 Exhibit No. I-18 Established Generic Field 1000 Capacity Soil Moisture Values 20 Figure. 21 Exhibit No. I-19 Relative Hydraulic Conductivity 1003 Figure. 22 23 2.4 25 904

1 BE IT REMEMBERED that on Friday, 2 May 25, 2018, at 1111 Israel Road SW, Olympia, 3 Washington, at 8:58 a.m., before ANDREA L. CLEVENGER, 4 CCR, RPR, the following proceedings were had, to wit: 5 6 7 8 JUDGE FRANCKS: So thank you for your 9 estimates yesterday and for reporting the chess clock time that I neglected to collect. 10 11 I would like to keep using the chess clock, and 12 after today, what we're going to do is, we will reconvene 13 on June 5th, and it appears to me that we should be able 14 to get done by later in the day June 6th or possibly the 15 7th. 16 So that's where we're going to go from here. Ι 17 think that's all I wanted to tell you. 18 Any other housekeeping issues this morning, 19 Ms. Barney? 20 MS. BARNEY: Judge Francks, I believe 21 in our letter to you a couple weeks ago, when we were 22 talking about those dates, I indicated that Mr. Jennings 23 is not available --24 JUDGE FRANCKS: Yes, you did. 25 MS. BARNEY: -- during those dates, 905

1 and if I need to call him on rebuttal and we're on dates 2 that he's not available --3 JUDGE FRANCKS: We could add another 4 date if we needed that. So let's talk about that when 5 we're back. 6 MS. BARNEY: Okay. 7 JUDGE FRANCKS: But you're right. Ι 8 will not eliminate that option for you at all. 9 MS. BARNEY: Thank you. 10 JUDGE FRANCKS: I'll make it happen. 11 Anything else? 12 MR. TEBBUTT: Just for people's 13 information, we're going to -- when Mr. Erickson is done, we'll try to get Mrs. Reddout on the phone. 14 15 JUDGE FRANCKS: So we're going to finish Mr. Erickson first. 16 17 MR. TEBBUTT: Yes. 18 JUDGE FRANCKS: Gotcha. Okay. 19 Anything else? All right. So we'll go off the record for a second. I'll go get the board. 20 21 (Pause in the proceedings.) 22 (Board members enters.) 23 JUDGE FRANCKS: Have a seat. Let's go 24 on the record. We are here on Day 5, and I understand 25 that we are going to finish up with Mr. Erickson, so I

1 believe Ms. Howard was asking questions as we ended 2 yesterday. 3 Please proceed. 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continuing) 5 BY MS. HOWARD: 6 Good morning, Mr. Erickson. Q 7 Good morning. Α 8 0 Nice to see you again this morning. So I -- just kind of 9 a few more things here I want to cover and then we'll be 10 done. 11 So yesterday we were talking a bit about the -- what 12 I'm calling the Haak data, the data from the Haak lagoon, 13 and we actually had that data too, in I-22. 14 So if we could look at I-22, it's just kind of a --15 you can go to the next page there. I-22. 16 MS. NICHOLSON: I-21. 17 MS. HOWARD: Page 6. 18 JUDGE FRANCKS: That's the PDF page. 19 (By Ms. Howard) And you probably can answer this 0 20 question without looking at it. It's just nice sometimes 21 to have a visual. 22 So when we were talking yesterday, you had confirmed 23 that this -- that we didn't have soil moisture data for 24 this -- along with this chart; is that correct? 25 I'd have to look back at the lab sheets. I didn't report А

1		it in the table.
2	Q	Okay.
3	A	I don't really remember.
4	Q	You don't remember if you collected it or not?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	Is it normal to not have the soil moisture data collected
7		when you're taking a soil sample like this?
8	A	I guess I wouldn't say it's not normal or abnormal. It's
9		one of the things you ask for or don't.
10	Q	Okay.
11	А	So I think we did moisture on almost all of the samples,
12		so I believe, if we went back to the lab data, there
13		would be soil moisture in this one.
14	Q	But we just don't have it in the report?
15	А	Correct.
16	Q	Okay. Wouldn't soil moisture you said that nitrates
17		more tightly bind to the water; correct?
18	А	Correct. They move in the water instead of the being
19		bound to the soil.
20	Q	Okay. So this is soil data, but this is not information
21		about the nitrates in the water then?
22	А	It is. Can you give me a reference so I can look at it?
23	Q	Yeah.
24		MS. NICHOLSON: It's I-21.
25	Q	(By Ms. Howard) I-21, Page 6. Sorry. I didn't give you
		000

1		enough time to flip to it. It's just the excerpt from
2		your report that includes this data.
3	A	Okay. So
4	Q	That's okay.
5	A	Your question was
6	Q	You actually answered my question.
7		So I think the other I guess the question or
8		concern I have is whether or not we're actually able to
9		determine from the soil data whether nitrates are moving
10		to groundwater.
11		If we don't actually have the nitrates in the water,
12		how do we know that this indicates there were nitrates
13		going to groundwater? We don't, do we?
14	А	So you do have the data for nitrate in water in this
15		table.
16	Q	Well, how is that possible when we don't actually have
17		information about the nitrates in the groundwater or
18		in the water from this table?
19	А	Okay. So these are soil analyses, so we take a soil
20		sample and send it to the lab.
21	Q	Right.
22	A	They analyze it. We know that the ammonia is bound to
23		the soil, but the nitrate moves in the soil moisture.
24	Q	Exactly.
25	A	So when they do that analysis, they're analyzing

1		everything in the sample. So based on the data that we
2		have, we know what the concentration of nitrate is.
3	Q	In the soil, but not in the water; correct?
4	A	When they do the analysis, they analyze the water too.
5	Q	But you didn't provide that information in your report,
6		did you?
7	A	I didn't provide the moisture content
8	Q	Okay.
9	A	of the soil.
10	Q	Okay. All right. So and, again, this is the only
11		information that we have only soil sample that we have
12		from beneath a lagoon in your report; correct?
13	A	That is correct.
14	Q	Okay. And but this also this particular lagoon is
15		not within the area where we have the groundwater
16		monitoring data. Is that also correct?
17	A	Yeah. This is outside the cluster.
18	Q	Okay.
19	A	Correct.
20	Q	But you relied on this data to draw a determination that
21		there was contamination occurring within the other
22		within the groundwater monitoring area?
23	А	Correct.
24	Q	Okay. But you don't actually have any groundwater
25		monitoring data correlated to this particular soil
		010
		411

1		sample?
2	A	That is true.
3	Q	Okay. And with regards to the calculations that you did
4		within the groundwater monitoring area, within the
5		cluster area, you didn't actually collect information
6		about the permeability of those liners, did you?
7	А	We weren't allowed to, no.
8	Q	So any analysis that you did about whether or not those
9		lagoons were leaking to groundwater would have been based
10		upon estimates or assumptions?
11		MR. TEBBUTT: Objection. Asked and
12		answered. We're going over old ground from yesterday.
13		JUDGE FRANCKS: I'm going to overrule
14		that. I don't remember that.
15	A	It's based on the data that we were given by the dairy.
16		They said the lagoons were completed according to NRCS
17		standards.
18	Q	(By Ms. Howard) But when we spoke yesterday, you
19		confirmed that you weren't able to confirm that; correct?
20	A	That is correct.
21	Q	Okay.
22	A	And, again, we weren't allowed to confirm that. We
23		weren't allowed to core the liner on the clustered area,
24		SO
25	Q	Yeah. I get that, but the concern still is then you
		91

1 don't actually have hard data to do your analysis. Isn't 2 that true? 3 Whether you were allowed to do it or not, you still 4 didn't have actual data about the liners to do your 5 analysis? 6 MR. TEBBUTT: Objection. 7 Mischaracterizes testimony. 8 MS. HOWARD: It was a question. 9 JUDGE FRANCKS: Well, let's let him 10 answer the question. 11 We did. We had information from the dairies that told us Α 12 how the lagoons were completed, and they were completed 13 similar to the Haak. 14 So it's an example of what we -- what we -- how the 15 dairy cluster lagoons should have been completed if they 16 were completed the way that dairies told us they were 17 completed, but we weren't allowed to actually core the 18 liner and test it on the clustered areas. 19 (By Ms. Howard) In order to confirm? 0 20 Α Correct. 21 Okay. And on the Haak lagoon was a lagoon that had been 0 22 decommissioned, correct, or it was no longer in use? How 23 about that? 24 Yeah. It was dry and the manure had been scraped out of Α 25 it.

1	Q	And so we also don't know anything about the liner on the
2		Haak lagoon either, do we?
3	A	We cored through the liner when we took the soil samples.
4	Q	But if it had been scraped and some of it removed, we
5		don't actually know what the liner was on that lagoon, do
6		we?
7	A	So you want me to assume that the liner had been removed
8		before we cored it or
9	Q	Well, you just said it had been scraped and cleaned, and
10		so we don't actually know what the condition of that
11		liner was prior to that, do we?
12	А	While we were on-site, we still saw the areas where there
13		was manure in the liner. I mean, it's very difficult to
14		completely clean all the manure out.
15		So there's pictures in my report of the what the
16		Haak liner looked like when we did the core, if that's
17		what you're looking for. And what we saw under the
18		manure was basically a native soil with sand, gravel, and
19		silt in it.
20	Q	And did you take any tests about permeability related to
21		that layer?
22	A	Again, we were not allowed to do that.
23	Q	Okay. So but you are relying on this information to
24		say your opinion is that, in particular, in contrast with
25		NRCS constructed lagoon that you would expect these sorts
		01

1 of conditions to occur -- these groundwater conditions to 2 occur even under a NRCS constructed lagoon based upon 3 this data? 4 MR. TEBBUTT: Your Honor, if I may, 5 I'm going to object on relevance grounds. This is beyond 6 the scope of direct as well. We didn't discuss the Haak 7 lagoon at all on direct. 8 And I think Ms. Howard is spending an awful lot of 9 time on something that we didn't rely on in any 10 particular great way and is not in evidence in this case 11 in any great way. 12 JUDGE FRANCKS: Well, this is part of 13 his expert report. 14 MR. TEBBUTT: True. But, I mean, we 15 didn't do anything on direct on this. 16 JUDGE FRANCKS: Well, I think that --17 to the extent that he's relying on it for his expert 18 opinion, I think that it's -- it's something that they're 19 entitled to inquire about. So I'm going to allow it. 20 Can you re-ask the question? Α 21 (By Ms. Howard) You bet. You bet. So I think your 0 22 opinion is that all lagoons leak; is that correct? 23 MR. TEBBUTT: Objection. 2.4 Mischaracterizes testimony. 25 Α No. I think, you know, over the course of the last day

1		we've talked about
2		JUDGE FRANCKS: I think it's a
3		question.
4	A	a couple lagoons that I'm aware of that don't leak.
5	Q	(By Ms. Howard) All earthen lined lagoons leak. Is that
6		your opinion?
7	A	That is correct, yes.
8	Q	Okay. And, in particular, I think you did talk about
9		NRCS lagoons, and you said all NRCS lagoons leak; is that
10		correct?
11	A	I believe so, yes.
12	Q	Okay. And by inference then, your opinion is that all
13		earthen lagoon lines I can't say that many words in a
14		row; I'm sorry all earthen lined lagoons also
15		contaminate groundwater. Is that also your opinion?
16	А	There's there may be situations out there where that's
17		not the case, but, in my experience, if the lagoon is
18		leaking and it contains a contaminant, the contaminant
19		does end up in groundwater, yes.
20	Q	And for this case, you're relying on this data, the Haak
21		data, the estimates that you made about the lagoons at
22		Cow Palace, to say that dairy lagoons dairy earthen
23		lagoons also always leak and also always cause
24		contamination; is that correct?
25	A	Well, this is the Haak boring would be one data point

1		of many that I relied on to say dairy lagoons leak.
2	Q	But for purposes of the report, it's the only data point
3		we have; correct?
4	A	I believe it's the only soil sample we collected, but
5		there's references to the Dennis Erickson studies that
б		showed that they leak.
7	Q	But
8	A	Basic hydrogeology that tells you that water moves
9		through a ten to the minus six liner.
10	Q	Leaking
11	А	So this is one data point. It seems like you're asking
12		me, is this all I relied on and that there's more to it
13		than just this one data point.
14	Q	And you're willing to take that position notwithstanding
15		the fact that we do have testimony from NRCS that they've
16		also studied lagoons, animal waste impoundments, earthen,
17		for 30 years, and their research science experience
18		doesn't necessarily dispute that lagoons seep, but
19		certainly disputes that those lagoons are causing
20		contamination to groundwater at both the drinking water
21		level?
22		You're willing to take this data and your experience
23		and discount NRCS's experience and have the opinion you
24		have in this case?
25		MR. TEBBUTT: Objection. Compound,
		01

1 misstates testimony, and counsel is testifying once 2 aqain. 3 JUDGE FRANCKS: Yeah. Can we 4 rephrase? 5 (By Ms. Howard) Mm-hm. Sure. 0 6 Do you disagree with NRCS that -- and Mr. Reck's 7 testimony in particular that -- that lagoons constructed 8 to NRCS standards are actually protective of groundwater? 9 Do I disagree that NRCS constructed lagoons are --Α 10 MR. TEBBUTT: Your Honor, I'm going to 11 object for lack of foundation. I don't think there's 12 been any foundation laid that Mr. Erickson has even 13 reviewed Mr. Reck's testimony. 14 (By Ms. Howard) Have you reviewed Mr. Reck's testimony? Q 15 I don't believe I have. Α 16 Were you here the other day when I was asking questions 0 17 of Ms. Redding? 18 А I was. 19 And discussed that information with her? 0 20 I was here, yes. Α 21 Okay. Would you disagree with that opinion from NRCS? Q 22 I would disagree with that opinion. А 23 0 Okay. Let's look at A-67. I was trying to ask you a 24 question about this yesterday, and I really fumbled, so 25 I'm going to give it another shot.

1		Page 187. And when we were looking at when you
2		were looking at this yesterday with Mr. Tebbutt, you were
3		talking about phosphorous, and I think you had said
4		and correct me if I get this wrong something along the
5		lines that phosphorous is normally high when your
б		nitrates are high.
7		Is that am I remembering that correctly?
8	A	I think in reference to this figure, I said that if we
9		actually map our nitrate plume, that phosphorous
10		generally is high, consistent with that nitrate plume,
11		yes.
12	Q	Oh, just with the plume, not with the actual monitoring
13		data?
14	A	The plume itself is based on the monitoring data.
15	Q	Okay.
16	А	So
17	Q	All right.
18	А	In general, I think we're talking about the same thing.
19	Q	Okay. All right. Sorry again. Lawyer terms.
20		So I just took a quick look at some of this data,
21		and I just wanted to draw your attention to it.
22		So the top right-hand box, YBD-02.
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And so this time I think I'm going to get the nitrate
25		correct. The NO3, that's nitrate?

1	A	That's correct.
2	Q	Okay. And I see there it says 5.4 in Quarter 1?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And then P is phosphorous?
5	A	That's correct.
6	Q	And it says .099?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Okay. And then look down at DC-09, which is two boxes
9		lower. And there we have nitrate at 5.4 in Quarter 1?
10	A	Correct.
11	Q	And phosphorous at .024?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	So just looking at those two boxes, that doesn't appear
14		to be tracking the trend that you were talking about.
15		The phosphorous is not high when the nitrates are high.
16		In fact, it appears that these are they're high
17		sometimes and low sometimes.
18		Is that consistent with your opinion?
19	A	So you can't just look at two boxes.
20	Q	Okay. Let's
21	A	You have to look at the complete picture.
22	Q	All right. So let's look at
23	A	What we know is that the high nitrate plumes that's right
24		in here kind of in the middle of the dairy cluster, we
25		also know, based on groundwater flow, it's moving this
		91

1 direction. (Indicating.) 2 Okay. Well, let's look at some of those. Q 3 Two other data points that are very important. А All right. Well, let's look at those. Let's look at 4 Q 5 DC03, which is right down there. 6 MR. TEBBUTT: Would counsel, 7 Ms. Howard, please let him finish his answers. 8 JUDGE FRANCKS: Yeah. People can't 9 talk over each other. 10 MS. HOWARD: I'm sorry. 11 Α So based on the nitrate data, if we drew contours, this 12 is the same graph as we showed yesterday, the rabbit 13 figure I think she referred it to, where we had the 14 hot -- the high concentration area right in here. 15 (Indicating.) 16 So if we start looking at our higher phosphorous 17 concentrations and you look at YVD-10, which is this box 18 right here -- I'll help everybody because it's tough to 19 see -- we've got concentrations of .066 and this one is 20 .074. (Indicating.) 21 If we look out around the edges, we've got 22 concentrations of 18s, low teens. So the -- so my 23 statement was, in general, in the area where the higher 24 nitrate is, you have higher phosphorous and you'd expect 25 that because these wells here are more affected by

1 irrigation practices where they're applying these 2 nutrients to the fields. Whereas, the wells around the 3 edges are not as affected by irrigation. 4 And the other big point is, we start looking out on 5 the edge like she's talking about where -- I think it was 6 YVD-02, the top one that is .9, you're up into a 7 different aquifer, if you will. 8 You're up on the rattlesnake ridge, which is 9 basically closer to the bedrock, and the wells are 10 completed right on top of bedrock. 11 So you've got a little different water chemistry. 12 So the -- the nitrate plume interpretation is very clean. 13 The data is very certain. 14 The phosphorous data is more just general trends. 15 It's a little surprising. Again, we don't expect to see 16 phosphorous in groundwater. All the data tells us that 17 phosphorous is absorbed in the soil. 18 And when we do start seeing increases in phosphorous 19 in groundwater, it's a little -- it's surprising to me as 20 a scientist because we've looked at the chemistry of 21 phosphorous, and we believe it's absorbed in the soil. 22 But it looks like in these cases where you've 23 applied a lot of nitrogen, a lot of phosphorous, a lot of 24 irrigation water, that we're actually flushing some phos 25 through under these sites.

1 0 And my question I think was just to clarify that there's 2 not always a clear correlation between the two. So you 3 pointed to that particular box. Let's look at DC-14. 4 This is, again, the data that you're relying on. 5 That also is kind of within that area that you 6 pointed to that was orange; correct? 7 Correct. Α 8 0 And so here we have nitrate that's 4.34, phosphorous 9 that's .074, which is actually higher than the one that 10 you were just pointing to below. 11 So there doesn't seem to be really that direct of a 12 correlation at least from this data. Is that true? 13 So DC-14 sits right between -- sits on the road right Α 14 between two lagoons on Cow Palace. 15 So there's also a really good chance that you've got 16 a high phos load from the lagoon seepage on that -- in 17 that location. 18 0 So there's -- but there isn't a -- doesn't appear to be a 19 correlation if you look at those two boxes; correct? 20 We've got different -- there's not a direct 21 correlation between nitrate and phosphorous. We're not 22 seeing a pattern here, are we? 23 Α Correct. And that's what I said is a general 24 correlation. 25 Q Okay.

1	A	That's what I said in my testimony earlier.
2	Q	Thanks for clarifying that.
3	A	You bet.
4	Q	Okay. Can we look at Page 23. So I noticed in your
5		testimony yesterday with Mr. Tebbutt that we talked about
б		the monitoring wells, but didn't get a lot into trends.
7		Is this have you reviewed this Page 23 in the QEA
8		report draft QEA report?
9	A	I have.
10	Q	And does it actually establish a trend with regards to
11		groundwater?
12	A	Does it establish a trend? I think it talks about
13		several different trends going on at the site.
14	Q	That are at odds with each other? So
15	A	I don't believe they're at odds with each other. It's
16		all part of the interpretation at the site.
17	Q	But there's not a consistent trend up or down or stable
18		in all of the wells; is that correct?
19	A	So I think this is better illustrated if you go to
20		Page 191 in the same exhibit. And really what we have is
21		91 or 191 excuse me 192, 193, 194, these are
22		these are actually trend analysis.
23		So if you look at Page 191, this is a group of wells
24		from the previous figure we looked at, and this is
25		groundwater monitoring data over time.
		027

1 So in this instance, you can see that some of the 2 wells, such as the one in purple, which is YVD-15, is 3 showing a decreasing trend, and some of the wells are 4 showing what we call stable trends. 5 You can see the groundwater MCL line here down at 6 10. These wells are well above the MCL. So in this area 7 we're seeing some wells that are decreasing, some wells 8 that are increasing. 9 But if you turn to Page 192, you can see well DC-03, 10 and, again, this is the one that's downgradient from the 11 Bosma lagoons. 12 From about 2015 on, we're seeing a pretty steeply 13 decreasing trend, so that would indicate that we've got 14 lagoon leakage in that area. 15 If you turn to Page 193, it looks like there's more 16 increasing wells in this situation. So YVD-11, which is 17 in blue, shows a pretty steeply increasing trend. 18 And YVD-08 in yellow are actually orange right here. 19 Shows a pretty steeply increasing trend. So this is just 20 part of the data that you collect to monitor the 21 performance of the remediation or the corrective measures 22 you're implementing at the site. 23 So the reason we're collecting it is, as we line 24 lagoons and as we decrease the load to the application 25 fields and as we repair the underground piping and change

1 the compost operation to drain quicker, we expect these 2 trends to start decreasing. 3 So we're looking for performance in the groundwater 4 for more corrective measures. So that's --5 How many -- sorry. 0 That's why you collect the data and look at the trend. 6 Α 7 If you actually turn to the next page, which is 194, 8 these are all upgradient wells. 9 So, again, the MCL showing right here is 10. You 10 can see the upgradient wells are -- well, recently are all below that MCL well, but wells on-site and the wells 11 12 downgradient are either above the MCL or showing a trend 13 in nitrate concentrations, so --14 That's okay. Q 15 To summarize, this is why you do groundwater monitoring, А 16 in order to answer that question, is my liner leaking? 17 Am I impacting groundwater? Is my application correct? 18 Should I reduce my application to reduce the impacts to 19 groundwater? 20 How many years have you been taking data so far? Q 21 This started in 2013. А 22 And have you actually been able to see -- answer that Q 23 question yet? 24 А We have not. 25 MS. HOWARD: Okay. Thank you. 925

1 Your Honor, I think that's all of my questions. 2 Thank you. 3 JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay. Redirect? 4 MR. TEBBUTT: Thank you. I have a 5 little redirect, but I'll just do it from here just to 6 make it easier. 7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. TEBBUTT: 9 Sir, just a few moments ago, you were talking about Q 10 Page 192 in A-67. And you were talking -- you were 11 looking at the top -- I believe the top graph or line in the graph that's reflected on 192, and I believe you said 12 13 that there was a decrease in trend. 14 Did you misspeak? 15 I did. I'm sorry. The point I was trying to make is Α 16 that, from about 2015, the end of 2015 on, we're seeing 17 an increasing trend in the groundwater data. 18 And just for a little background, what we know is 19 that about -- in about that date, the closest lagoon to 20 this monitoring well was the manure was removed from this 21 lagoon, again, with a large front-end loader. 22 We were actually on-site when this happened, and you 23 could see the front-end loader digging the manure out and 24 removing the soil underneath it at the same time. 25 So that's my -- that was my point earlier about how

1		do you maintain these silt liners when they have to clean
2		the manure out every couple years.
3	Q	Let me stop you there. So you're saying at the low point
4		the lagoon was empty? I think you were pointing at the
5		low point.
6	A	Yeah.
7	Q	Right there?
8	A	Would have been right in this late '15 data.
9	Q	And since then, the lagoon has been refilled?
10	А	Correct.
11	Q	Okay. You were also asked by Ms. Howard about the Haak
12		study. That was one data point; correct?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	And that's all that was allowed by agreement between the
15		parties, just one boring hole in the lagoon; right?
16	A	Yes. That's true.
17	Q	And that lagoon was multiple acres?
18	A	It was. It was probably a five-to-seven-acre lagoon. It
19		was a large lagoon.
20	Q	Okay. In addition to the Haak data, you relied on lots
21		of other data to form your opinions in the Cow Palace
22		case; correct?
23	A	Correct. And that's what I was stating, is that that's
24		one data point in many.
25	Q	All right. And so the EPA study that went on for two and

1		a half years was one of the documents you relied on;
2		correct?
3	A	Yes. That was that was very important to our overall
4		study, the background data that was collected there.
5	Q	Right. And the groundwater data that's been collected
6		since 2013 is part of your opinions in this case;
7		correct?
8	A	It is, yes.
9	Q	And I believe you also were asked, again, that based on
10		your history of investigations of earthen lagoons, I
11		believe you said that you've never seen an earthen lagoon
12		that had contaminants in it that didn't pollute the
13		groundwater below it; correct?
14	А	The only reason I hesitate on that question is, there's
15		situations where we have done a lagoon lining or a
16		clean-out and relining where we don't have groundwater
17		data.
18		So in my professional experience, if we're working
19		on an earthen lagoon and we actually have the groundwater
20		monitoring data, every time that that situation occurs,
21		we see contaminants in the groundwater from that lagoon.
22	Q	Where you have groundwater data?
23	А	Correct.
24	Q	Okay. Yesterday you were asked a question about about
25		the applicability of your observations in the Lower
		928

1 Yakima Valley and opining about the general state, if you 2 will, of conditions across the state of Washington in 3 dairy lagoons. 4 Do you remember that discussion? 5 I do. Α б 0 And in addition to the data that you've seen in the 7 Yakima Valley, are there other documents that you relied 8 on to form that opinion? 9 Yes. There's many documents. It's a fairly exhaustive Α 10 list. I think there's a list of CAFO-specific references 11 in the back of my expert report. 12 0 Right. 13 There's a ton of study done by the State of Washington on Α 14 nitrate infused on dairy lagoons, so it's not -- it's not 15 just the -- my experience with the dairy cluster, 16 although I do believe that the data collected there is 17 probably the most comprehensive data set in the state. 18 Q Right. And perhaps in the United States, from what 19 you've seen; correct? 20 Correct. Α 21 And did you also review summaries that came from State 0 22 files of discharges from other facilities around the 23 state? 24 А I have, yes. 25 0 I'd like you to take a look at Exhibit 24, please, A-24.

1		It's in our sir, have you seen the document that is
2		marked as Exhibit A-24 before?
3	A	I have seen this. It's been about probably two years
4		ago, though.
5	Q	Okay. Is this a document that you relied on one of
б		the documents and some of the information you relied on
7		in making your assessment that dairy operations in
8		throughout Washington are similar?
9	A	It is information I relied on. I think we had this same
10		type of information for the Lower Yakima Valley where
11		there was quite a few discharges that were documented in
12		the regulatory record.
13	Q	And so, for instance, No. 8, on Page 3 of Exhibit 24, do
14		you see the beached lagoon?
15	A	Breached lagoon?
16	Q	Well, it says beached, but
17	A	Oh, No. 8. Excuse me. Yep.
18	Q	We'll assume that means breached. Is that your
19		understanding?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Because then No. 9 right below it, Dan Noteboom's dairy,
22		it says, "Dike breached during Nooksack flooding."
23		Do you see that?
24	A	I do.
25	Q	You never heard of a beached lagoon before, have you?
		930

1	A	I have not.
2	Q	So these kinds of and is it your understanding that
3		these were documents that came from State records?
4	A	Yes, it is.
5	Q	And there are a whole list of types of discharges that
6		are listed there?
7	A	Yes, there are.
8	Q	And that's for all different parts of the state, isn't
9		it?
10	A	It appears to be, yes.
11	Q	And there are some looks like list of 24 for the
12		sorry 18 for Whatcom County; right?
13	A	Yes, there are.
14	Q	And some five for the north NWRO, which we'll is that
15		the northwest regional office?
16	A	There are five there, yes.
17	Q	Okay. And then there's some for CRO, central regional
18		office?
19	A	Correct. Two.
20	Q	And it has a couple other regional offices there as well;
21		correct?
22	A	Yes.
23		MR. TEBBUTT: Okay. Again, Your
24		Honor, we'd move A-24 into evidence.
25		MS. BARNEY: Objection. You know,
		02
		75

1 he's stating what it appears to be. It doesn't --2 there's no -- there's still no foundation for the source 3 of this document other than, quote/unquote, State 4 records. 5 JUDGE FRANCKS: I don't think you've 6 established the foundation of this. 7 MR. TEBBUTT: All right. Well, 8 we'll -- in the intervening week, we'll get a certification from the State that this is one of their 9 10 documents because we assert that this is a public record, 11 and it's admissible under the exception to hearsay rule 12 because it's a public record prepared by the State. 13 It's a summary of discharges that have been compiled 14 by State agencies, so, therefore, will be admissible, and 15 we'll get a certified copy of it in the meantime. 16 MS. BARNEY: But if I may, that 17 exemption requires it to be a public record kept in the 18 usual course of business, which usually refers to a 19 particular form that is filled out in a particular way. 20 This appears to be an individualized document that 21 doesn't meet the requirements of the evidentiary rule. 22 MR. TEBBUTT: Not at all true because 23 these are summaries of large volumes of information. 24 JUDGE FRANCKS: And we'll deal with 25 that when you produce that.

1 MS. HOWARD: Your Honor, I'm sorry. 2 We also wanted to object on relevance to this is data 3 from 2009. It appears that's not, again, related to the 4 issues before the board. 5 JUDGE FRANCKS: Well, first, I haven't 6 admitted it. 7 MS. HOWARD: I know. I just wanted to 8 make sure I didn't miss that. 9 JUDGE FRANCKS: When we see it again, 10 you can renew your objections. 11 MR. TEBBUTT: Your Honor, I will also 12 note these were attached to the CAFO comment, and so 13 these have been in the record -- in Ecology's records for 14 a long time. They came from Ecology's records. It's 15 stated as such in the comment records, so there's 16 foundation in there as well. 17 And those records -- and that the comment letters 18 are already in evidence. 19 JUDGE FRANCKS: Well, I think we're 20 only talking about this document, so --21 MR. TEBBUTT: For now, yes. 22 JUDGE FRANCKS: I think I've ruled. 23 Let's carry on. 24 (By Mr. Tebbutt) Yesterday you were asked some 0 25 questions -- and I don't remember by whom -- about

monitoring wells and how you would use them to assess 1 2 whether a source is contributing to contamination. 3 Do you recall some of that testimony? 4 I do. Α 5 And how would you -- or how many wells would you need 0 6 around a particular source -- let's say eight. Let's use 7 a lagoon, for instance. 8 How many groundwater monitoring wells would you need 9 around a particular lagoon at a minimum to determine 10 whether that source was contributing to contamination? 11 So if you were talking about just a single lagoon or a Α single application field, then you'd need at least one 12 13 upgradient well and two downgradient wells. 14 But when it's all part of a system where you're 15 collecting upgradient wells for the system, then a site 16 could have six or eight or ten lagoons, a compost area, 17 application fields. 18 And you could do that -- you could collect the data 19 you needed with -- I think I testified to up to eight 20 wells. 21 Right. Let me stop you there because my point is just 0 22 for a particular source for one particular lagoon or one particular field, you'd need one upgradient and two 23 24 downgradient; correct? 25 Α If you were just looking at that?

1 Q At any particular source. 2 А Correct. 3 Yeah. That was all. I just wanted to clarify. 0 4 Let's take a look at Figure 1 in A-2, please. 5 JUDGE FRANCKS: Do you have a page for 6 that? 7 MR. TEBBUTT: It's the very last page 8 of A-2. It's the map. 9 JUDGE FRANCKS: Page 35? 10 MR. TEBBUTT: Doesn't have a page 11 number on it, so it's Figure 1, so I believe it's, yes, 12 Page 35. 13 And if we could zoom in, this might be a little 14 tricky. Can you zoom in on the right side -- let's see 15 if I can point to it. 16 JUDGE FRANCKS: I'm going to turn some 17 lights off because I think that might help us. 18 MR. TEBBUTT: There we go. That's 19 perfect right there. 20 (By Mr. Tebbutt) Sir, the -- what I want to ask is: 0 You 21 see where the number 85.6 is right there on the map? 22 I do. А 23 0 What is just above that? 24 So this is the 85.6 right here. А 25 Correct. 0
1	А	Right above it is DeRuyter dairy.
2	Q	Is that one of the two DeRuyter dairies, the DNA dairy?
3	А	Correct. It's the southern dairy.
4	Q	And what appears right above that? I mean, as part of
5		the DeRuyter dairy, can you describe you've been on
6		that site; right?
7	А	I have.
8	Q	A number of times?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	And most recently that was, what, December of 2017?
11	A	Correct.
12	Q	That is an operating dairy; correct?
13	A	It is.
14	Q	And what are those features that look like impoundments?
15		Are those lagoons?
16	A	So so directly above the well, I think I was asked
17		earlier about lines stormwater or lined ponds. This
18		is one of the lined. There's a lined stormwater runoff
19		for this whole facility that sits right here.
20	Q	When was it lined?
21	A	It was lined in about 2013, I believe.
22	Q	When okay. That was just the stormwater collection
23		pond?
24	A	Correct. So
25	Q	Not a manure storage pond well, although it collects
		936

1		manure too, but it's not designed just for manure
2		storage; correct?
3	А	Correct. It intercepts cement runoff from the field and
4		from the facility itself.
5	Q	Okay. And what about those other impoundments? What are
6		they?
7	A	Up above are multiple lagoon separators. The lagoons run
8		further up the they run off the map to the north. So
9		this would be, I believe, about half of the lagoons on
10		the DeRuyter facility. There's two here, one here, and
11		there's a large one here, and then another large lagoon
12		up above that. (Indicating.)
13	Q	Okay. And the 85.6, is that from a monitoring well or
14		residential well?
15	A	That is a residential drinking water well. We don't have
16		a monitoring well in that location.
17	Q	All right. And in terms of residential wells, how does
18		that 85.6 rate in terms of contamination level compared
19		to the others?
20	A	It is one of the highest residential well nitrate
21		concentrations we've detected at the site.
22	Q	And that's upgradient from that squiggly snakey line
23		there, what's that?
24	А	So
25	Q	Right below 85.6 and running just yeah, that.
		937

1	А	This is the Sunnyside irrigation canal.
2	Q	Okay. And so the 85.6 is above or upgradient from the
3		canal. Would that be correct?
4	А	It is just 200 feet above the canal.
5	Q	Okay. What is the groundwater flow direction in that
6		area?
7	А	Groundwater flows from northeast to southwest in this
8		area, up-flows from here down under the Sunnyside and
9		down into these residential areas. (Indicating.)
10	Q	How do you know that?
11	А	We do have multiple monitoring wells as part of a dairy
12		cluster in this area, so we have another monitoring well
13		over here, here, along this along the road here, and
14		then the cluster wells that we've shown before sit right
15		out in this area. (Indicating.)
16		So we have pretty good pretty good coverage of
17		this area as far as groundwater monitoring data.
18	Q	Right. And from the monitoring well data that's put in
19		as part of the EPA AOC and the CARE consent decrees, can
20		you determine the groundwater flow direction?
21	A	We can. So every time we monitor the monitoring wells,
22		we take water level elevation or water level
23		measurements, and then always wells are the well heads
24		are surveyed to a common elevation datum.
25		And then after we measure depth to groundwater, we

1		can subtract from the common data and get the elevation
2		of the water table all across the site.
3		Once we do that, we can put contours of equal head
4		across the site that represents the top of the
5		groundwater table, and then we know just like a topo map,
6		we can tell what's upgradient, what's downgradient, and
7		the direction that groundwater flows.
8	Q	And so have you done those gradients for this area that's
9		depicted in Figure 1 in Exhibit A-2?
10	А	Yes. We do them with every data set. So every quarter
11		we're looking at the groundwater contour maps and the
12		changes in the groundwater contour maps.
13	Q	And did you provide a slide with the contours written in
14		overlaying the actual data from the monitoring wells as a
15		demonstrative for this board?
16	A	I did.
17	Q	And that hasn't been allowed in today, so I just wanted
18		to let to ask you if you've prepared that.
19		So based on that, have you made a determination of
20		the most likely source of the contamination at that
21		residential well at 85 .6 parts per million nitrate?
22	А	We have. So what you have to realize is that a
23		monitoring well and a domestic water supply well are
24		different in multiple ways, but, in general, the
25		monitoring well is screened at the top of the water table

or at a specific interval.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

And the water supply well is drilled deeper. I believe this well is about 200 feet deep with a screen down at 180 to 200.

So the screen is the intake where the water -- where the water comes into the well, and wells that pump have capture zones.

So as you -- as you pump water, the well sucks water into the well and produces it for the resident, but an area upgradient of the well is the capture zone.

So if we look at this specific location, the capture zone depends a little bit on permeability as far as the size, but we know the capture zone for the well would be right back behind here, kind of in a U-shape area behind the well. (Indicating.)

So based on that data, we know that the DeRuyter lagoons and application fields and stormwater ponds are all within the capture zone of that well, and over time and the more you pump the well, the bigger that capture zone gets.

Q Let me stop you there. Are there any other sources that would cause, in your professional opinion, that 85.6 other than the DeRuyter dairy just to the north of that? A Not in this case, no. That's the logical source of nitrates.

1 0 I'm going to ask you a couple other questions. 2 Ms. Barney asked you about well costs, and there was 3 some discussion about the range, depending on how shallow 4 or the -- or how deep it is to the groundwater that costs of the well range from maybe -- monitoring well range 5 6 from maybe four to ten thousand dollars a well --7 Correct. А 8 Q -- in that general area of the Yakima Valley; correct? 9 Yes. Α 10 And I just want to reiterate this, but in direct, we 0 11 talked about remediation costs versus prevention costs. Remediation costs, I believe you said, were orders 12 13 of magnitude greater than prevention costs; correct? 14 Α That is correct, yes. 15 Ms. Barney also asked you about evidence, of whether 0 16 there was any evidence of a past use of some of the areas 17 in the dairy like where the animal pens were in the 18 compost areas. 19 Do you remember that questioning? 20 I do. Α 21 Those Cow Palace dairies have been operating for how 0 22 long? Forty years? Fifty years? 23 Α To the best of my knowledge, 40 -- around 40 years. 24 And Bosma dairy? 0 25 I think that's a little older than Cow Palace. Α

1	0	All right These are protect historical uses arents
Ţ	Q	All right. Those are pretty historical uses, aren't
2		they?
3	A	Yes, they are.
4	Q	And the defendants never provided any evidence in the Cow
5		Palace case of prior uses of that property, did they?
б	А	Not that I recall, no.
7	Q	Just for scale, I want to just talk about the we were
8		talking about the Cow Palace the new lagoon that was
9		just done.
10		That was just completed in the fall of 2017;
11		correct?
12	A	That's correct.
13		MS. HOWARD: Objection, Your Honor.
14		This is definitely outside the scope of our
15		cross-examination at this point.
16		MR. TEBBUTT: Ms. Barney asked about
17		it.
18		JUDGE FRANCKS: I'm going to allow it.
19	Q	(By Mr. Tebbutt) So do you know approximately how many
20		gallons an Olympic-sized swimming pool holds?
21	A	I believe it's about 600,000 a little over 600,000
22		gallons.
23	Q	Right. And the new Cow Palace lagoon, just for sense of
24		scale, is set about 25 million gallons?
25	A	Correct.

1	Q	So 30 to 40 times the size of an Olympic-sized swimming
2		<pre>pool; correct?</pre>
3	A	I'd have to pull out my calculator to make sure that's
4		accurate, but yes.
5	Q	Well, 30 times 600,000 equals 18 million; right?
6	А	Correct.
7	Q	So more than more than that.
8		And that's only one of the lagoons at Cow Palace;
9		correct?
10	A	Yes. That's one lagoon, No. 2.
11	Q	Have you seen synthetic liners at dairies in other
12		states?
13	A	I have.
14	Q	What states?
15	A	We're working on a similar dairy cluster in Wisconsin,
16		and there's I couldn't tell you exactly how many
17		lagoons are on the in the group, but the one dairy has
18		about five or six lagoons, and one of those is lined.
19	Q	Synthetically lined?
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	Okay. There was also some discussion about you know,
22		Ms. Barney asked you questions about how you assessed,
23		you know, whether some lagoons were in good shape or not.
24		There was do you remember a particular event at
25		Cow Palace when monitoring wells were being installed
		04

David Erickson - Redirect by Charles M. Tebbutt

1 between some lagoons at Cow Palace that caused you some 2 significant concerns as a hydrogeologist? 3 Α I do. 4 And tell the board about that, would you, please. 0 5 MS. BARNEY: Objection. This is 6 definitely beyond the scope. 7 MR. TEBBUTT: Not at all. Ms. Barney 8 asked him about integrity of the lagoons and such, and 9 this is directly --10 JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay. I'll allow this 11 example, but I think we are straying far afield. 12 MR. TEBBUTT: This will do it. 13 During drilling of one of the monitoring wells at Cow Α 14 Palace, it was -- it was the EPA doing the drilling. 15 They were drilling by one of the catch basins that had 16 recently been relined, according to NRCS standards. 17 And they were using a drill -- the drilling method 18 that we call air rotary drilling, so instead of using 19 liquid to lift the cuttings out of the hole, they used 20 air. 21 So as they drill down with their drill bit, they put about 300 CFM of air down the hole. 22 23 0 (By Mr. Tebbutt) What's a CFM? 24 Sorry. 300 cubic feet per minute of air at about 100 PSI Α 25 that lifts the cuttings up the hole, gets them out of the

1 way so you can continue to drill. 2 And when they were about a hundred feet deep, so 3 they were 70 or 80 feet below the bottom of the lagoon, 4 the lagoon started bubbling. So the air that they were 5 using to lift their cuttings in the hole, 100 feet away 6 from the lagoon was daylighting in the bottom of the 7 lagoon. 8 And what that -- what that really tells us is what 9 the integrity of this ten to the minus six liner really If air is going to come up through it, then seepage 10 is. is definitely going to go down through it with nine or 11 12 ten or fifteen or twenty feet of head on it. 13 So it was really direct evidence that this -- this 14 liner that we've been talking about for two days is just 15 not sufficient to prevent groundwater contamination. 16 It also shows a direct conduit between the lagoon 17 and the subsurface 100 feet deep. Along those lines, that lagoon was represented to you as 18 Q 19 having been built to NRCS standards; correct? 20 Α That is correct. 21 So that's built to one times ten to the minus six; 0 22 correct? 23 А Yes. 24 And then it was an operating lagoon, so it would be 0 25 assumed that that so-called manure seal added some

1		additional I'm trying to think of the right way to
2		phrase it some additional inhibition of permeability?
3	A	That's correct.
4	Q	And, nonetheless, it was bubbling up through?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Ms. Barney asked you about whether the Clean Water Act
7		the the Cow Palace case was a RCRA case, Resource
8		Conservation Recovery Act; right?
9	A	That's correct.
10	Q	And the Clean Water Act deals with surface water
11		discharges. That's what the point she was trying to
12		make; correct?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	And RCRA governs groundwater; correct?
15	А	RCRA governs groundwater, but it's more focused on waste
16		disposal and waste characterization.
17	Q	Right. But one of the things RCRA does, among its many
18		hundreds of pages of statutory information and thousands
19		of pages of regulatory implementation documents one of
20		the things it does is try to protect groundwater;
21		correct?
22		MS. HOWARD: Objection, Your Honor.
23		Calls for a legal conclusion.
24		JUDGE FRANCKS: I'm going to allow his
25		understanding.
		0//
		/+(

1	A	That is correct. Groundwater monitoring is a large part
2		of RCRA as associated to waste and other releases.
3	Q	(By Mr. Tebbutt) And under Washington law, groundwater
4		is considered waters of the state; right?
5	A	That is correct.
6	Q	So the bottom line is here, sir, regardless whether
7		and Ms. Barney also asked you about well, yeah, the
8		maintenance of lagoons.
9		So Cow Palace had a nutrient management plan that
10		was approved by NRCS; correct?
11	A	I believe it was written by and approved by NRCS, yes.
12	Q	Right. And so they were required to maintain their
13		lagoons under that NMP; correct?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And in your opinion, did Cow Palace maintain their
16		lagoons in compliance with that NMP?
17	А	I don't believe that they did. The lagoons did not look
18		like they should have if they were 100 percent completed
19		to the standard.
20	Q	Right. And the erosional features that we talked about
21		yesterday, those would be examples of not maintaining
22		those lagoons to those standards; right?
23	А	Correct.
24	Q	So regardless of whether this is really the bottom
25		line: Regardless of whether these facilities bring their
		94

1 lagoons back up to NRCS standards, isn't it your opinion 2 that they'll still be discharging pollution to 3 groundwater? 4 Α It is. 5 MR. TEBBUTT: That's all I have. 6 Thank you. 7 JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay. So board 8 questions for Mr. Erickson? 9 Mr. Wise, you can go first. 10 EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. WISE: 12 Good morning, Mr. Erickson. I just had one area of Q 13 questions. If you had a lagoon -- manure lagoon with an 14 artificial liner and you went to clean that, what would 15 happen to that liner while you were cleaning it? 16 So that's part of that engineering discussion on how you А 17 manage these liners, but what we're doing now is 18 incorporating an agitator, fairly common piece of 19 equipment that the dairies use into the lagoon itself. 20 So we've got a floating agitator out there. They 21 use the lagoon for conveying water to the fields, and 22 then every year or every couple years, when they want to 23 clean it, they kick this agitator on. 24 And it stirs up that whole -- all of the solids that 25 are on the bottom, and then they're pumped off to be --

1 to go to compost or to be used in the field as an 2 application. So the only protective measures you really have to 3 4 take is we call it -- we call it a rub sheet, but in the 5 areas where the ropes tether to that agitator, we add one 6 more sheet of that -- that geosynthetic liner so that the 7 rope doesn't rub through the liner over time. 8 0 So normally, if you use that method, you wouldn't damage 9 the liner when you were cleaning? 10 Α Correct. It's -- that's designed for that. So a little 11 bit of that is based on the selection of your liner, so you can't select too thin of a liner or the agitator 12 13 could damage it. 14 So for the most part, we've been using what we call 15 a 60 mil liner, which is about an eighth of an inch 16 thick, and the -- it can take the agitation pressures and 17 still be functional and not leak. 18 MR. WISE: Thank you. 19 THE WITNESS: You bet. JUDGE FRANCKS: Ms. Brown. 20 21 EXAMINATION 22 BY MS. BROWN: 23 0 Yeah. So this is I think a very simplistic question, but 24 the lagoons, I understand, are designed to leak? 25 That is correct. Ά

1	Q	So why were they designed that way in the first place?
2	A	In my opinion and if you go back and look at the
3		accepted soil data for the liner like we've talked, it's
4		not really clay. It's a silt and a sand. It's a very
5		easy standard to obtain. You can usually do it with
6		on-site soils.
7		So I believe the decision was made, how do we give
8		some level of protection but we don't incur any real
9		expense?
10		So I truly believe that that was the decision that
11		was made.
12	Q	So the protection that was anticipated was from going
13		through the soil slowly? Was that the idea?
14	A	Yes. Yes. Slowing down the slowing down the leakage
15		rate.
16	Q	Okay. So then all of these existing lagoons that are out
17		there that are designed to leak, is the only way that you
18		would know of to make them not leak anymore is to put in
19		some sort of synthetic liner?
20	A	So you could let me give you just a little history.
21		About 1988, when I started in this industry, we put
22		in clay liners, and the construction of a true two-foot
23		clay liner is challenging at best.
24		In order to get the compaction and to get it sealed
25		like it should, you spend as much time in the field with

1 equipment and rollers and adding water. 2 You spend so much time in the field that it's 3 actually cost-prohibitive, and a lot of times you can't 4 meet the spec that you need. 5 So in my opinion, the -- the -- we shouldn't go as 6 far as the EPA is at Cow Palace where we have 7 double-lined leak detection, basically 8 hazardous-waste-type lagoons, but there are simple ways 9 of incorporating two feet of actual clay with a 10 geosynthetic on top that would give you a -- so much 11 higher level of protection than a ten to the minus six, and literally get rid of this discussion, if you will. 12 13 That's about the best and the minimum liner that I 14 can see using at these locations. 15 Okay. There is -- there isn't anything you can add to 0 16 the manure lagoon, chemicals or whatever, that would seal 17 it or -- like you do when you're -- something on your car 18 leaks or --19 Radiator stop leak? Α 20 Yeah. Exactly. 0 21 MR. TEBBUTT: That only works for a 22 little while. 23 Α There's -- there's -- there's nothing that we've found. 24 (By Ms. Brown) Okay. 0 You would really have to dig up the soil and add like a 25 Α

bentonite clay or something to it and then lay it back 1 2 down and compact it. 3 So you really have to get back into the bottom of 4 the lagoon. And by the time you take all those actions, 5 the liner becomes cheaper. 6 So some of the costs that we talked about with 7 Ms. Barney are for that Cadillac design, if you will. So 8 it could be done cheaper than the -- those examples. 9 MS. BROWN: Thank you. 10 EXAMINATION 11 BY MS. MARCHIORO: 12 0 So back to the last question about the sealant. Are you 13 familiar with earthen irrigation canals in Central 14 Washington? 15 I am. Α 16 And don't they use at times a sealant that helps -- is 0 17 put into the canal before the irrigation season to create 18 a seal for that particular year? 19 They do, and you're right. It's a kind of a bentonite Α 20 mixture that they spray on, and it works for one season, 21 and then they have to reapply the next season. 22 But there's a mechanism that's used to prevent leaking? 0 23 Α There is. 24 Okay. And then in terms of -- I just wondering about the 0 25 lagoon leaking as -- you were talking about the only way 952

1		to determine was the groundwater monitoring, but could
2		you not do a mass balance?
3	A	Yeah. And that that that idea has been beat to
4		death. All right. So what the mass balance involves is
5		monitoring the liquid level in the lagoon over the course
6		of a couple days.
7		At the same time, you have to have a some other
8		test equipment on-site to determine what the evaporation
9		rate is, and you have to balance that with basically the
10		type of day you have, how hot it is, is the wind blowing.
11		And you're really looking at changes in liquid level that
12		are a millimeter or less.
13		So we've done the mass balance a couple times. It
14		always seems like you come up with an answer that's like
15		might be leaking a little bit, might not be leaking a
16		little bit.
17		So it's not a it's not reliable, depending on
18		what's happening at the site and weather conditions.
19	Q	And in your experience?
20	A	In my experience and in review of quite a bit of data.
21	Q	Okay. I was just wondering, in that photo there was a
22		find it. If you just trying to understand what
23		this so if you can have somebody direct it over to the
24		left, it looks like a little housing development adjacent
25		to a facility.

1		Let me see. The monitoring well the monitoring
2		well to the north of it says 0.88. See where it is?
3		It's down in your bottom left corner. See that? If you
4		can move it up a little bit, please. Yep.
5		What is that facility? Do you know?
6	A	So this right here? That's another dairy.
7	Q	Okay. What's the name of that dairy?
8	A	I don't recognize that dairy.
9	Q	So it's not part of your cluster?
10	A	No. So the graphs that I put up yesterday kind of ended
11		down here because, as we get into these other dairies, we
12		know that there's going to be additional impacts to
13		groundwater, and they require more monitoring to kind of
14		complete my the picture that I presented.
15	Q	Okay. So you don't know what their operations are or
16		anything?
17	A	I do not.
18	Q	Okay. And so we're looking at is that in the same
19		would the groundwater be moving in the same direction off
20		of that particular dairy northeast to southwest?
21	A	Can you just back up like one click maybe?
22		Yes. So we have we have data right in this area,
23		so groundwater flow would be this way. (Indicating.)
24		MS. MARCHIORO: Okay. Thank you. And
25		then you shot down one my one scientific term, mass

David Erickson - Further exam by Charles M. Tebbutt

1 balance, so I won't ask that again. 2 Okay. Great. Thank you. 3 JUDGE FRANCKS: Questions based on the 4 board questions? 5 MR. TEBBUTT: Couple. 6 FURTHER EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. TEBBUTT: 8 0 With regard to the mass balance, whether you do a mass 9 balance or not, you still can't tell the background 10 conditions of the groundwater unless you have groundwater 11 monitoring; correct? 12 Α That is true, yes. 13 So you don't know what the impacts are from the lagoon 0 14 without the background conditions too; correct? 15 Correct. Α 16 You need upgradient tests to determine that; correct? 0 17 А Yes. 18 0 Okay. And Board Member Marchioro asked you about, like, 19 a community or something, maybe community wells, if 20 they -- you're familiar with the safe drinking water 21 action regulations for community wells? 22 Not directly, no. Α 23 0 Okay. But if there's a community water supply, they're 24 required to have more monitoring of their wells; right? 25 Correct. If they supply more than 25 people, then they Α

1		have to do monthly monitoring.
2	Q	Right. And so the wells that are around the dairy that
3		you and Ms. Marchioro talked about, you don't know
4		specifically how deep those are; right?
5	A	No, I do not.
6	Q	And none of those are monitoring wells installed to
7		determine whether that particular dairy is causing or
8		contributing to groundwater quality violations; correct?
9	A	Correct. Those are private drinking water supply wells.
10	Q	Okay. And then Ms. Brown asked you about NRCS and some
11		of the standards.
12		NRCS is a division of the United States Department
13		of Agriculture; correct?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Not EPA; right?
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	So their mission isn't to protect the environment;
18		correct?
19		MS. HOWARD: Objection, Your Honor.
20		So for one thing, this isn't at issue here, what the
21		different missions are, but also just I do think we're
22		going a little beyond the board questions at this point.
23		JUDGE FRANCKS: Yeah. That's beyond
24		what
25		MR. TEBBUTT: That's not a problem.
		956

1	Q	(By Mr. Tebbutt) Last question, sir. Mr. Wise asked you
2		about artificial liners and how you clean them. Were
3		there other things that you did in your design to allow
4		equipment to get in and out of the lagoons?
5	А	So there's basically three types of lagoons at most of
6		these dairies. The first one in line are settling
7		basins, so they receive the majority of the solids, and
8		they act as decane basins.
9		So the raw liquids come into these basins. It
10		settles out the solids, decanes off the liquid, flows
11		into the next basin through a series of pipes.
12		So the most upgradient basins receive the most
13		solids and require to be cleaned the most often. So we
14		treat the lagoons the lagoons further down the flow
15		path different than the first ones.
16		The ones through the middle of the flow path receive
17		very little solids, so they require very little cleaning.
18		The first ones, you either have to get in there with a
19		tractor to clean them or you have to aggressively agitate
20		them.
21		So what we've done on the design for the settling
22		basins is, we've incorporated a concrete ramp into the
23		bottom, and then the liner manufacturers make a product
24		that you cast into the concrete, and then you can weld
25		that liner right to the concrete.

1		So you can access the lagoon that has the highest
2		solid content with a tractor or a piece of equipment to
3		clean it on a concrete pad.
4	Q	Right. And so the leak detection system that's built
5		into the system between the liners, what's that
6		what doesn't that provide you with information as to
7		whether the top liner has been reached?
8	А	Correct. So the reason the EPA incorporated the leak
9		detection, which is just looks kind of like a
10		geosynthetic web, if you will, but it provides
11		permeability in case the top liner leaks and liquid
12		enters between the top liner and the secondary liner that
13		runs into the sump and you can detect it.
14		So that tells you if you have a leak in your primary
15		liner, and you can repair that before you ever leak
16		through your secondary liner.
17	Q	Right. And that leak detection system can actually kind
18		of pinpoint where the problem is; right?
19	A	Correct. Yes.
20	Q	And so without that, wouldn't you have to go in and empty
21		the whole lagoon if the monitoring wells were there alone
22		without leak detection?
23		MS. BARNEY: Your Honor, I'm going to
24		object at this point. Seems like we've gone way beyond
25		cleaning the facility of this

1 JUDGE FRANCKS: And I'm going to 2 sustain that. Gone beyond the question. 3 MR. TEBBUTT: Just trying to create 4 the record so you understand everything. That's what 5 we're here for. 6 JUDGE FRANCKS: So you're done with 7 your questions? 8 MR. TEBBUTT: Yes. Thank you. 9 JUDGE FRANCKS: Anyone else have follow-up? 10 11 MS. BARNEY: Ecology does. 12 JUDGE FRANCKS: Ms. Barney. 13 FURTHER EXAMINATION 14 BY MS. BARNEY: Mr. Erickson, I'm interested in the question that Board 15 Q 16 Member Marchioro asked you about the mass balance work. 17 Am I correct in remembering that the NRCS standard 18 assumption is approximately 5,000 gallons of leakage per 19 acre per day? I think it's a thousand. 20 А But its initial --21 0 22 Oh, so ten to the minus six at 5,000? That would be А 23 pretty close, yes. 24 So between 1,000 and 5,000 gallons per day? Q 25 А Correct.

1 0 So this is -- is this like taking a 1,000-to-5,000-gallon 2 bucket and dumping it directly into groundwater? 3 No, it is not. Α 4 Because what I heard you say was that over a couple of 0 5 days, doing the mass balance equation, you were only 6 talking about changing the level in the -- for 7 measurement purposes, changing the level in the lagoon by 8 millimeters; correct? 9 MR. TEBBUTT: Objection. 10 Mischaracterizes testimony. This wasn't mass balance. 11 This was the hydrous model, which is a different thing. This was --12 Α No. No. 13 MR. TEBBUTT: I apologize. Ι 14 withdraw. 15 (By Ms. Barney) So -- and that change in levels of 0 16 millimeter in an impoundment or around an acre or even 17 larger, the problem with using that mass balance approach 18 is that it's barely even detectable? 19 The problem is, it's -- I'm going to say this and Α 20 probably have to explain it. It's within the noise of 21 the data that you collect. 22 So you have multiple things going on while you're 23 doing this test. You have an evaporation, and you have 24 variable rates of evaporation, and then, in theory, you 25 have seepage.

1		So it's hard to tell what is contributing the most
2		to that loss of water, and the biggest problem is that we
3		take a we take a clean pan of clean water and put
4		it on the side of the lagoon, and we monitor the
5		evaporation in that pan and we say, "Okay. That
6		correlates with the evaporation in the lagoon."
7		But you've got two completely different liquids that
8		you're looking at. One, you've got clear water, and the
9		other one, you've got this black liquid with high
10		organics.
11		So if your evaporation doesn't correlate, then it's
12		really tough to tease out of the data, if you will,
13		what's seepage and what's evaporation.
14		So we early on in the Cow Palace litigation, we
15		tried to do a few of these mass balance, and at the end
16		of the day, the EPA finally just threw it out and said,
17		"We're not going to accept that data because there's too
18		much room to argue about where your loss is."
19	Q	So but your loss overall is still only in millimeters?
20	A	Correct. So if you're losing a thousand gallons a day in
21		a four-acre 25-million-gallon lagoon, then it's a very
22		small percentage of your total volume.
23	Q	So that again, that's a different scenario than your
24		earlier figure that you and I discussed where you modeled
25		the breach where you actually do have would that be

1 something that you could visibly determine? 2 Would that be lower the level of lagoon larger than just a few millimeters potentially? 3 4 That's okay. I know you didn't model that. I don't 5 want to necessarily hold you to an answer, if you're not 6 comfortable. 7 Thank you. I'm calculating here, but I'm struggling a Α 8 little bit. 9 MS. BARNEY: Fair enough. Thank you 10 very much. That's all I have. 11 JUDGE FRANCKS: Is that -- Ms. Howard? 12 MS. HOWARD: Thank you. Thank you. 13 FURTHER EXAMINATION 14 BY MS. HOWARD: 15 So the questions about bentonite and sealing, isn't that, 0 16 in fact, the concept that's used under either the NRCS 17 standard or any other standard where you actually would do an additive into the liner in order to reduce the 18 19 permeability or the seepage of the liner? Yes. It is used. It is a method. 20 Α 21 And, in fact, in the NRCS standard, it's required that 0 22 you do those additives in order to meet the requirements 23 to ensure you have a properly designed lagoon? Is that 24 consistent with sort of the sealing idea? 25 MR. TEBBUTT: Objection. Lack of

1		foundation. No discussion of what standard she's
2		referring to.
3		MS. HOWARD: I think the witness has
4		indicated that he understands the NRCS standards.
5	A	So, yes, the you know, adding additional clay or silt
6		to the mixture is a way to reduce the permeability of the
7		liner.
8	Q	(By Ms. Howard) Okay.
9	А	That is that what
10	Q	Yeah. Yeah. Exactly.
11		The agitator that we were talking about too, you
12		said that that's fairly common on dairy farms?
13	A	It is.
14	Q	And is that also that method also used on
15		earthen-lined lagoons?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And if that method is used on earthen-lined lagoons, then
18		you would also not need to do sort of the cleaning of the
19		earthen-lined lagoons of the solids there as well;
20		correct?
21	А	Correct. But I would be concerned, again, with that
22		with just the energy that's put into that liquid actually
23		eroding the liner at the bottom.
24	Q	Have you seen that happen?
25	A	I have not.

1		MS. HOWARD: Okay. No further
2		questions.
3		JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay.
4		MR. TEBBUTT: May I ask one follow-up?
5		JUDGE FRANCKS: Based on what?
б		MR. TEBBUTT: Based on what Ms. Howard
7		just asked about retarding leakage, about adding stuff to
8		the liners to stop the leakage. Just one question.
9		JUDGE FRANCKS: One question.
10		FURTHER EXAMINATION
11		BY MR. TEBBUTT:
12	Q	So Ms. Marchioro also asked you about this, adding some
13		kind of spray sealant to the canals.
14		That doesn't stop leakage, just retards leakage a
15		little bit more; correct?
16	A	Correct. It just reduces the permeability of that of
17		that of the area where it's applied.
18		MR. TEBBUTT: Okay. Thank you.
19		JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay. Mr. Erickson,
20		you are excused.
21		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
22		JUDGE FRANCKS: Thank you very much.
23		So we're going to take a ten-minute break.
24		Are we calling Ms. Reddout next?
25		MR. TEBBUTT: We are.
		964

1 JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay. So get the 2 phone ready to go. 3 MR. TEBBUTT: We shall. 4 JUDGE FRANCKS: If you need Lynn's 5 help, let her know. 6 MR. TEBBUTT: Thank you. 7 JUDGE FRANCKS: We're off the record. (Recess taken from 10:30 a.m. 8 to 10:44 a.m.) 9 10 JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay. Have a seat. Let's go back on the record. 11 12 Mr. Tebbutt, you have a new witness for us? 13 MR. TEBBUTT: We do have a new witness, but it's not me, Your Honor. 14 15 Mrs. Reddout, can you hear us? 16 MS. REDDOUT: Yes, I can. 17 MR. TEBBUTT: All right. Will the 18 court reporter please swear you in. 19 20 having been first duly sworn HELEN REDDOUT, 21 by the Certified Court 22 Reporter, testified as follows: 23 24 //// 25 //// 965

1		DIRECT EXAMINATION
2		BY MR. TEBBUTT:
3	Q	Good morning, Mrs. Reddout. Thank you for appearing by
4		telephone and glad you're feeling a little better.
5	A	I am. Thank you for asking.
б	Q	Just for background and because you're not here, can you
7		just tell the board a little bit about your background,
8		you know, just quickly where you grew up and where you
9		live now and how long you've lived there.
10	A	Okay. Raised in Southern California from my junior
11		year or junior high year. We moved back to Missouri
12		where my dad bought a dairy. That was an experience.
13		And then in when I graduated in '54, I came out
14		here to work and met my husband, and we were married in
15		'54 and bought orchards shortly after that.
16		And I've been farming here for 64 years now.
17	Q	When you say "here," where is that? What's the town, the
18		community you live in?
19	A	The closest one is Granger, Washington. It's in the
20		Lower Yakima Valley.
21	Q	Is that also known kind of as the Outlook and Zillah
22		area?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. And I assume you have children?
25	A	I do. I have I have five children, one who is

1		deceased, so I now have four living children. I have
2		eleven grandchildren and I have great-grandchildren, ten.
3	Q	You said you had orchards. What kind of orchards?
4	А	Cherry orchards, for the most part. We probably have
5		about 5 percent diversified apples and Italian prunes.
6	Q	And how many decades have you been doing cherry farming?
7	A	Sixty-four years.
8	Q	Sixty-four years. All right.
9	А	Yeah.
10	Q	And are you what's your role for CARE? What is your
11		title?
12	А	I am the president of CARE organization.
13	Q	And are you one of the founding members of CARE?
14	А	I am.
15	Q	And when was CARE founded, approximately?
16	А	In '97.
17	Q	Okay. And was CARE the lead or actually the only
18		litigant in a number of Clean Water Act enforcement cases
19		against big dairies in the Lower Yakima Valley in the
20		late '90s?
21	A	Yes, we were.
22	Q	And did that include cases against the Bosma and Liberty
23		dairies?
24	A	Yes, it was.
25	Q	And the George DeRuyter & Sons Dairy?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And a number of other dairies as well?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And were the Bosma case actually went to trial in
5		front of Judge Shea in the federal district of Eastern
б		Oregon, didn't it?
7	А	Yes. Down in Richland, mm-hm.
8	Q	And that was appealed to the Ninth Circuit Court of
9		Appeals; correct?
10	A	Correct.
11	Q	And a number of the cases eventually settled, didn't
12		they?
13	A	Yes, they did. Once they saw how what overwhelming
14		proof we had of what we were saying, then they decided
15		they'd come to the table and negotiate, which was what we
16		wanted to start with.
17	Q	And, ma'am, are you familiar with the term "consent
18		decree" after all these years?
19	A	Yes, I am.
20	Q	And did CARE enter into consent decrees with a number of
21		the dairies?
22	A	Yes, we have.
23		MS. HOWARD: I'm just going to object
24		on the grounds of relevance to this line of questioning.
25		How it again, how it relates to the issues before the

1 board is very unclear. 2 JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay. And I --3 THE WITNESS: I didn't hear that. 4 JUDGE FRANCKS: Ms. Reddout, there's 5 an objection from the dairy lawyers, and so -- this is 6 Heather Francks. I'm the administrative appeals judge 7 who's presiding in this case. 8 So I am going to decide -- if people object to some 9 of the questions that your lawyer is asking you, I'm 10 going to decide whether you can answer those questions or 11 not. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 JUDGE FRANCKS: So if you hear -- I'm 14 not sure how much you can hear, but if you hear 15 talking --16 THE WITNESS: I can hear your voice. 17 Such a distance, I couldn't hear what she was saying. I 18 could hear her voice. 19 JUDGE FRANCKS: I think if you hear 20 anything other than a question to you, maybe hold and 21 wait for us to tell you what's going to happen. 22 THE WITNESS: I can do that. 23 JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay. Great. Thank 2.4 you so much. 25 So -- okay. So I am going to allow a few more

1 questions in this area, but I think we are on the edge of 2 relevance to the issues in this case. 3 MR. TEBBUTT: Yeah. I understand, 4 Your Honor. This is just kind of background, just 5 setting the historical record. 6 (By Mr. Tebbutt) So the -- the settlements with some of 0 7 the dairies, did they include a provision about studying 8 groundwater contamination in the Lower Yakima Valley? Yes. When we won the first case with Bosma, we took all 9 Α 10 of the money that came from that case and we gave it to 11 two institutions, Heritage College and the Valley 12 Institute for Research and Education. 13 And they ran water studies on low income residents 14 here in the valley. 15 And do you recall what the general findings were in terms 0 16 of the percentage of pollution of residential wells of 17 low income residents for those two studies? 18 Α Yes. 20 percent of the wells that were tested were 19 tested at 10 parts per million or higher; and some of 20 them, much higher. 21 0 And was that in a time period of about 2002 and 2003? 22 I believe that's correct. Α 23 0 And, ma'am, in 2006, was CARE the chief appellant 24 challenging the Department of Ecology's 2006 CAFO permit? 25 А Yes, we were.

1 And in that challenge, did CARE request groundwater and 0 2 surface water monitoring, among other things? 3 Α Yes, we did. 4 Okay. Soon after -- sometime after that, around 2008, is 0 5 there a report that came out or a report by a newspaper 6 about the contamination in the Lower Yakima Valley? 7 MS. HOWARD: Objection. Again, Your 8 Honor --9 MR. TEBBUTT: Hang on just a second, 10 Helen. We have an objection. 11 MS. HOWARD: Again, a relevance 12 objection. Newspaper article from 2008, that I just, 13 again, don't see how this is relevant to the issues 14 before the board. 15 MR. TEBBUTT: For foundational 16 purposes, and it's very important for just -- it's just 17 this question that leads into the next, if you'll allow 18 it. 19 JUDGE FRANCKS: I'm going to sustain 20 that objection. I think a newspaper report is beyond the 21 scope of the issues in this case about the permit. 22 MR. TEBBUTT: All right. Just note an 23 exception for the record. 24 (By Mr. Tebbutt) In the late 2008-2009 era, were you 0 contacted by EPA about the --25 971

Capitol Pacific Reporting 800.407.0148
1 Α Yes. 2 -- contamination in the Lower Yakima Valley? 0 3 Α Yes, we were. 4 Describe that, please, for the board. 0 5 MS. HOWARD: Your Honor, I'm going to 6 object again. I just -- I'm really concerned here that 7 we are going well, well beyond the scope of what the 8 permit terms are and whether they comply with the statutes that are at issue here. 9 10 This is not relevant to the case, and it's -- and 11 this is -- this line of questioning is being done in 12 order to establish a negative view of the dairy industry. 13 And, again, that is highly prejudicial, and so, 14 again, relevance ground objection and also just grounds 15 of this line of questioning is intended to establish 16 prejudice and really is improper in this proceeding. 17 JUDGE FRANCKS: I am going to allow a 18 little more, but we need to bring it up to the present 19 pretty quick. 20 That's what we're doing. MR. TEBBUTT: 21 We've got to go through the timeline to get there. 22 (By Mr. Tebbutt) So the EPA study that -- well, tell us 0 23 a little more. Please answer the question. 24 What were your discussions with EPA about? 25 Α Well, after -- after the newspaper article came out, EPA

1 contacted us, and we talked with them for several -- oh, 2 several meetings, and finally they decided that the 3 situation was bad enough over here that they needed to 4 run a study. 5 And I believe the study ran for, oh, two, three 6 It was a long time. It was a very thorough study years. 7 where they had taken the samples that they took here at 8 the valley, and not only took them to their lab but to 9 several other labs throughout the United States. 10 MS. HOWARD: Your Honor --11 MR. TEBBUTT: Let me stop you there, 12 if I may. 13 MS. HOWARD: And pardon. Just two 14 objections. Actually, one, we're definitely getting, I 15 think, even beyond the hearsay that's allowed under this 16 board's rules and that discussion. 17 And then also we specifically addressed already 18 whether this expert could provide -- or whether this 19 witness could provide expert testimony to try to talk 20 about an EPA study, what it means, and how to interpret 21 it I think is well into the realm of expert witness 22 testimony. 23 JUDGE FRANCKS: I'm going to sustain 24 that objection because what Ms. Reddout can testify about 25 is her own personal knowledge.

1 MR. TEBBUTT: Right. And that's all 2 I'm asking her. 3 JUDGE FRANCKS: Well, I think we were 4 going into too much detail about what the study entailed 5 and what -- how they did it. 6 MR. TEBBUTT: Okay. I didn't ask her 7 that. She was just discussing that. Witness's tend to 8 talk and give answers that they want to. I can't control 9 that. 10 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 11 (By Mr. Tebbutt) That's okay, Helen. That's all right. 0 12 You're doing fine. 13 So did you assist EPA in helping to get residential 14 wells to test for that study? 15 No. Because we were supposed to stay out of it and stay А 16 neutral. 17 Okay. Thank you. 0 18 Then was CARE a litigant in the -- what we've been 19 referring to in this hearing as the cluster litigation, 20 the cases against Cow Palace, Bosma dairy, and DeRuyter & 21 Sons, and DNA dairy? 22 Yes. Definitely we were. А 23 0 And did CARE enter consent decrees in those cases with 24 those defendants? 25 А Yes, we did.

1	Q	And was one of the components of the consent decree
2		setting up a clean drinking water project for to test
3		and provide alternative water to people whose homes have
4		been contaminated with
5	А	That's correct.
6	Q	nitrates?
7		And did you assist in the development and
8		implementation of that drinking water project?
9	A	Yes, I did.
10	Q	And was
11	А	CARE did.
12	Q	Nuestra Casa the entity that CARE hired to visit homes
13		to do outreach in the community to get wells tested and
14		to help provide alternative water?
15	A	That's correct.
16	Q	Okay. Can you describe in terms well, let me ask you
17		this: How many large dairy CAFOs are in the area where
18		you live?
19	А	Well, it varies so much. We usually say 60 to 80.
20	Q	Okay.
21	A	Even well, 60 to 80.
22	Q	And just describe, in general terms, what those dairies'
23		impacts have been to your life in the Yakima Valley.
24	A	Well, from my point of view, they have taken a beautiful
25		agricultural valley where we can smell the flowers and
		974

1		being harvested and we can smell good clean air, and
2		they've turned into a toxic waste dump where the air is
3		polluted and the water is polluted, and it's unsafe for
4		human habitation truthfully.
5	Q	Thank you, Ms. Reddout. Just a couple other things.
б		Is it your understanding or do you believe that
7		everyone in the Yakima Valley knows whether their water
8		is contaminated or not for those people who rely on
9		drinking water?
10		MS. HOWARD: Objection, Your Honor.
11		Calls for speculation.
12		MR. TEBBUTT: Stop for just a second,
13		Helen. We have an objection.
14		MS. HOWARD: Calls for speculation.
15		We have not laid the foundation for this question.
16		JUDGE FRANCKS: I'm going to sustain
17		that objection.
18	Q	(By Mr. Tebbutt) Mrs. Reddout, have you talked to lots
19		of people in the community about the concerns of
20		groundwater contamination and drinking contaminated
21		groundwater?
22	A	Yes, I have.
23	Q	And do you believe all of those people can afford to pay
24		for alternative drinking water themselves?
25		MS. HOWARD: Objection. Your Honor,
		976

1 again, we've not laid the foundation for this question, 2 and this is borderline expert witness testimony as well. 3 MR. TEBBUTT: It's not at all. 4 JUDGE FRANCKS: I'm going to sustain 5 that. That's her opinion about whether they can afford 6 the cost. 7 (By Mr. Tebbutt) Do you know lots of people in the area 0 8 and what their income levels are, Mrs. Reddout? 9 Well, I know, when I worked at the school as a teacher Α 10 back in the '70s, that 85 percent of the children 11 attending school there qualified for free lunch. 12 So we're dealing with an income that is very --13 very -- what do I want to say? -- limited. 14 Q Okay. And you taught -- was that at the Outlook -- what 15 level did you teach? 16 I taught junior high at the Granger High School. Α 17 Okay. And have you spoken with people in the community 0 18 about the dangers of drinking contaminated nitrate water? 19 Yes. And it's very difficult to get the point over Α 20 because it's something you can't see, and it's something 21 you can't taste. 22 And so if it's a matter of taking care of this 23 problem or buying shoes for the children or food for the 24 table, they neglect the drinking water. 25 Okay. And do you have -- is your well contaminated above 0 977

1		ten parts per million?
2	A	Yes, it is.
3	Q	Do you have a reverse osmosis system on your faucet at
4		your house?
5	A	Yes, I do. That takes care of the kitchen sink only.
6	Q	Okay. And describe for us what reverse osmosis system
7		is, how it works and how easy it is to use.
8	А	Well, it's a tank. Looks kind of like a propane tank.
9		It sits underneath your sink, and it has filters and
10		diaphragms in it that processes the water.
11		And so the contaminated nitrate contaminated water
12		comes in, runs through that system, and then it comes out
13		of your faucet clean supposedly clean.
14	Q	Does the water come out as quickly through that filter as
15		it does from a kitchen sink without the reverse osmosis
16		system?
17	A	No. And it's rather aggravating to go through your sink
18		and have a special faucet where you can get clean water
19		from your own well.
20	Q	And does the reverse osmosis system require maintenance?
21	A	Yes, it does.
22	Q	How often?
23	A	Well, that depends on how polluted the water is. With
24		me, it's once or twice a year. For some people, it's
25		two every two months or three months. It depends
		978

1		because that diaphragm will fill up with particles and
2		then at that point it's not of value.
3	Q	So it's not an easy process, not as easy as just turning
4		on your tap for the groundwater?
5	А	No, definitely isn't. And it I don't think
6		personally I don't think it tastes as good either. It's
7		processed water as opposed to the old water that we used
8		to have where we could just turn the faucet on
9	Q	All right.
10	А	and drink.
11	Q	Helen, have I represented you for the entire span of the
12		CARE litigation in the Yakima Valley?
13	А	Yes, you have.
14		MR. TEBBUTT: All right. Thank you.
15		That's all the questions I have.
16		You'll get some questions perhaps from Department of
17		Ecology's lawyer, Ms. Barney, or, I think, Ms. Howard for
18		the industry, and you may get some questions from the
19		board as well. So hang on.
20		THE WITNESS: Okay.
21		JUDGE FRANCKS: Ms. Barney?
22		MS. BARNEY: Ecology has no questions.
23		JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay. Ms. Howard?
24		MS. HOWARD: No questions.
25		JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay. Board members?
		97

1 MR. WISE: Nothing. 2 JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay. No redirect? 3 Okay. Ms. Reddout, thank you very much for your 4 testimony. You're excused. 5 MR. TEBBUTT: Thank you very much, 6 Helen. You may hang up now. 7 THE WITNESS: Thank you. Bye-bye. 8 MR. TEBBUTT: And with that, reserving 9 any potential rebuttal witnesses, the plaintiffs have put 10 in their case in chief. 11 JUDGE FRANCKS: Excellent. All right. 12 MR. TEBBUTT: I should say for the 13 record, most of their case in chief. Some has been 14 disallowed. 15 JUDGE FRANCKS: So, Ms. Howard --16 MS. HOWARD: Yes. 17 JUDGE FRANCKS: -- do we have a 18 witness? 19 MS. HOWARD: We do, Your Honor. Ι 20 am -- before we call our live witnesses, I just want to 21 touch briefly on Mr. Reck's testimony. 22 JUDGE FRANCKS: We'll move that later. 23 MS. HOWARD: So obviously one of our 24 witnesses was Mr. Reck. We've already talked about this 25 a lot.

as,
ome
ay
our
eve
-У
at
98

starting at the beginning, Mr. Reck lays out his
professional background and position with NRCS, starting
at Page 11, Line 24, through Page 14, Line 18, and then
also touches on it again on Page 15, Line 2, through
Page 17.

6 He then talks about the Standard 313, which, again, 7 is the standard from NRCS for the national standard for 8 animal waste storage lagoons, the basis for that 9 standard, the research and experience and information 10 that goes into that standard, starting on Page 18, 11 Line 21, through 19, Line 10, and then again on Page 21, 12 Line 11, through 23, Line 6.

Within the context of that discussion, he talks about how that standard has been in place for 30 years -that's on Page 13, Line 10 -- and then also talks about the fact that there's no other analogous standard in the United States. That's on Page 24, Line 16 through 22, and then on Page 26, Line 14 through -- excuse me --Line 4 through 18.

He talks about NRCS liner construction and its purpose and how it's protective of groundwater, and particularly references the drinking water standard, the 10 milligrams per liter. Goes into some detail on that, starting on Page 32, Line 11, and that goes through Page 37, Line 7.

1 And then there's some further discussion about that 2 on Page 41, Line 11, through Page 46, Line 4. 3 He talks about manure sealing as well a little bit 4 further back in the deposition transcript. That's 5 Page 52, Line 9, through Page 54, Line 11. And he talks 6 about some of the different topics on that, that we've 7 discussed this week. 8 Then there's discussion about the different types of 9 liners that are -- that meet the NRCS standards, clay 10 liners as well as other types of liners that meet the 11 NRCS standards, and goes through that in some detail, 12 beginning on Page 54, Line 19, through Page 59, Line 9. 13 And he discusses specifically groundwater protection 14 from the liners and from the lagoons on Page 35, Lines 19 15 through 23, Page 36, Line 2 through 10, and then Page 83, 16 Lines 10 through 19. 17 And within that discussion also is a discussion 18 about seepage, which we've had a number of questions and 19 discussion on, and that is on Page 37, Line 8, to 20 Page 41, Line 1. 21 And then finally the two-foot vertical separation 22 issue is referenced in two different places as well, and 23 that is on Line -- excuse me -- on Page 61, Line 19, 24 through 69, Line 21. Then Page 73, Lines 5 through 11, 25 and Page 87, Lines 16 through Page 90, Line 4.

1 All of these are within the designated portions of 2 the transcript, and that did not cover all of the 3 designated portions of the transcript, but I did want to 4 highlight those in particular as they relate directly to 5 the issues that we've been talking about the last few 6 days and are definitely an important part of our case in 7 this matter. 8 And I'm now --9 MR. SNYDER: Your Honor, if I could 10 beg the board's indulgence one more time on this matter, 11 I --12 JUDGE FRANCKS: Well, Ms. Howard 13 wasn't finished, so when she's finished, sure. 14 MS. HOWARD: I was actually going to 15 go ahead and turn it over to Ms. Nicholson to call our first live witness. 16 17 JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay. So go ahead. 18 MR. SNYDER: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 My clients have been deprived the opportunity to cross 20 Mr. Reck on any of these topics, and as we've stated numerous times, part of the reason of that is our 21 22 contention this is unreasonable. 23 But we believe the ability to cross Mr. Reck, 24 especially in the context of this hearing, is a 25 constitutional component of due process, especially the 984

1 right to a fair hearing here. 2 So I just want to make sure that objection is also 3 lodged on the record because that's something we're going 4 to be pursuing on the record. 5 JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay. That is on the 6 record. 7 Ms. Barney, do you have any comment or anything that 8 you need to cover with this deposition? 9 MS. BARNEY: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 Ecology doesn't have anything outside of the No. 11 designations that are made in this document, although 12 some -- as Ms. Howard said, some of them are not 13 necessarily all cited in what she just provided. 14 But my understanding is that everything designated 15 in the transcript itself is before the board as an 16 exhibit. 17 JUDGE FRANCKS: Yes. 18 MS. BARNEY: Thank you. 19 JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay. So, Ms. Nicholson? 20 21 MS. NICHOLSON: We call Dr. Kevin 22 Lindsey. 23 MR. TEBBUTT: If I may, just as a 24 housekeeping matter, we weren't informed until this 25 morning in the change of witnesses.

1 JUDGE FRANCKS: And what is the change 2 in witnesses because I don't know either? 3 MR. TEBBUTT: It was going to be 4 Harrison, Haggith, Lindsey, and now it's switched up this 5 morning, so we would appreciate notice from counsel about 6 these changes. But we'll still proceed. 7 MS. NICHOLSON: And if you'd like me 8 to address that, Your Honor, we're trying very hard to 9 get in two witnesses today, and so that's why we switched 10 up our order. We thought that we could, perhaps, with 11 the witnesses that we chose. 12 JUDGE FRANCKS: That's fine. Thank 13 you. 14 You may sit and the court reporter will swear you 15 in. 16 17 KEVIN LINDSEY, PH.D., having been first duly sworn 18 by the Certified Court 19 Reporter, testified as 20 follows: 21 22 JUDGE FRANCKS: Mr. Lindsey, if you 23 wouldn't mind, you can move this phone into the chair in 24 front of you. I know it's a little bit weird, but --25 please proceed.

1		DIDECT TRANSPORT
Ţ		DIRECT EXAMINATION
2		BY MS. NICHOLSON:
3	Q	Good morning, Dr. Lindsey.
4	A	Good morning.
5	Q	Could you please pull out our binders.
б	A	Which are?
7	Q	They should say not the green ones. The really big
8		one. Right in front of you.
9	A	This one or this one?
10	Q	I'm pretty nearsighted, so I can't read them. The other
11		one. Thank you.
12		And I'd like you to look at Exhibit I-7, please.
13	A	Okay.
14	Q	And what is Exhibit I-7?
15	A	That's my resume from EA Engineering.
16	Q	And can you give us a little bit of your work experience,
17		please.
18	А	Sure. I'm a licensed hydrogeologist in the state of
19		Washington. I've worked in this state for 25 or more
20		years, predominantly water resource management, but also
21		some environmental work, some water rights work.
22		Basically water work in Washington and Oregon.
23	Q	And previous to Geoengineers?
24	А	Oh, resume is my EA the resume is my resume from my
25		former employer as of three weeks ago, EA Engineering.
		987

1		Three weeks ago I moved over to Geoengineers.
2	Q	And so you did similar types of jobs for both
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	EA Engineering and Geoengineering?
5	A	That is correct.
6	Q	And okay. And can you give us a little bit of your
7		educational background.
8	A	My educational background, I have a master's and
9		bachelor's of science in geology from University of
10		Missouri Columbia, 1979; master's of science and geology,
11		Idaho State University, 1992; and a Ph.D. in geology from
12		WSU, 1987.
13		Following that, I continued my education through
14		some postdoctoral work at the Hanford site where I
15		started doing a lot of groundwater hydrogeology work as
16		part of the Hanford project.
17		MS. NICHOLSON: Okay. And I'd like to
18		move to admit Exhibit I-7, please.
19		JUDGE FRANCKS: I-7 is admitted.
20		(Exhibit No. I-7 admitted.)
21	Q	(By Ms. Nicholson) And can you now look at Exhibit I-8.
22	А	Okay.
23	Q	And can you tell me if that is your expert report
24		submitted in this matter?
25	А	Yes, it is.

1 I think I would actually like you to start with --Q 2 let's -- I'm going to -- we're going to start at the beginning, and I'd like you to look at Exhibit I-17. 3 4 And is this a drawing that you included with your 5 expert report? 6 Α Yes, it is. 7 Okay. And what I would like you to tell the board this Q 8 morning is give a little bit of the basics of what is a 9 vadose zone? Okay. Well, simply stated, the vadose zone -- make sure 10 Α 11 this button works. 12 The vadose zone is that part of the subsurface that 13 basically goes from ground surface down to what is 14 usually defined as the regional water table. 15 And in this diagram, that's basically here, the 16 ground surface, down to here, the regional water table. 17 (Indicating.) 18 Okay. Q 19 And with -- sorry. Α 20 Q Go ahead. 21 And within the vadose zone, this zone here, it's А 22 basically groundwater -- sorry -- water movement through 23 the vadose zone is controlled by unsaturated conditions 24 as compared to the water table down here where it's 25 controlled by saturated conditions. 989

1	Q	So you're saying that that, by definition, a vadose
2		zone is unsaturated condition?
3	А	Predominantly, yes.
4	Q	Okay. So maybe you could explain, in this picture, what
5		is what is saturated and what is unsaturated in this
б		little drawing?
7	A	In this drawing we have the regional water table down
8		here. That's the saturated zone or the water table or
9		the aquifer.
10		And we've heard a lot about aquifers over the last
11		few days. That's this down here. That's the aquifer,
12		the regional aquifer, underlying the ground surface.
13		(Indicating.)
14		Occasionally, in vadose zones, we will see something
15		called perched water or perched zone in this drawing.
16		That's water that can accumulate on top of a what
17		we'll call a low permeability zone within the vadose
18		zone.
19		Water does move through the vadose zone.
20		Occasionally it will collect on a zone where water
21		movement is restricted or impeded, and if there's enough
22		water there, you will achieve a local saturated condition
23		on that, in this case in this diagram a clay bed.
24		We could have drawn more of that in here, but the
25		purpose of this is just to show the basic simple the

1 basics or the simple basics for what a vadose zone is. 2 Vadose are made out of a variety of material. 3 So the soil in a vadose zone, what you're saying is that 0 4 it varies? 5 It varies based on your conditions, yes. Α 6 0 So what type of soils would you expect to see in a 7 typical vadose zone, say, in Eastern Washington? 8 Α Eastern Washington vadose zone will occur in several 9 basic types of strata. Those of you who have driven 10 around the Palouse have seen some of that, the hills out 11 towards WSU and the Palouse, those are all fine silt and 12 sand. 13 That material is typically unsaturated. It's above 14 the water table. That's -- there's a vadose zone in that 15 stuff. 16 Go down into the Pasco basin around the Tri-Cities. 17 If you've gone to the Ice Age Floods Institute museum, 18 you'll see stops that talk about the cataclysmic flood 19 deposits from the great Ice Age floods. That's usually 20 sand and gravel in many cases. 21 In those areas, if it's unsaturated between ground surface and groundwater, those vadose zone will be sands 22 23 and gravels and intermixed fine grain deposits. 24 Going up into the Yakima Valley, you see other 25 materials that make up the vadose zone, some flood

1 deposits. Mr. Erickson described yesterday ash deposits, 2 a variety of other alluvial material, everything from 3 clay and silts up through sands and the large gravels. 4 So depending on where you're at, your vadose zone 5 typically is some mix of that kind of material, again, 6 depending on where you're at and different types of 7 material present. 8 0 And does that differ for Western Washington? 9 Western Washington has a lot of the same sandy gravel Α 10 material. Well, I shouldn't say the same. Western 11 Washington also has silty, sandy, gravelly, and clay 12 vadose zones. 13 One of the things we see a lot more of in Western 14 Washington, though, is, we see glacial outwash deposits, 15 which are -- could range, again, from clays to gravels. 16 We also sometimes see a lot more peat and organic 17 deposits in some of these strata because there's been a 18 different history, a different hydrologic history, on the 19 west side than on the east side. 20 Okay. So the vadose zone consists of a lot of different 0 21 types of -- can I just say soil types? Is that accurate? 22 Soil types or materials, yes. Α 23 0 Okay. Okay. And so is it correct to assume saturated 24 means wet and unsaturated means dry? 25 No. Saturated -- so we'll start with saturated because Α 992 that's the simplest one. So we've talked about all these materials making up the vadose zone. A saturated interval is that -- is that type of material or that situation where we basically -- let's use sand because sand is very easy to envision.

We have sand grains all piled in against each other. In a saturated condition, all the space between the sand is full of water. There's no air bubbles. There's no nothing. There's nothing else there. It's sand grains and water, water filling up all the space between the sand grains.

You can take that with the clays and gravels, but it's the same basic concept. The space is full of water.

14 In an unsaturated condition, the space has water in 15 it or can have water in it, but also has air. And when 16 we're in an unsaturated state, water is going to be up 17 against the particles -- and we'll stick with the sand --18 in a very lowly -- low moisture content situation in the 19 sand, the water is forming a coat or a skim around each 20 of the sand grains except for they touch each other, 21 unless you add more water to that.

Q I think we'll get into that in just a second. I'm going to stop you there because it's getting pretty detailed there.

25 A Sorry.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1 Let's go back to this figure and just make sure we 0 2 understand everything that's in here. 3 At the top you have a root zone. This is where, I'm 4 assuming, the plant roots reside? 5 That would be the plant root zone, yes. Α 6 Q And you have something called capillary fringe. What is 7 that? 8 Α Capillary fringe, it's a common feature in vadose zones, 9 especially where the vadose zones are coming into contact 10 with the saturated zones. 11 And if you had a glass on your table or a water 12 bottle or whatever, you'll actually see it. If you look 13 closely at a clear container of water, you'll see the 14 water in the container. 15 And then you look around the edge of the glass. 16 You'll see where it's lipping up or wicking up along the 17 inside of the cup or the container. That's capillary 18 action or capillary force, forming a capillary fringe. 19 So in the vadose zone or the unsaturated zone where 20 you have water, you will get these capillary forces 21 active, and basically it's the attractive forces, I 22 think, of the hydrogen bound -- trying to bind to the 23 soil particles. 24 And it starts to move upward, outward, moves through 25 the soil column as the water is being attracted or

1		adhering to the sand grains. And in that cartoon there,
2		the capillary fringe, it actually is moving upward, not
3		downward.
4	Q	Okay. So just to put this in total layman's terms, the
5		capillary fringe, where water can move up the soil
б		column?
7	A	It can move up and laterally.
8	Q	Okay. Thank you.
9		So when we're talking about this vadose zone and
10		because we've defined it as a vadose zones, it is
11		unsaturated?
12	A	It is dominated by unsaturated conditions.
13	Q	We had have a little bit of information already this week
14		about the type of processes that can happen in a vadose
15		zone. So I'm going to name off a couple of those.
16		There's plant uptake that can happen in a vadose
17		zone?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Volatilization?
20	A	Potentially.
21	Q	Mineralization?
22	A	Potentially.
23	Q	Coupled nitrification, denitrification?
24	A	Potentially.
25	Q	So basically the nitrogen cycle happens in this?

1 Α Nitrogen cycle is pretty much happening in that vadose 2 zone, yes. 3 Okay. Now I'm going to let you go into some of that 0 4 detail and have you pull up I-18. And this is another --5 oh. 6 MS. NICHOLSON: Tara is reminding me 7 to admit I-17. 8 JUDGE FRANCKS: I-17 is admitted. 9 (Exhibit No. I-17 admitted.) 10 (By Ms. Nicholson) I'll have you look at I-18. 0 11 Α Okay. I'm there. 12 0 Okay. She's not yet. 13 And this is another table from your expert report? 14 Yes, it is. Α 15 Okay. And I see two different columns or terms depicted 0 16 on this. That's "field capacity" and "wilting point." 17 I think we might understand what wilting point is, but could you please describe that. 18 19 Sure. In vadose zone hydrology -- actually, I'm going to А 20 explain the top line first. 21 Q Okay. 22 The top axis on this graph .1, .2, .3, .4 in vadose zone А 23 are unsaturated hydrology. These numbers are very 24 important. That's the moisture content that's present in 25 the material.

1		So with that, what is wilting? That's this line
2		right there. Over here you have a bunch of different
3		materials or grain sizes or soil types.
4		The wilting point is a measure of water content in a
5		material where, when you're less than the wilting
6		point so to the left of the line, there's not enough
7		available water for a plant to pull out of the soil
8		matrix into the root to make the plant grow, thus the
9		name wilting point, as it basically is as the soil
10		dries out, there's not any water or there's less water
11		for the plant to take up. And at some point it stops and
12		you can see the different materials having different
13		moisture contents.
14	Q	Okay. So let me ask you a question. So if you have a
15		clay soil, that wilting point, if you're below a .2 of
16		the moisture level is that how the correct way to
17		use that?
18	А	Approximately.
19	Q	you've already wilted your plants?
20	А	And that .2 equates to 20 percent. Those .1, .2, .3, you
21		can think of those as percentages, 10 percent,
22		20 percent, 30 percent, of volumetric moisture content.
23	Q	Okay. So let's talk a little bit about field capacity.
24		You were touching on that a little bit when you were
25		talking about the difference between saturated and
		997

1 unsaturated, but let's --2 Correct. Α -- go ahead and hit the details. 3 Q 4 Okay. So that other line on this graph is what is called Α 5 fuel capacity, and that's basically the percent moisture 6 content in a given material. 7 And, again, we have those listed on the side. 8 That's the percent moisture content measured in the soil 9 where you finally -- I shouldn't say finally -- where you 10 have enough water in the soil to begin to have that water 11 move. So if we use loam or silt loam -- I'll pick that 12 13 because it's right in the middle -- if the water content 14 in the loam is less than 28, 30 percent, whatever that 15 number is -- it's not a very detailed graph, but it's 16 good for this purpose -- if the moisture content is below 17 that 30-odd percent on this graph, water will not be 18 moving in the vadose zone. 19 Once moisture content exceeds the field capacity for 20 that material, water -- water can begin to move. It's 21 not all moving. It's the water that's not adhering to 22 the particles that's moving. 23 So you would not flush every piece of water out of 24 that column. You'd actually have some fraction of the 25 water present begin to move.

1		And these are all unsaturated numbers. You won't
2		when you finally get to saturation where all the core
3		space is, again, full of water, and that's where you have
4		an aquifer or saturated conditions or full water
5		movement.
6	Q	Okay. So kind of want to go back to the first drawing,
7		which is I-17, and imagining this vadose zone, with some
8		of those different strata you're talking about because
9		it's never homogeneous; is that right?
10	А	No. It's never homogeneous.
11	Q	Okay. So you have all these different type of strata,
12		and they each one of them has a different field
13		capacity?
14	A	If it's a different strata, it would have a different
15		field capacity.
16	Q	Okay. So if moisture slips down below the root zone, in
17		a vadose zone, which, by definition, is unsaturated
18	A	Correct.
19	Q	will it just continue down like an elevator to
20		groundwater?
21	A	Moisture won't move until you exceed field capacity.
22	Q	So it would depend on what type of soil in that vadose
23		zone?
24	A	Correct.
25	Q	And whether that particular soil type has reached field
		000

1 capacity? 2 Correct. Α 3 Before it moved down? 0 4 Before it goes any further. Α 5 Goes any further. Okay. Thank you. That's helpful. 0 6 MS. NICHOLSON: I think what I want to 7 look at now -- yes. Would you -- can we move to admit 8 I-18, please? 9 JUDGE FRANCKS: I-18 is admitted. 10 (Exhibit No. I-18 admitted.) 11 (By Ms. Nicholson) We have one more piece of 0 information, on I-19. 12 13 And this, again, is another expansion from your 14 expert report? 15 Yes, it is. Α 16 And what is this showing us? 0 17 Okay. So this is a graph of an example of how you Α 18 actually determine hydraulic conductivity for a material 19 under an -- it's a representation of the data that goes 20 into how to portray or identify the hydraulic 21 conductivity or movement rate of water through an 22 unsaturated media. 23 And there's literally a binder full, books full of 24 these things that people have put together over the years 25 for everything they've been working on. 1000

1		So this is an idealized picture.
2	Q	Okay. One second. So this is one this is one
3		example like, this is one soil type?
4	A	This is this would be one graph prepared for one soil
5		type.
6	Q	Okay. So this is if we imagine just one little
7		strata, this would be one of those?
8	А	It would be one of those.
9	Q	And it's showing us again
10	А	What it shows and we mentioned earlier percent
11		moisture to talk about the wilting point in the field
12		capacity. Once we've reached field capacity and can
13		begin movement, we still want to use our percent
14		moisture.
15		And that's this bottom axis now. And, again, zero,
16		no moisture; 2.2, 20 percent; .4, 40 percent, moisture by
17		volume.
18		And we're in the unsaturated state. If we know our
19		moisture content and we know our material type and we've
20		built these characteristic graphs or have access to these
21		characteristic graphs, we can decide, by just reading the
22		graph, what our likely un shouldn't say likely
23		what our unsaturated hydraulic conductivity is or
24		unsaturated water velocity.
25		And this material, which is probably sand,

1		20 percent volumetric moisture, read up to the graph,
2		come across, we have a ten to the minus second hydraulic
3		conductivity.
4		If this was for a material that we had done the lab
5		work on, it would be centimeters per second, feet per
6		day, whatever the units are.
7	Q	Okay. I'm going to stop you again. We're going to
8		simplify a little bit here.
9		So these numbers, ten to the minus two
10	A	Mm-hm.
11	Q	are we this is reminiscent of some of the seepage
12		rates that we've been talking about?
13	A	It is.
14	Q	I just want to tie this all together. If we on this
15		matter, if we have a .2 moisture for this material,
16		whatever it is
17	A	This material.
18	Q	that means that at ten to the minus two, it's not
19		going to go through; is that correct?
20	A	Well, it means at that 20 percent moisture
21	Q	it would stop?
22	A	The only if you've not exceeded field capacity.
23		20 percent moisture, your hydraulic conductivity is ten
24		to the minus two, which is always less than your
25		saturated conductivity.

1 So because this is reminiscent of what we see and we've Q 2 been talking about Darcy's law, I'd like to turn to that 3 next. 4 First let's pull up our -- excuse me -- R-5. 5 MS. NICHOLSON: And move to admit 6 Exhibit 19, please, I-19. 7 JUDGE FRANCKS: I-19 is admitted. 8 (Exhibit No. I-19 admitted.) 9 MS. NICHOLSON: Thank you. (By Ms. Nicholson) And if you could turn to R-5 on 10 Q Page let's say 26, 27, I believe, and in the PDF --11 12 I'm in the wrong binder. Α 13 Yes, you are. So you want the green binders, and you'll Q 14 leave that one out. 15 Α Okay. I'm in R-5. Page? 16 Page 27 for you, and PDF, it should be 39. Q 17 А There it is. I'm there. 18 Okay. And what do you see on that page? Q 19 I see an equation, the Q equals KA, et cetera. А 20 Q And what is that equation? 21 That's a Darcy representation of how to calculate the А 22 movement of water downwards, in this case, probably 23 through a media. 24 And I neglected to ask you what this particular document Q 25 is. It's already been admitted. 1003

1	A	It's the groundwater implementation guidance document
2		from Ecology.
3	Q	And I believe that we heard earlier testimony from
4		Ecology that this is one of the documents they relied on
5		too?
б	A	Yes. It's the document I use.
7	Q	Okay. So looking back on Page 27, or 39 in the PDF, did
8		you see anything regarding this equation that caught your
9		attention?
10	А	Yeah. We ran into kind of an interesting math error.
11	Q	And was this in your expert report as well?
12	А	Yes. We described it in the report.
13	Q	Okay. Can you describe in simple terms what the math
14		error is.
15	А	Sure. I'll break the equation up into its basic three
16		component pieces, based on my recollection from algebra
17		in sixth grade or whenever that was.
18		Q on the left. Q on the left. This cluster of
19		terms here between the equal sign and the minus
20	Q	Wait. You skipped over what Q is.
21	А	I'll come back to that.
22	Q	Okay.
23	А	I was breaking it up into the three component parts.
24	Q	Okay. Thank you.
25	A	Q, this cluster of terms between the equals and minus,
		1004
		1004

1 and then ET, which is the evapotranspiration on the 2 right. So Q is what we're solving for. It's the discharge 3 4 number, and we've talked a lot about discharge over the last several days. It's a volume per unit time. 5 6 For the purposes of this discussion, I'll use cubic 7 feet per day, which we can convert to gallons, but I'm 8 not. Cubic feet per day of volume per unit time. So that's what we're solving for, a volume per unit time. 9 10 The middle cluster of terms K, A, D, L, you solve 11 for those putting the units in them -- and A is actually 12 the unit of interest -- we get a volume per unit time in 13 that middle part of the equation. 14 So volume per unit time, which we're calculating 15 for, is equal to the calculation that gives you the 16 volume per unit time. 17 What we ran into, though, when we were using the 18 equation, is the ET function. If you go to the 19 definition of ET down below, it's ET equals 20 evapotranspiration rate. 21 Rates are usually expressed as a linear distance per 22 unit time. Since I'm using cubic feet per day, I'll say 23 it would be a foot per day number. 24 And the math error comes -- you can't -- you can't 25 subtract a linear rate, foot per day, from a volume rate,

1		cubic feet per day, without first correcting the ET to
2		include that area function so you can turn it into an
3		area per unit or a volume per unit time.
4	Q	Okay. I'm going to sum up what you just said.
5	A	Okay.
6	Q	We basically have a unit problem; is that
7	А	We have a unit problem.
8	Q	Because the units don't all match?
9	A	The units do not match.
10	Q	So you can't subtract one of these from the other because
11		the units don't match?
12	A	Not until you correct the equation.
13	Q	That sounds like a minor issue. Is that a minor issue
14		here?
15	А	Well, if you're not paying attention to the units and
16		you're just plugging your numbers in, because everything
17		but the Q would have a number your K would have a
18		number. Your A would have a number if you don't catch
19		your units, you'll end up subtracting when you solve
20		the middle cluster of terminology, you'll end up
21		subtracting a fairly small number from that because ET
22		through most of the region is usually fractions of an
23		inch to maybe an inch per day if it's 115 degrees out.
24		But the numbers that you'd calculate in the middle
25		could be thousands hundreds, thousands, or tens of

1		thousands because you have that area function in there.			
2		We've talked about lagoons that may cover two or			
3		three or four acres, which would be tens of thousands of			
4		square feet. So you got a big number minus a very little			
5		number that we forgot to correct.			
б	Q	So and if anyone relied including Ecology, relied			
7		on this equation to calculate seepage from the bottom of			
8		a lagoon liner, what would happen?			
9	A	If you don't add if you don't multiply ET by area, you			
10		will get an overestimate of Q.			
11	Q	And so that means that there is an overestimate of the			
12		amount of seepage coming out the bottom of a lagoon			
13		liner?			
14	А	If you didn't catch your units, yes.			
15	Q	Okay. Thank you.			
16		So I want to talk a little bit about the application			
17		of using a Darcy equation in a vadose zone, which, again,			
18		is an unsaturated			
19	А	It's an unsaturated system.			
20	Q	Okay. Maybe you should just discuss, what are the			
21		assumptions built into the Darcy equation?			
22	A	Darcy the Darcy equation is the standard for			
23		describing or calculating the movement of water through			
24		the subsurface.			
25		But Darcy's basic assumptions are, you assume you			
		1007			
		1007			
1		have saturated flow. All of our core space is full of			
----	---	---	--	--	--
2		water. We assume we have continuity from wherever we're			
3		trying to measure our water movement, Point A to Point B.			
4		And by "continuity," we basically mean there's a way for			
5		the water to get from one point to another.			
6		And we assume we have a head driver. We have to			
7		have head to push it. A lot of times we'll calculate a			
8		constant head. This equation assumes a constant head.			
9		And that's fine.			
10		So those are the assumptions behind how to use			
11		Darcy, and what you will probably hear me now say in a			
12		few minutes, unmodified Darcy.			
13	Q	Unmodified Darcy. So can we flip back to I-17, which is			
14		the picture. Yes. You can just use the screen.			
15	A	Chasing the binders around.			
16	Q	So this picture includes a lined lagoon?			
17	A	Mm-hm.			
18	Q	So what when you're talking about the assumptions that			
19		are built into Darcy in a vadose zone, what are the			
20		assumptions that would be in play for this lined lagoon,			
21		applying the Darcy equation in this vadose?			
22	A	If we are I think we've talked about a fair amount			
23		over the last few days.			
24		In the Darcy equation, if we assume saturation and			
25		we're calculating flux or seepage of water through liner,			
		1008			

Kevin Lindsey, Ph.D. - Direct by Virginia Nicholson

1		we will be assuming the liner is fully saturated from					
2		this top to its bottom. If it is not fully saturated,					
3		then we have to start modifying Darcy.					
4	Q	And are you also assuming in the pressure or constant					
5		head, I believe you said are you assuming that the					
6		lagoon has sufficient feet of liquid in it to provide					
7		pressure?					
8	A	Well, yes. I mean, if there's liquid in it, there would					
9		be a pressure measure related to how much liquid is in					
10		the lagoon.					
11	Q	Now, have you worked on dairies?					
12	A	Some, yes.					
13	Q	Yes. And have you had an opportunity to observe their					
14		operations and speak with dairy farmers?					
15	A	I have from time to time, yes.					
16	Q	And what sort of things did you do on the dairy farms?					
17	A	Most of our dairy work over the years has been focused on					
18		groundwater monitoring plan preparation, groundwater					
19		monitoring plan implementation, data collection,					
20		reporting, some vadose zone work, but subsurface					
21		hydrogeology.					
22	Q	Okay. And in your work on dairy farms, have you observed					
23		that all manure have you observed manure lagoons?					
24	A	Yes. Some.					
25	Q	And would it be an assumption that all manure lagoons are					

1		full all the time?					
2	A	I wouldn't make that assumption, no.					
3	Q	In your observations, was that					
4	A	Based on my experience, yes.					
5	Q	Okay. And why was that?					
б	A	Because I've seen lagoon levels go up and down with time.					
7		One day it's at one level. Visit it sometime later, it's					
8		a different level.					
9	Q	Is that because operationally they're using that liquid					
10		in a different operation?					
11	А	When I've had those conversations with, "Hey, what's					
12		going on?"					
13		"We drained it for irrigation. It was time to land					
14		mine."					
15		Okay. Different water level, different manure					
16		liquid manure level in the lagoon, different head, and					
17		we've talked about that earlier.					
18	Q	Okay. So continue on in that same thought. We have					
19		different head.					
20		By "head," you mean pressure?					
21	A	Pressure, yeah.					
22	Q	Okay. So if you cannot assume that the lagoon has a					
23		steady amount of pressure, can you assume that the liner					
24		beneath that lagoon is fully saturated all of the time?					
25	A	I would be I would I would be careful with that					
		1010					
		1010					

1	because, if our head driver is changing, that means we			
2	have greater or lesser head pushing fluid through the			
3	liner. So the liner saturation might be changing in			
4	response to that changing head.			
5 Q	Okay. And lastly, can you assume a saturated condition			
6	in a vadose zone?			
7 A	No. You wouldn't assume a saturated condition in a			
8	vadose zone because then it wouldn't be a vadose zone.			
9 Q	By definition, it would not be?			
10 A	By definition, it's unsaturated.			
11 Q	So basically, in applying Darcy to a lined lagoon to			
12	determine the amount of seepage coming out of saturated			
13	liner, the assumptions are that there is a constant			
14	pressure in the amount of liquid in the lagoon, that the			
15	liner is always saturated, and because Darcy unmodified			
16	applies doesn't apply to a vadose zone, they're			
17	assuming a saturated condition; is that correct?			
18 A	Pretty close.			
19 Q	You can correct me.			
20 A	To use Darcy to estimate how much water might be seeping			
21	through the liner, you can use it as a constant head.			
22	We've heard a lot about the past few days how the			
23	lagoon is always the same level.			
24	If your head is varying but you've already			
25	established that the liner is saturated, you can actually			
	1011			

1		calculate the flux of the liner all the available				
2		heads it might have, so low level, high level, and levels				
3		in between.				
4	Q	Okay. But in have you talked with Ecology about their				
5		assumptions in applying Darcy in this situation?				
б	A	I've talked to some Ecology over the years about applying				
7		Darcy to vadose zone, yes.				
8	Q	And what were their assumptions?				
9	A	It's				
10		MR. SNYDER: Objection to the extent				
11		this calls for hearsay.				
12		MS. NICHOLSON: These are				
13		conversations he's had.				
14		MR. SNYDER: About what Ecology said,				
15		that's hearsay.				
16		JUDGE FRANCKS: Well, he can testify				
17		about what his understanding is.				
18	A	My understanding from those conversations was that, yes,				
19		Ecology staff acknowledged unsaturated conditions are an				
20		important part of fluid flow through the vadose zone, but				
21		it's very difficult to deal with.				
22		In a lot of cases, they'll assume that they don't				
23		have the data to deal with it, so they won't. They'll				
24		just stick to kind of a Darcy saturated type of estimate.				
25		MR. SNYDER: Objection, Your Honor. I				
		1012				

Kevin Lindsey, Ph.D. - Direct by Virginia Nicholson

1 would move to strike the previous testimony. That's 2 hearsay. 3 MS. NICHOLSON: I believe she ruled on 4 that. 5 JUDGE FRANCKS: I ruled on that, and 6 we are in a situation with our rules that hearsay is 7 admissible if it's the type of information that a 8 reasonably prudent person would rely upon. 9 But when I make a ruling, I'd like you to stand by 10 it and not do double objections. 11 MR. SNYDER: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 0 (By Ms. Nicholson) So in applying -- I'm just going to 13 get back to the -- back to the application of this 14 Darcy's law. 15 Are those assumptions correct? Is it correct to 16 apply Darcy, using those assumptions, when you know that 17 that is incorrect? 18 Α Shouldn't apply unmodified Darcy, which assumes saturated 19 state. You shouldn't be using it in an unsaturated 20 state. 21 And that's, again, because we can't assume that there's 0 22 constant pressure pushing the water down, and, therefore, 23 we can't assume that the liner is fully saturated; is 24 that correct? 25 Α Well, you can assume if you can -- if you can establish

1		that the liner is fully saturated, you can assume that,				
2		but in the vadose zone, which is, by definition,				
3		unsaturated, you cannot apply Darcy without modifying for				
4		the unsaturated state.				
5	Q	Okay. So what could you use for an unsaturated				
6		condition? What would properly be used?				
7	A	A modification of the Darcy equation.				
8	Q	And what would that modification be called or what would				
9		you				
10	A	You use something called the Richards equations, which				
11		are estimating what the unsaturated conductivity would be				
12		for specific material at a specific moisture content like				
13		we discussed in these previous graphs.				
14		You can calculate for that, and a lot of times				
15		again, there's a number of papers and books that apply to				
16		standard plots for all kinds of material.				
17		So you can probably in a lot of cases, you can				
18		probably look up what is my unsaturated conductivity for				
19		some material, assuming you know what your unsaturated				
20		moisture content is.				
21	Q	Okay. So did Ecology make any of those modifications in				
22		the background, the basis for their permit terms?				
23	A	Not that I can see, no.				
24	Q	Not that you have observed.				
25		And let's just take one of these assumptions. What				
		101				

1		is the consequence of assuming a constant head of				
2		pressure? A constant lagoon level?				
3	А	Right.				
4	Q	In calculating the amount of seepage that could come out				
5		of the bottom of the lagoon?				
6	A	Right. We actually have an example calculation in our				
7		expert report where we made went ahead and made the				
8		assumption.				
9		We have a ten to the minus six centimeter per second				
10		saturated liner with a constant head on it, and we				
11		actually used a 365-day calendar.				
12		And we I don't recall the numbers. I'd have to				
13		look in the report. I think we used 14 feet of head and				
14		applied that through the equation we had up above with				
15		the ET correction in it and determined, based on that				
16		constant head, based on Darcy and the assumption that you				
17		have a one times ten to the minus six saturated liner,				
18		how much water comes out the bottom.				
19		And we get a number doing that.				
20		We were curious, though, because, when we observe				
21		lagoons go up and down through the course of an operating				
22		year, does the number end up being different?				
23		So we took the exact same dimension and size of a				
24		lagoon we used in the constant head example and I'll				
25		call it the constant head example and applied a				

1 variable head to it on a daily basis. 2 So we kept it pretty simple. We took -- made an 3 Excel spreadsheet, put 365 days in it, after talking to 4 some dairy operators about, well, how do you use these 5 things, we got some scenarios for when they fill them, 6 when they drain them, when do they stay constant, and 7 applied that to this hypothetical lagoon. 8 Ran through the calculation every day for the 9 365-day calendar year and got an answer for that lagoon, that -- well, constant head overestimated the flux 10 11 through the lagoon liner by 20, 21 percent. 12 And --Q 13 Whereas, if we change the head through the course of the А 14 calculation, we would have had less seepage through the liner. 15 16 Okay. So you saw 21 percent difference in the 0 17 calculations that you ran. 18 Is that a 21 percent overestimation of the moisture 19 that would come out of a saturated lagoon? 20 Α In that example, yes. And is that a significant difference? 21 Q 22 Α Yeah. I thought it was a pretty big number, yes. 23 Okay. Thank you. Q 24 One thing that -- one thing that we've heard 25 repeatedly throughout the week from Ecology and from

1		Mr. Erickson is that all lagoons leak.					
2		Do you agree with that statement?					
3	А	I wouldn't agree with that absolute statement, no.					
4	Q	And why not?					
5	A	Well, as you apply the unsaturated and saturated flow					
6		equations, as you apply the changing head conditions, as					
7		you look at doing liners or the whole vadose zone?					
8	Q	You can do liners.					
9	A	Okay. As you look at those variables, those variables do					
10		change with time. As those variables change with time,					
11		you may achieve states where the lagoon liner has stopped					
12		seeping.					
13		Reduce the head. There's no head driver. There's					
14		no reason to push water out the bottom.					
15	Q	So in other words, even though a liner may have the					
16		capability of seeping, it isn't necessarily seeping all					
17		the time?					
18	А	That would that was my conclusion. They have the					
19		potential, but then you don't always seep. Don't always					
20		seep.					
21	Q	Thank you.					
22		So I think you've described two different ways where					
23		we have an overestimation of seepage out of a lagoon					
24		liner.					
25		And can you sum those up for us.					
		1017					
		1017					

1 Α By going with the constant head scenario, you stand a 2 chance of overestimating discharge through a liner or seepage through a liner. 3 4 You should look at the -- how the lagoon actually 5 operates to make sure you're not overestimating. 6 And by simply sticking to the saturated state only, 7 we will get a higher permeability or hydraulic 8 conductivity that may not apply -- well, will not apply 9 in an unsaturated state because, in an unsaturated state, 10 those numbers are almost always lower. 11 Okay. And I believe we've heard testimony again from 0 12 Ecology that they're relying on the -- you were talking 13 about -- a little bit about the math error problem. 14 They're relying on that math error, the equation 15 with the math error, to calculate seepage and the 16 termination that all lagoons leak. 17 And was that true of Dr. Erickson's testimony as 18 well? 19 Seemed to be, yes. Α 20 Okay. Thank you. 0 21 Did you have the opportunity to watch the hydrous 22 model movie in Dr. Erickson's testimony? 23 Α Yes, I did. 24 And did you review Dr. Erickson's report? 0 25 Α I read it, yes.

1	Q	And remember that he had visual of that hydrous model in					
2		the report as well?					
3	A	Yes, he did.					
4	Q	And you read that?					
5	A	Yes.					
6	Q	Are you familiar with hydrous model?					
7	А	I'm familiar with hydrous model, yes.					
8	Q	That's something you use in your job?					
9	A	Something we use in our job, yes.					
10	Q	Fairly frequently?					
11	A	We use it enough.					
12	Q	And you heard Mr. Erickson's testimony based on his					
13		about his hydrous model?					
14	A	Yes.					
15	Q	Based on his report and his testimony this week, what					
16		information did Mr. Erickson's hydrous model convey?					
17	A	I didn't get anything out of it, frankly.					
18	Q	And why is that?					
19	А	Well, when we've used hydrous models, a couple important					
20		things we do with them, number one, what is the moisture					
21		content of the soil column you're working with?					
22		You have to have moisture content for your soil					
23		column because hydrous is calculating moisture content					
24		for the soil column.					
25		And to confirm that the model is working correctly,					
		1019					

1		you have to match its output to the actual field			
2		conditions in a process that's called calibration.			
3		If you can't get the model to match the actual field			
4		conditions, then there's probably some assumption you			
5		made in your construction of your model that doesn't			
6		allow it to calibrate and meet those conditions.			
7		And in both the report and in the presentation			
8		yesterday, it's blending together in the presentation			
9		yesterday, there was no evidence that there was any			
10		calibration done at all.			
11		So it's really, as any model and we run into that			
12		in hydrogeology all the time. Uncalibrated models are			
13		exercises to make a point. They're not exercises to			
14		portray a natural system.			
15	Q	And is that how hydrous is used normally?			
16	A	No. Hydrous if you want to use hydrous properly, you			
17		would have your field conditions. You have your moisture			
18		conditions. You have your stratigraphy.			
19		And that was another problem with the model. It had			
20		a homogeneous media except for that one clay bed, and it			
21		was a it was a fiction. It didn't have calibration.			
22		It didn't reflect reality. It didn't have moisture			
23		content, and that's not how you're supposed to be using			
24		hydrous.			
25	Q	Have you ever seen anyone use a hydrous model in the way			

Kevin Lindsey, Ph.D. - Direct by Virginia Nicholson

1 that you saw it portrayed this week? 2 I have not, no. Α 3 MS. NICHOLSON: I believe that's it, Your Honor. 4 5 JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay. Why don't we 6 take our lunch break now, and then we will proceed with 7 Dr. Lindsey's cross-examination. MR. TEBBUTT: Ms. Francks, just a 8 9 question about the demonstrative. Should we move that in 10 as A-82? 11 JUDGE FRANCKS: If it's a 12 demonstrative, no. Then it's not an exhibit. 13 MR. TEBBUTT: But it should be in the record. Let me ask if we can introduce it as A-82 then 14 15 so the board would have it to refer to. 16 JUDGE FRANCKS: No. 17 MR. TEBBUTT: You're denying any use 18 by the board in the future of this? 19 JUDGE FRANCKS: It was a demonstrative 20 during the hearing. It wasn't introduced under the 21 exhibit list. The other parties haven't seen it. 22 MR. TEBBUTT: Okay. Just want to 23 know. Thank you. 24 JUDGE FRANCKS: Thank you. We're off 25 until one o'clock. Off the record. 1021

1 (Recess from 11:55 a.m. to 2 1:02 p.m.) 3 JUDGE FRANCKS: Please have a seat. 4 Let's go back on the record. 5 I have two housekeeping matters before we get 6 started. I just wanted to clarify our discussion right 7 before lunch about the drawing on the easel that 8 Mr. Erickson did. 9 So what I was ruling was what can happen and what 10 will happen is, it will be available to the board. 11 Obviously the board members were here for that testimony. What I was not allowing was it to be marked as a new 12 13 exhibit that then would be in the record and would go up 14 on appeal and all of that. So that was -- I just want to 15 be clear about that. 16 Okay. I was just going MR. TEBBUTT: 17 to suggest that you mark it but call it a demonstrative 18 only. That's been my experience about how it's done, but 19 that's okay. 20 JUDGE FRANCKS: I think we are clear 21 about what's demonstrative and what's not. So I think 22 we're good with it where it is and we --23 MR. TEBBUTT: So we won't -- we'll 24 leave it for you guys. 25 JUDGE FRANCKS: We'll keep it with our 1022

1 stuff. So that's the first thing. 2 Second thing, as you can see, Board Member Marchioro 3 is not with us and won't be with us because she has a 4 prior commitment. 5 But what she will do and what we've done in other 6 cases is, she will listen to the recording, and she will 7 participate in the additional days, and she will be 8 deciding this matter with the board. Just so you know 9 where she is. 10 Okay. Carry on with Dr. Lindsey, Ms. Barney. 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION 12 BY MS. BARNEY: Good afternoon, Dr. Lindsey. 13 0 14 Afternoon. Α 15 I actually just have a couple of questions for you. 0 16 First, if Mr. Tebbutt will allow me to testify, 17 Ecology acknowledges the typographical error on the Darcy equation in Exhibit R-5, and Ecology is obviously aware 18 19 of that error. 20 The title of your expert report, which is 21 Exhibit I-8, just take a quick peek at the first cover. 22 I'm just looking at the title, "Hydrogeologic Issues 23 Associated with the CAFO NPDES and State Waste Discharge 24 General Permit Support to Washington State Dairy 25 Federation on PCHB Case No. 17-016C."

1		Did you, in preparation in this report, review the					
2		permits permit documents themselves?					
3	A	I've read them, yeah.					
4	Q	Can you direct us to any place in those permits that					
5		actually utilized or requires a calculation based on the					
б		Darcy's law equation?					
7	A	Well, I'll have to go look at it again.					
8	Q	Okay. That will be Exhibits R-1 and R-2					
9	A	Okay.					
10	Q	which are in the green binders.					
11	A	Got it right there. My well, before I say anything,					
12		let me go through it.					
13		Okay. So I found a cite so I'm at a reference to					
14		the implementation guidance.					
15	Q	Can you direct us to the page you're on, sir.					
16	A	I am on Page 33 of the document itself.					
17	Q	Which will also be Page 33 of the permit of the PDF.					
18	A	Yeah. Yeah. It's the Page 33, middle bottom.					
19	Q	Okay.					
20	A	It just says X R-1 on the lower right, so in this					
21		copy.					
22	Q	And you said we're on Page 33 of the permit?					
23	A	Of this document that's in front of me, yes.					
24	Q	Which is R-1?					
25	A	Yes.					

1	Q	Thank	you.	
---	---	-------	------	--

² A Okay. So ask the question again.

³ Q Yes. The question was, if you could direct us to where ⁴ in the permit calculations based on Darcy's law as ⁵ required by the permit.

A Okay. We've -- this section -- I'd have to look and see
if there are other sections as well referred to that
document.

9 That document provides a loading calculation or a 10 way to estimate a load from surface structure of the 11 lagoon to the subsurface for the purposes of building a 12 groundwater monitoring program. At least that's my 13 understanding of it.

14 By referring back to the implementation guidance and 15 looking at the Darcy equation in there and my 16 understanding of vadose zone hydrology, that's why we 17 talked about how one needs to correct the Darcy equation 18 for unsaturated equations because this document, if 19 somebody was reading it, would be going back to the 20 implementation guidance and possibly looking at a 21 calculation or an estimation of loading that may 22 overestimate what could be going on. 23 So -- and you're looking in provision S.5.D? Q 24 А Correct.

 25 Q $\,$ So this directs them to the implementation guidance, but

1		doesn't this permit condition actually direct them to
2		develop a plan for groundwater monitoring?
3	A	Yes. It is saying, if you're doing that, you have to go
4		to the guide to build your program.
5	Q	Right. And then the plan is submitted to Ecology to
б		address the conditions?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	So your interpretation of that is that this permit
9		requires the use of that Darcy's equation?
10	A	My interpretation of at least this paragraph would be, if
11		you're going into that realm and you're going to have to
12		start dealing with that kind of issue, that you should be
13		aware that Darcy doesn't apply in an unsaturated
14		condition and your vadose zone above your groundwater, if
15		that's what you're dealing with.
16		MS. BARNEY: Okay. Thank you. I have
17		no further questions.
18		JUDGE FRANCKS: Mr. Snyder?
19		CROSS-EXAMINATION
20		BY MR. SNYDER:
21	Q	Good afternoon, Dr. Lindsey.
22	A	Hello.
23	Q	I believe, sir and I only have a few questions for you
24		too.
25		So I believe you testified earlier this morning
		1026
		1020

1		about the movement of water in the vadose zone and how
2		that movement can be either reduced or eliminated in
3		certain circumstances.
4	A	Mm-hm.
5	Q	Could you tell me again what those circumstances were.
6	А	Moisture movement through the vadose zone will stop when
7		the volumetric moisture content of material you're
8		looking at drops below the field capacity.
9	Q	So is it fair to say it's tied to what the field capacity
10		of the soil is?
11	A	Field capacity has a significant effect on groundwater
12		movement through the vadose zone.
13	Q	And what are some of the surface activities that can
14		cause field capacity to rise?
15	A	Field capacity for a given material does not change.
16	Q	So what are some of the surface activities that can cause
17		soil moisture to increase such that you would have
18		movement of water in the vadose zone?
19	A	Apply the water on the surface, if it's into the
20		subsurface and results in the material it's encountering
21		having a higher volumetric moisture content than that
22		particular field capacity, movement will occur.
23	Q	So would irrigated agricultural fields be one type of
24		activity that could cause that?
25	A	Sure. I guess. Potentially.
25	A	Sure. I guess. Potentially.

1	0	And what about fields that receive yearly manure
-	Q	And what about fields that receive yearly manure
2		applications?
3	A	I would assume so, yes.
4	Q	How about for areas that receive substantial
5		precipitation, say, as in Western Washington?
б	А	That's another way to get water on the surface, yes.
7	Q	And what about in Eastern Washington where we can have
8		large storm events and snow?
9	A	That is another source of water on the surface.
10	Q	And would it also be true for areas underlying an
11		actively used lagoon for storage?
12	А	If water is moving out of the liner into the vadose zone,
13		there would be water in the vadose zone, and then, as it
14		starts to move and dissipate through the subsurface, if
15		it drops below field capacity, it will stop moving.
16	Q	Does your opinions about this topic depend upon the depth
17		of the vadose zone as it pertains to the top of the
18		aquifer?
19	А	The vadose zone my opinion about the vadose zone is
20		doesn't change, no matter how thick the vadose zone is.
21	Q	If you have a shallower vadose zone or a if the
22		distance in the vadose zone to the groundwater table is
23		less, does it change at all how much water needs to be
24		applied before that water will reach the groundwater
25		table?

1	A	It doesn't change the physical properties of that vadose
2		zone.
3	Q	Does the time from which a water molecule that enters the
4		surface change, depending on the depth of the vadose zone
5		to the time it reaches groundwater?
б	А	I'm not sure I follow.
7	Q	Sure. So let's say we have a very shallow aquifer.
8	А	Okay.
9	Q	And so would you agree in that circumstance the vadose
10		zone is smaller?
11	A	Vadose zone is thinner than if it was a deeper aquifer.
12	Q	Thinner might be a more appropriate word. Thank you. I
13		appreciate that clarification.
14		In that circumstance, would you expect the travel
15		time of water to the aquifer to be less or more?
16	A	Again, I'd defer to the material properties present.
17		Travel time is based on material properties and moisture
18		content.
19	Q	Even assuming those to be static, if you had a larger
20		a longer distance to the groundwater table, does that
21		impact the time it takes for a water molecule to go from
22		the surface to the groundwater?
23	А	When you say "static," I'm not sure what you mean.
24	Q	Homogenous.
25	A	So are you saying same material, two locations?

1	Q	Sure.
2	A	Same material, two locations, it's still the same
3		physical material. So the grain size is the same.
4		Moisture content is still the driver. As moisture
5		content changes for that material, its unsaturated
6		hydraulic properties wouldn't change.
7	Q	And how does that impact travel time again? That's what
8		I'm trying to get to.
9	A	Oh, a higher unsat K will move faster than a lower
10		unsat K.
11	Q	And does that also depend on the depth of the
12		groundwater? If you have a shallower groundwater table,
13		the travel time would be less, given the parameters we
14		just established?
15	A	If it's the same velocity in two situations, if it has a
16		shorter travel distance, it will be less time.
17	Q	Thank you, sir. And in light of what you just talked
18		about in terms of various soil media and having different
19		hydraulic activities, as a hydrogeologist, how would you
20		go about determining what is in the subsurface, what the
21		various different types of soil media are?
22	А	There's a few ways you can go forward with that. If you
23		do your site well enough, previous investigations, other
24		subsurface information, you could look at that
25		information, that data.

1		And I mentioned quite voluminous literature has been
2		published on all of these grain size distributions, all
3		of these moisture contents. You could go about doing it
4		basically as a book study, if you will, and try to
5		determine what what that subsurface would look like.
6		Of course, if so that's one way to go about it,
7		do it as a book study, look for your grain size
8		information from that, match it to what you think is
9		going on at the surface, make your water content
10		assumptions that way.
11		And you could take that you could take that
12		through to a subsurface investigation where you're
13		physically collecting data samples that give you the soil
14		properties and material properties and the volumetric
15		moisture contents
16	Q	Thank you, Dr. Lindsey.
17	A	to end members, if you will.
18	Q	Are you done?
19	A	I'm done.
20	Q	Great. Thanks.
21		And I heard you mention subsurface investigations.
22		In your experience, what type of subsurface investigation
23		would you do?
24	A	If for if you had to go into the subsurface for some
25		reason, you'd use some sort of drilling and sampling
		102

1		program.
2	Q	Would that be
3	A	Designed to collect that type of material.
4	Q	Would that be similar to groundwater monitoring wells?
5	A	It's a subsurface boring. It may or may not be built out
б		as a well.
7	Q	And in your experience let me just back up.
8		You do have experience installing monitoring wells
9		around CAFOs; is that right?
10	A	Some, yes.
11	Q	And in your experience of doing that, do you take boring
12		logs to determine what subsurface media you encounter
13		along the way before you reach groundwater?
14	A	If I was in a drilling program, participating in a
15		drilling program, I would record the subsurface
16		information.
17	Q	Is that required, in your experience, under the WACs for
18		environmental monitoring wells?
19	A	I'd have to go back and look at 173-160, but I would
20		assume it has something in there about recording
21		subsurface conditions, yes.
22	Q	Have you ever installed a groundwater monitoring well
23		where you have not identified the subsurface conditions
24		before reaching the aquifer?
25	A	Whenever we've drilled, when we've done sub-geologic
		1032
		1052

1		logging of what we've encountered.
2	Q	Thank you, sir.
3	A	Collected samples.
4	Q	Just want to follow up briefly on Ms. Barney's questions
5		about Darcy's law and as it pertains in the permit
б		itself.
7		And I believe you testified you reviewed the
8		permits; is that right?
9	A	I've looked at the permit, yes.
10	Q	When you looked at the permit, is there anywhere in the
11		permits that discuss allowable or disallowable seepage
12		rates from lagoons?
13	A	I'd have to go back and read it in more detail. I
14		don't I haven't read it in a while. We've talked a
15		lot about one times ten to the minus six centimeters per
16		second over the last few days. I don't recall if that's
17		allowable or not allowable.
18	Q	But one times ten to the negative six or negative seven,
19		whatever we want to talk about, that's a permeability
20		rate; right?
21	А	That is a permeability rate, right.
22	Q	Is that the same thing as a seepage rate?
23	А	It's being used interchangeably, at least that's been my
24		observation over the last several days.
25	Q	Perhaps we should change the terminology some.
		1033

1		Do you understand the concept of a specific
2		discharge?
3	A	I've heard the term, yes.
4	Q	And that speaks to what is actually being allowed to
5		discharge?
б	A	Exit.
7	Q	Exit, yes. Is that fair?
8	A	Yeah.
9	Q	Is there anything in the permit that talks about
10		allowable or disallowable specific discharge rates from
11		lagoons?
12	A	I'd have to go
13	Q	Do you want to take a minute to want to take a minute
14		to do that?
15	A	Yeah. I'll look. I don't know if I'll spot it. Number
16		of pages.
17	Q	And just in R-1 is fine.
18	A	Yeah. Okay. Using the table of contents, point me to a
19		page.
20	Q	I'm actually asking you, sir. In your review of the
21		permits, did you see anything like that?
22	А	I don't remember.
23	Q	Is it possible there's not one?
24	А	I suppose it's possible, yes.
25	Q	Is that something you would have probably noticed in your
		1034

1		view of the permits, given what you've been asked to
2		opine about in this case?
3	А	I would have noticed it in a permit, I suppose, yeah.
4	Q	Regarding your use of unmodified versus modified Darcy
5		law and just for the board's clarification, we talk
б		about unmodified, we're talking about Darcy's law in
7		saturated conditions; right?
8	A	Correct.
9	Q	And modified would be adding some parameters to account
10		for unsaturated conditions?
11	A	Correct.
12	Q	And I believe you testified earlier about running some
13		calculations and determining that Ecology seems to have
14		used the assumption that results in overestimating lagoon
15		seepage. Do you remember that, 21 percent?
16	A	Oh, right. That was that was more related to the
17		constant head versus nonconstant or nonsteady state head
18		assumption.
19	Q	But the transient head idea?
20	А	Correct.
21	Q	Where the lagoons fill and
22	A	Fill and don't fill, fill and drain, fill and drain, yes.
23	Q	Okay. Would you mind turning to your expert report, sir.
24		That's Exhibit I-8, and specifically Page 11, please.
25	А	Okay.

1	Q	In doing your calculations here, can you tell the board
2		how many cubic feet you determined even with the
3		transient head would be leaking through the bottom of the
4		lagoon liner?
5	A	Transient head scenario, the annual total was 602,343.5
6		cubic feet per year.
7	Q	And, Dr. Lindsey, do you have an idea of what that would
8		be in gallons?
9	A	Cubic feet, there are seven point whatever it is per
10		cubic foot.
11	Q	Would you accept my representation, sir, that the
12		conversion rate is one cubic foot is equal to 7.48025
13		gallons?
14	A	I would accept that.
15	Q	Could you use the calculator I put up there to inform the
16		board how many millions of gallons that is.
17	A	7.48?
18	Q	Sure.
19	A	If I didn't if I hit the keys correctly, basically
20		4.5 million gallons.
21	Q	And did you reach any opinions, sir, in this case about
22		whether that would be a leakage rate that is protective
23		of groundwater quality?
24	A	I did not look at that, no.
25	Q	And, in your experience as a hydrogeologist, do you

1		believe that to be protective of groundwater quality?
2	A	I would look at how that mixes in an aquifer before I
3		reached that conclusion.
4	Q	And what specifically would you look at?
5	A	I'd look at the flux of that number, 4.5 million gallons,
б		intersect if it were to get to an aquifer,
7		intersecting that aquifer over some surface area of
8		effect, look at the hydraulic conductivity, groundwater
9		flow velocity of that aquifer, determine what that mixing
10		looks like over time because that's what you're basically
11		trying to do.
12	Q	How would you, sir, understand what is going on in the
13		groundwater to come to that conclusion?
14	A	With respect to water table? What do you mean?
15	Q	Well, let's start with respect to existing water quality.
16	A	If I had water quality data, then I'd know what that
17		existing water quality is.
18	Q	Where would you expect to find how would you expect to
19		find that existing water quality data?
20	A	If it's existing information, I could use that. If I had
21		a monitoring program, I could use that.
22	Q	Is it fair to say, you'd need to have groundwater
23		monitoring wells to figure out what's going on in the
24		groundwater?
25	A	Are you asking if I are you asking, to know what's in
		1027
		1057

1		groundwater, do I need a groundwater sample or a well?
2	Q	Sure.
3	A	Yeah. If you want to know what's in the groundwater, you
4		have to measure it.
5	Q	And, Dr. Lindsey, in regards to this transient state
6		or excuse me the transient head I don't want to
7		confuse that with the steady state is there anything
8		you would change in the permit to address this?
9	A	I didn't look at changing anything in the permit. I was
10		asked to comment on the hydrogeology that's behind some
11		of the permit topics.
12	Q	Thank you, sir. One last area of questioning for you.
13		And I believe you testified correct me if I'm
14		wrong, please that there are situations where lagoons
15		will not leak through the liner, and I believe it was in
16		the context of the liner being unsaturated. Is that
17		accurate?
18	A	Well, seepage through the liner will be driven by the
19		liner saturation, be driven by the head. If you change
20		those, if those change to the point where that driving
21		force is stopped, then it will stop moving through the
22		liner.
23		Also, depending on head actually, if you dry out
24		or empty a lagoon totally, you get upward movement
25		through a liner. The matrix potential will drag water
		102

1		upwards just like the capillary effect of the glass.
2	Q	For that to happen, a lagoon would have to have no head;
3		right?
4	A	Lagoon would have to be empty, yes.
5	Q	Okay. And is that also true for the movement through the
6		liner? The lagoon would have to be empty to achieve
7		that?
8	A	To start
9	Q	To achieve no movement of water moisture through the
10		liner?
11	А	You could run the calculations and determine that, yeah.
12		As the head decreases, the flux will movement will
13		decrease and will eventually stop.
14	Q	Sir, you
15	А	Move head.
16	Q	Sorry. Didn't mean to interrupt.
17	A	That's all right.
18	Q	You actually did that in your expert report, didn't you,
19		some calculations?
20	A	Of?
21	Q	I believe the wetting front and saturation versus
22		unsaturation; is that right?
23	A	We did the green end calculations.
24	Q	Yeah. Well, if you actually turn the next page, it might
25		be on the next page there, in your Section 4.5.
		1039
		1009

1		This is the section we're talking about?
2	A	Okay.
3	Q	Well, I believe that's the section. I want to make sure.
4	A	Okay. Yeah. That's the section that talks about that
5		equation.
б	Q	Okay. And I want to make sure I get this right. I
7		believe in this section you're opining that a dairy CAFO
8		could achieve unsaturated conditions in its lagoon liner
9		if it manages the lagoon in certain situations or in
10		certain ways. Is that fair?
11	A	I'd have to reread it quickly if you
12	Q	Please do.
13	A	Okay. I see that bolding where I think you've gotten
14		that.
15	Q	Are we talking about the same thing, that's the right
16		spot?
17	А	Page 13, top of the first full paragraph.
18	Q	And I believe your opinions there were that, when the
19		lagoon liner is first installed, it's unsaturated; right?
20	А	That's my understanding, yes.
21	Q	And your opinions in this case are that unsaturated flow
22		is less than saturated flow?
23	A	That's more than my opinion.
24	Q	Sure. In regards to limiting the flow through a liner,
25		how would a CAFO that's in operation go about achieving
		1040

1		that?
2	A	I don't operate a CAFO. I can offer an opinion off the
3		top of my head, but I don't run CAFOs.
4	Q	You do work with CAFOs; right?
5	A	I do.
6	Q	And specifically regarding lagoons?
7	A	Some, yes.
8	Q	So along those lines, in your experience, how would a
9		CAFO operator go about managing their lagoons to achieve
10		an unsaturated lagoon condition excuse me an
11		unsaturated liner condition?
12	А	If so you're asking me to solve a hypothetical? I
13		mean, I'm not
14	Q	It is discussed in your report right there, isn't it?
15	А	I do say, if properly managed, there will be
16		circumstances when the liner never becomes fully
17		saturated. Is that what you're referring to?
18	Q	I am, sir.
19		Could you please explain what are some of those
20		circumstances.
21	A	Manage their head differently, manage your loading
22		differently.
23	Q	And how exactly would a CAFO operator manage their head
24		differently?
25	A	Additional capacity in the storage system, timing of
		1041

1		potential removal of basically you're removing your
2		fluid from the lagoon or dropping your fluid level into
3		the lagoon to manage that head driver through the liner.
4	Q	But you have to dry out the liner to achieve an
5		unsaturated condition again?
6	А	Achieve an unsaturated condition? If you drop the head,
7		possibly not, because if you still have flux through the
8		liner into the vadose zone, which would decrease the head
9		enough at the surface of the liner, you may actually have
10		a pressure discontinue in the where you're not pushing
11		it into the top of the liner faster than you're pulling
12		it out of the bottom of the liner.
13	Q	What type of head do you think you'd have to have or how
14		many feet of lagoon material would you have to have to
15		achieve that?
16	A	It would be a lower number than a full lagoon. I'd have
17		to I don't know.
18	Q	It would have to be really close to empty, wouldn't it?
19	A	I wouldn't speculate on that until I knew more about this
20		lagoon you're talking about.
21	Q	Sir, is it fair to say in your expert report you do
22		speculate about that?
23		MS. NICHOLSON: Objection.
24		Argumentative.
25		JUDGE FRANCKS: I'm going to sustain
		1042

1		that.
2		Would you show
3	Q	(By Mr. Snyder) Let me put it this way, sir: We're
4		talking about this section right now. In particular, I
5		believe you opined that an unsaturated lagoon liner that
6		was installed would become fully saturated, depending on
7		some certain circumstances that you make in terms of head
8		and everything else in 5.6 days if the lagoon liner has a
9		permeability of ten to the negative six; is that right?
10	A	I ran that calculation, yes.
11	Q	So if a lagoon gets if the liner becomes saturated in
12		5.6 days, how would a CAFO operator go about getting it
13		unsaturated again?
14	А	So that equation first off, I made a couple other
15		assumptions from that equation. I put in an immediate
16		426.7 centimeter I think it was about 14 and a half
17		feet put in an immediate steady state head on it, just
18		basically the purpose of demonstrating how the equation
19		works.
20		So the first assumption, a immediately loaded to
21		14 feet, run the equation, 5.6 days.
22	Q	And I just want to stop you.
23		That's 5.6 days from unsaturated to saturated?
24	A	For the moisture to fully penetrate that one 30.48 or
25		one-foot liner.
1	Q	I was about to ask you how thick of a liner.
----	---	--
2		You're talking about one foot?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	So then let's say we get to that.
5	A	Mm-hm.
б	Q	How does a CAFO operator go about achieving unsaturated
7		lagoon conditions, again, in that liner?
8	A	It's back to the head driving head force in the
9		liner or in the lagoon itself.
10	Q	And in your experience being around CAFOs, is there ever
11		a time where the manure generation from a CAFO is such
12		that they could do that, they could not have saturated
13		conditions in the liner?
14	А	If they have other lagoon capacity elsewhere in their
15		system, sure.
16	Q	Let's assume they don't. Let's assume they just have
17		this one lagoon.
18	А	If they have one lagoon, they have one lagoon.
19	Q	It would be really tough to do; right?
20	A	It would be tough to do.
21		MR. SNYDER: That's all I have. Thank
22		you.
23		JUDGE FRANCKS: Any redirect?
24		MS. NICHOLSON: I do have a brief
25		redirect, Your Honor.

1		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2		BY MS. NICHOLSON:
3	Q	Ms. Barney asked you about permit terms that would talk
4		about Darcy and seepage and were you aware of any permit
5		terms that invoked the use of Darcy.
6		And I wanted to ask you: Were you under the
7		impression that the fundamental basis for the liner
8		lagoon provisions required application of Darcy because
9		those liners are in a the lagoons are located in a
10		vadose zone? Was that your assumption?
11	A	When we started this effort reading through the permit
12		conditions and its reference back to the implementation
13		guidance, I yeah.
14		I mean, I think it's referring to that loading
15		equation in the implementation guidance, which is Darcy
16		flow equation. And since we have a vadose zone
17		beneath at least potentially commonly do have
18		always do probably anyway, I don't want to we have
19		a vadose zone below a liner. We have to start
20		considering the unsaturated Darcy state on top of the
21		saturated Darcy state.
22	Q	Could I have you look at R-1, Section S4.B, and that's in
23		the PDF on Page 13, and it's on your paper copy on
24		Page 13 as well.
25	A	Okay. Sorry. Which page again?

- 1 Q Page 13.
- ² A I'm on Page 13, S4.
- ³ Q S4.B.
- 4 A Okay. I'm there.

5 And in that -- the last paragraph there where it talks 0 6 about lagoons and other liquid structures built, 7 expanded, or having major refurbishment, complete 8 emptying and re-compaction to restore earthen liner, done 9 after the issuance of this permit must achieve 10 permeability of one times ten to the minus six 11 centimeters without consideration for manure seal, and there must be a minimum of two feet of vertical 12 13 separation between the bottom of the lagoon measured from 14 the outside of the earthen liner and the water table, 15 including the seasonal high water table. 16 Is this a permit -- a provision that you had in mind 17 regarding application of Darcy's law? 18 А Yeah, it is. Talking about permeability -- whenever I 19 talk about permeability, I start thinking about Darcy's 20 law. 21 Q Okay. So this is just another example of what -- when 22 you --23 Α Yeah. 24 MS. NICHOLSON: Okay. As a 25 housekeeping measure, I think I forgot to admit or move

1 to admit his expert report of just I-8. 2 JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay. I-8 is 3 admitted. 4 MR. SNYDER: Your Honor, our 5 objections to that would be only to the extent Dr. Lindsey didn't opine about many of the issues that 6 7 are in that expert report. 8 So we agree the expert report can come in for the 9 issues he did opine on, but for other issues, our 10 objection --MS. NICHOLSON: Your Honor, he wrote 11 12 the expert report for the issues in this case and the 13 issues are issues we brought before the board. He might 14 not have addressed all of them today, but there's no reason to exclude any of his opinions. They were 15 16 presented to the parties prior to his deposition, and 17 they were able to question him on all of it. 18 JUDGE FRANCKS: Yeah. I-8 is admitted 19 for all of the content. 20 (Exhibit No. I-8 admitted.) 21 MR. SNYDER: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 JUDGE FRANCKS: So are you done with 23 redirect? 24 MS. NICHOLSON: I have one more 25 question. 1047

1		JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay. Sorry. I was
2		confused by housekeeping.
3		MS. NICHOLSON: Yeah. I'm sorry. I
4		didn't want to forget that again so I have a little note
5		there.
6	Q	(By Ms. Nicholson) I wanted to ask you, on Page 11 of
7		your expert report so this is back on I-8, and
8		Mr. Snyder was asking you some questions about the liner
9		saturation. Excuse me. It's Page 11. I think I might
10		have said the wrong page.
11	А	Okay. I'm on Page 11.
12	Q	The calculations that you made here, are they assuming
13		saturated conditions?
14	А	Only with respect to a liner. They're not speculating
15		about not assuming that they're saturated conditions
16		in the vadose zone.
17	Q	Right. But you're assuming saturated conditions in the
18		liner?
19	А	For the purposes of those calculations, yes.
20		MS. NICHOLSON: Okay. I think I'm
21		done with questions, Your Honor. Thank you.
22		JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay. Board
23		questions?
24		MR. WISE: I did have a few.
25		JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay. Mr. Wise?
		1048

1		EXAMINATION
2		BY MR. WISE:
3	Q	Good afternoon, Dr. Lindsey.
4	A	Good afternoon.
5	Q	I'm looking at your expert report, Figure 1, the diagram
6		of the vadose zone, which you probably have memorized,
7		but if you want to go to it, I'll give you time.
8	A	I'm there.
9	Q	I'm looking at the perch zone, and I think you said that
10		the vadose zone, by definition, is unsaturated, so I'm a
11		little confused as to what a perch zone is.
12		Is that an area of saturated soil or
13	A	Sorry. The vadose zone is dominated by unsaturated flow
14		conditions. It is predominantly unsaturated being
15		defined by the bottom, the regional aquifer.
16		I didn't say it was totally unsaturated. You will
17		get locally developed saturated conditions in a vadose
18		zone under the right circumstances where, if there is
19		moisture moving downward because you've exceeded field
20		capacity, you would hit a low permeability layer.
21		And that water could potentially collect, if it does
22		collect, and form a small locally saturated interval, but
23		it's still encased within the overall vadose zone.
24		That's what the perched zone or perched water is.
25	Q	Okay. If you had a large enough clay layer, would that

1		eventually have a gradient like the water table? Does
2		is it going to move out of there at some point?
3	A	They will, yes.
4	Q	Okay. And now, what exactly is your definition of a
5		water table? I mean, how is that characterized?
6	А	That's a great question. So when you look up water
7		table, it's commonly defined something to the effect of
8		the first saturated zone that covers a large or regional
9		area.
10		And it's it almost has definitions within
11		definitions, but for all practical purposes, it's that
12		zone of saturation below the subsurface that extends far
13		enough that everybody was assume would say, "That's
14		the water table in our area."
15		Usually it's miles, if not tens of miles, and
16		commonly it's a water resource of some kind. It doesn't
17		have to be. I've seen artificial saturated aquifer
18		systems as well. So it's bigger than these local perched
19		zones.
20	Q	So if a perch zone is big enough, would that establish
21		another water table?
22	A	Yeah, it would.
23	Q	So you could have multiple water table levels?
24	A	You do you can there are a number of hydraulic
25		systems throughout our region where you have multiple
		1050

Capitol Pacific Reporting 800.407.0148

1		water level zones or multiple aquifers stacked one on top
2		of another.
3	Q	And an aquifer is by contrast, is more of a discrete
4		like an isolated sort of pool of water as opposed to a
5		saturated level of soil?
б	A	No. Actually, hydrogeologists, we love to talk jump
7		back and forth between aquifer and water table.
8	Q	Okay.
9	A	And aquifer is a term that's defines or it's the
10		water-bearing system, the aquifer. All aquifers have a
11		water table, if they're unconfined.
12		If they're confined, we commonly call it a potential
13		geometric surface, so
14	Q	Okay. I guess I'm thinking of a confined aquifer.
15	A	Yeah. There are confined aquifers, and most of our
16		discussions the past few days are generally referring to
17		unconfined or water table aquifers.
18		MR. WISE: Okay. Thank you. That's
19		very helpful.
20		THE WITNESS: Welcome.
21		JUDGE FRANCKS: Questions based on the
22		board questions?
23		No? Okay. Thank you.
24		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
25		JUDGE FRANCKS: You're excused.
		1051
		1051

1 Ms. Nicholson, do we have another witness? 2 MS. NICHOLSON: We do. We'd like to 3 call Dr. Shannon Neibergs. 4 JUDGE FRANCKS: The court reporter 5 will swear you in. 6 7 J. SHANNON NEIBERGS, PH.D., having been first duly sworn 8 by the Certified Court 9 Reporter, testified as follows: 10 11 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MS. NICHOLSON: 14 Q Good afternoon, Dr. Neibergs. 15 A Good afternoon. How are you doing today? 16 Q 17 А Real good. Thank you. I'm going to find your tab. Give me one second. 18 Q 19 Could you please look at Exhibit I-9 in the book in 20 front of you. 21 Yes. А 22 And is that exhibit your resume? Q 23 А Yes, it is. 24 Q And could you give us a little bit of your professional 25 experience.

1	А	My professional experience, I started my academic career
2		at the University of Louisville. I was an assistant and
3		associate professor in the equine business program in
4		their college of business.
5		And then in 2006, we were recruited back to
6		Washington State University where I'm an agricultural
7		economist in the Department of Economics at Washington
8		State University. And there, I'm an associate and now
9		full professor.
10	Q	And can you tell me what nonacademic experience you may
11		have.
12	A	Yeah. Prior to going to Texas to get my Ph.D., I worked
13		for the Farm Credit Banks as an internal credit reviewer.
14		And that position where I would have me travel
15		across the Pacific Northwest, looking at loan risk and
16		loans for the Farm Credit Banks, to evaluate loan
17		conditions, loan performance, performance of the bank,
18		and collecting failed loans or bad loans.
19		And that was my experience. It was in the farm
20		financial crisis, so it was a very stressful time of
21		financial risk in the industry.
22	Q	And so when you were looking at that, is that a loan
23		portfolio then for a particular bank? Is that how it
24		works?
25	A	Yes. Each bank, particularly with Farm Credit Banks,
		105

1		their entire portfolio was agricultural loans, and so
2		when we went to the banks, we would look at a random
3		sample of those loans on their handling of good loans and
4		then a concentrated sampling of their handling of bad or
5		nonperforming loans.
6	Q	And would you say that gave you some experience in
7		determining when a bank will make a loan decision?
8	A	Yes. Through that, we were reviewing all their
9		performance reviews and evaluations and loan
10		documentation, underwriting standards, as they're called
11		in general banking terms.
12		MS. NICHOLSON: Okay. I'd like to
13		move to admit Exhibit I-9.
14		JUDGE FRANCKS: I-9 is admitted.
15		(Exhibit No. I-9 admitted.)
16	Q	(By Ms. Nicholson) And I'd like you to turn to
17		Exhibit I-10.
18		And is I-10 the expert report you submitted in this
19		matter?
20	A	Yes, it is.
21	Q	And could you kind of give us an overall summary of your
22		opinion contained in your report.
23	A	All this week we've been addressing AKART, the all known,
24		available, and reasonable methods to control, prevent,
25		and treat discharges.
		1054

1		And in that term "reasonable" I think it's really
2		important to consider the financial capacity and the
3		economics of the industry being in question, in this case
4		the dairy industry.
5		And so my expert report predominantly comments on
6		the financial capacity and performance of the dairy
7		industry over the past few years.
8	Q	And could you give us an overview of the issues that the
9		dairy industries face.
10	A	Well, one thing I think that's been reported and
11		available is that, in 2016 and 2015, the dairies lost
12		money on each cow they had in their inventory.
13		In 2015, it was \$30. In 2016, it was \$40. I'm a
14		little nervous. I can't remember if I got those in the
15		right order, but the point being is they lost money in
16		2015 and 2016, not even covering their costs.
17		And another point to recognize on that is that, as
18		economists, we have to wait for the delay of when
19		information is reported.
20		So even for 2017, the data that I used is not yet
21		available.
22	Q	Okay. So can you tell us why you would consider let
23		me start there.
24		Would you consider this a down cycle for dairy
25		the dairy business?

1	A	Yes. Commonly it's referred to as a negative
2		profitability across the dairy industry, addressing
3		issues of nonprofitability and financial stress, and data
4		that's reported.
5	Q	And is this an uncommonly long period to experience that
6		sort of downturn?
7	A	It's very interesting. Not more interesting, not
8		directly in my expert report, but across the industry.
9		If you look at farm profitability cycles I do
10		this quite a bit in my outreach to farmers on developing
11		risk management plans is that there's typically long
12		periods of average to below average profitability
13		followed by a few periods of good profitability.
14		So when you look at managing risk, when you look at
15		your capital investment, you have to have a long-term
16		horizon to look at potentially being average to below
17		average profitability for several years.
18	Q	So that's the situation we're in, is this sort of
19		downturn.
20		And what do you contribute that downturn to? Any
21		particular factors?
22	A	Yeah. So if you look at the profit equation, the profit
23		equation is very simple, is revenue minus expenses.
24		And so on revenue for a dairy farm, it's going to be
25		milk price times their production. Dairies are very good
		105

at being consistent in improving their milk production, 1 2 so their profitability variability is all tied with milk 3 price. 4 And milk price they have absolutely no control over, 5 and so they have to be price takers. 6 So can you explain that a little bit. How -- normally we 0 7 think of a business, you sell your product at a certain 8 price, and people want it enough, they will pay that 9 price for your product. 10 How is the dairy industry different? 11 Yeah. So the dairy industry -- that industry is, and Α 12 agricultural broadly, because they produce a homogeneous 13 product, it's not differentiated. 14 Also it's produced by a large number of producers. 15 If you had a concentrated industry like a phosphorous 16 plant, for example -- phosphorous plant is a sole source 17 of that good. So they're going to look at their cost of 18 production. 19 And we can go into the economics of that, but 20 basically summarizing it down, they're looking at the 21 cost of production. They're going to evaluate that cost 22 of production. 23 Any environmental remediations is going to be 24 included in that cost of production, and then they're 25 going to price their product to recover that remediation

1 cost. 2 As opposed to dairies, dairies, unfortunately, to look at it, they're all competing against each other. 3 4 All these dairies in Washington, they're competing 5 against their neighbors, and they're competing against Idaho and California, competing in the world market. 6 7 And so they cannot pass that cost on. It's just not 8 available in the marketing system for dairies. 9 Well, could you explain who sets the dairy milk price? Q Okay. That's an interesting question to answer. 10 Α It's 11 also a very complex question. So I'll try to boil it 12 down and say it simply. 13 So dairy products are organized into classes. 14 There's four classes. There's a fluid milk class. 15 There's a yogurt class, yogurt and ice cream. There's a 16 Class 3 that's cheese production, and there's a Class 4 17 that's butter and whole milk powder. 18 So if you look at those classes, the fluid milk 19 class is the highest price overall in the country, and 20 you move down in price across those different classes, and then those different classes have different demand 21

characteristics for each of those components.

22

And in the Pacific Northwest, because of our export markets in proximity to the Asian rim, we predominantly market a higher percentage of our product as Class 4

1		milk, which is a lower price, little more sensitive to
2		international trade.
3	Q	So to to sum up, if I'm summing up correctly, the
4		price of milk in this state is set by the lowest
5		profitability type of milk that we export, which is a
6		powder, and that's set by the international market, that
7		price?
8	A	Yeah. I'm missing communicating a few details on that.
9	Q	Okay.
10	A	So let me build some on that.
11		So on those four classes, there's a proportion
12		that's sold in each of those classes. There's a
13		different value of each of those classes.
14		And so it's a way to average for the order that gets
15		passed back to the milk what's called a mailbox milk
16		price.
17		The mailbox milk price is the milk price the
18		producers receive, and the USDA reports that. The USDA
19		does their calculation and they get the data from the
20		marketing orders on the proportions marketed through each
21		class.
22		They blend that price. Sometimes you'll hear about
23		milk being a blended price. So it's a weighted average
24		price across all those classes to be fair to all the
25		dairy producers in the region that are contributing milk.

1		Because, if you can think about it, the milk gets
2		put into the milk truck, goes on its route, one, two,
3		three dairies are commingling their milk, and it goes
4		into the processing center and gets through the
5		distribution channel.
б	Q	So an individual dairy farmer will never be able to get
7		his own price for his milk because they all contribute?
8		It's all seen as one big product basically?
9	A	That's a very good way to look at it, very succinct in
10		looking at it. And the only exception would be a few
11		dairies are able to niche market and self-brand their
12		milk.
13		And so they try to extract a little bit of revenue,
14		but they have extra risk because they're branding it and
15		processing it on their own.
16	Q	Okay. So what are the natural concerns or restraints
17		applied to dairy producers?
18	А	Excuse me?
19	Q	What other financial concerns or constraints apply to
20		dairy producers?
21	A	Well, the financial constraints, the dairy producers are
22		going to be like any other business, agricultural or
23		nonagricultural, are going to be very concerned with
24		profits and use of debt.
25		And one of the things that dairy producers have to
		1060

1 address is their debts loads and trying to manage their 2 debt loads and procuring debt into the risk of debt. 3 Can I have you look at Page -- actually, can I have you 0 4 look at Page 6 of your expert report? And this is 5 Figure 5. 6 And you can't really see that terribly well. 7 Hopefully you can on your screens. 8 Can you explain what Figure 5 is? 9 Yes. Figure 5 is a dot map of dairy locations in the Α 10 state. I developed this map, using the Washington 11 Department of Agriculture Web face -- Web map interface 12 to develop this map. 13 The small green dots are being lost in the 14 definition -- in the printout. They're a little bit 15 better, but the small green dots represent small dairies, 16 200 and less. There's 144 green dots on that map. Those 17 numbers are reported in the text on I think it would be 18 Page 5. 19 So 144 green dots, 122 yellow dots, which are midsized between 200 and 700 head dairies, and the large 20 21 red dots are above 700 dairies. 22 And there's 106 red dots combining for 371 dairies 23 accounted for in this data set from Washington Department 24 of Agriculture. 25 Q And does that mean that the vast majority of dairies in

1 the state of Washington are of the size from small to 2 medium -- small or medium? Excuse me. 3 Α Yeah. So 106. So out of the 371, that would be the 4 larger, 700. 5 And I would even -- those were the data that was 6 available through that website. 700 cows in the West is 7 not a particularly large dairy anymore. 8 0 Okay. So for these majority of smaller dairies in the 9 state of Washington who can't set their own milk price 10 and they are subject to whatever price they get, an 11 increase in expenses sounds like it could be a 12 significant restraint or problem for them. 13 MS. KINN: Objection, Your Honor. 14 Ms. Nicholson's question assumes that the small under 200 15 cows are covered by this permit, which is not true and, 16 in fact, not in evidence. 17 MS. NICHOLSON: Your Honor, what we're 18 trying to establish are costs to dairy farmers who are --19 would be subject to this permit if they chose to apply 20 for the permit, and we're going to get to what those 21 problems are. 22 So if you indulge us, we'll explain why that -- why 23 the costs matter regarding this permit. 24 JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay. I'm going to 25 allow it.

1 Okay. So you were asking about the importance of cost Α 2 management, so we pretty -- it's clear that they're price 3 takers and they have no control of their revenue. 4 Also, add on the revenue side, there is limited to 5 no -- I say "limited," but essentially there is no risk management tools at their disposal to hedge their risk. 6 7 Oftentimes you hear about the futures market to 8 hedge risk, other government crop insurance programs to 9 hedge risk using crop insurance, but the dairy producers 10 have limited access and availability to use risk 11 management to control their revenue. 12 They do have a -- what's called a margin protection 13 program, which is a USDA farm built program to manage 14 risk, but that has proven to be ineffective, and so it's 15 not been used. It just hasn't been. 16 When they were losing money at \$40 a head and \$30 17 per head in 2015 and 2016, that should have triggered 18 those MPP payments for risk management, and it did not. 19 And so the tools on the revenue side do not exist 20 for dairies, and so they don't have that risk management. 21 So when they're looking at negative profitability, they 22 can't improve their revenue. 23 They have to look at cost management, and then 24 they're going to have to make trade-offs and sacrifices 25 to manage cost as best they can.

1 And typically they can't manage cost to appoint --2 there's not enough management flexibility to turn a low 3 revenue year into profit by controlling cost because the 4 costs are inelastic. 5 You have to pay your labor to milk the cows. You 6 have to feed the cows. You have to pay for your tractors 7 and equipment. 8 So on the cost management side, there's not --9 there's not much flexibility on that part either. 10 So do all these constraints make the dairy business a 0 11 little more vulnerable than most businesses to failure? Absolutely. It's a very high risk, high financial stress 12 Α 13 industry. And even outside or in my work as the director 14 of the risk management center, talking about risk across 15 the western region and you talk to banks, their high risk 16 portfolio is dairy. 17 That's -- that -- you talk to them and agriculture 18 is fine. My risk is in the dairy portfolio. 19 And are you aware of any dairies going out of business in 0 20 this particular down cycle? 21 The number of dairies have been on a decline -- historic Α 22 decline for consistently annually. 23 As you look at this data, the data used to be 24 reported annually. They stopped reporting it annually in 25 2007, but you look at the census data. You look at the

1	number of dairies providing milk into the order.
2	Those numbers, they're on a continual decline,
3	indicating that they're going out of business the
4	number of dairies are going out of business.
5	There are a few bankruptcies that are being reported
6	in the Capital Press, which is a popular press literature
7	on notoriety.
8	But mostly bankruptcies are not you don't want
9	the bank doesn't want to report bankruptcy in the press.
10	The individual dairies don't want to report bankruptcy.
11	And when you're looking at that point, the prudent
12	thing to manage is to manage things so that they don't
13	reach bankruptcy, that you sell your dairy, you
14	liquidate. You try to do something different to protect
15	your equity of investment across that.
16	So to summarize my answer to your question is that
17	the number of dairies are failing. They continue to be
18	under financial pressure, and, so, yeah, their number is
19	declining.
20	MS. KINN: Your Honor, I'm going to
21	have to object on hearsay for any statements Mr. Neibergs
22	makes that are based on Capital Press articles.
23	Those are not independently researched by
24	Mr. Neibergs, and I ask that they be excluded from
25	evidence.

Capitol Pacific Reporting 800.407.0148

1 JUDGE FRANCKS: I think it's the kind 2 of hearsay that he can -- he can rely upon. I'll allow 3 that. 4 (By Ms. Nicholson) So in summarizing that, would you say 0 5 one of the reasons why you don't hear about bankruptcies 6 of some of these dairies, most of which are small to 7 medium, is because these are family businesses and they 8 don't talk about that? 9 It's -- my experience with dairy producers and dairy Α 10 families is that they are -- they are very proud. They 11 are very family oriented. They are very interested in maintaining a legacy of 12 13 their dairy over multiple generations, and having to 14 address financial failure is something that's very hard, 15 including the suicide risk from financial failure. 16 And it's been noted that dairy producers with 17 financial failure have a high rate of suicide risk. 18 Q Thank you. 19 Turning back to your expert report, did we ask you 20 to provide us with some soil sampling costs? 21 Α Yes. Originally --22 And I believe that's on Page 10 of I-10. 0 23 Α Yes. Originally one of the issues when we did the expert 24 report was a comparison to the economic impact assessment 25 report on their cost.

1		And so soil sampling costs was an issue, and so I
2		went out and collected information and put this table
3		together on soil sampling costs.
4	Q	So when I'm looking at your soil sampling costs and
5		I'm just looking under soil depth zero to 12 inches, and
6		then you have divided into east side and west side for
7		spring and fall, that price is \$32.
8		What does that include?
9	A	\$32 only includes the compounds the compounds, I
10		think, are listed previously in Table 3 on what the
11		compound or was it Table 3?
12		Yeah. Table 3 has some data on what compounds are
13		tested, the nitrates and the ammonia, phosphorous, for
14		example. And so those that \$32 cost only includes
15		those lab fee costs.
16	Q	That's lab fee only?
17	A	Lab fee only.
18	Q	So what other costs do you incur with a soil sample test?
19	A	With a soil sampling test, you're going to have to
20		actually collect the data.
21		And if you look below that table, let me see if I
22		can point it out to the board. I don't know, one, two,
23		three, starting at the end of the fifth line, I'll read
24		it and hopefully you can follow it with me.
25		"A soil testing service cost is not included in the
		1067

1		above estimates but is likely to be an additional
2		expense."
3		So the \$32 just reflects the lab fee cost, which was
4		the way the economic impact assessment reported its soil
5		costs, so I wanted to be able to compare apples to
б		apples.
7		But if you're looking at the overall soil testing
8		cost, you're going to have to have a soil collection
9		testing service cost.
10	Q	Okay. So this is a very conservative estimate of soil
11		sample cost
12	A	Very.
13	Q	reflected in your
14	A	Yeah. I tried to be conservative on all the assumptions.
15	Q	So I do you have information on what those soil
16		sampling obtaining a soil sample would cost?
17	A	Yes. Mr. Haggith provided us some information and me
18		some information on that he does this type of work,
19		and those soil testing costs on the west side for the
20		zero to 12 inches would be between 20 and 50 dollars per
21		field sample.
22		And then on the east side, as you go from 12 the
23		first zero to 12 inches and the 12 to 24 inches would be
24		a fifty to eighty dollar cost because you have a deeper
25		depth.

1		And then if you have to go to the 25 to 36 inches
2		cost or the cost to get to that third depth level, that
3		could be as high as \$120.
4	Q	For this is to obtain the sample?
5	А	To obtain each field sample.
6	Q	That's a per field basis?
7	А	Per field.
8	Q	Okay. Is can you let can you tell me, for soil
9		samples on the western side of the state and we're
10		just going to say the first foot.
11		So first foot soil sample, western side of the
12		state, using a total actual cost, including the cost to
13		obtain the sample, what would that be per dairy farm?
14	A	Okay.
15	Q	And what assumptions would you need to make to make that
16		calculation?
17	А	Okay. So let's walk through. We have two or three
18		points that we need to address that estimate.
19		So we have the \$32 for the lab fee cost. I
20		mentioned that the cost estimate range to collect the
21		sample was between 20 and 50.
22		So if we use a conservative \$30, so we have \$30 plus
23		32, which would be 62 , to get the sample and send it to
24		the lab, that would be one cost.
25		But then we also have to multiply it. If you look
		1069
		100)

1		at the number of fields tested in Table 4, down I
2		don't know a few lines, the 12.7 fields.
3	Q	Mm-hm.
4	А	The 12.7 fields was the number of fields used by the
5		economic impact assessment as an estimate of the number
6		of fields on each of those farms.
7	Q	Is that a pretty low estimate?
8	А	It's a conservative estimate based on some other analysis
9		that it's it's conservative.
10	Q	Okay. But using their estimate of was that 12.7? Is
11		that what you said?
12	А	12.7.
13	Q	12.7 fields per farm with the actual cost of \$62
14	А	Yeah. And you multiply those two numbers out. It's \$787
15		and I think 40 cents.
16	Q	Okay. So another 700, 800 dollars per farm for a spring
17		soil sample test?
18	A	Correct.
19	Q	Okay. Let's move to the east side, and tell me what the
20		cost per farm would be for a fall sample in the second
21		and third foot, so 12 to 24 inches and 25 to 36 inches.
22	A	I didn't do that multiplication because I was thinking
23		that the third layer would be only under unique
24		circumstances where the field was identified as a
25		problem.

Capitol Pacific Reporting 800.407.0148

1		But the typical first two layers of zero to 12 and
2		12 to 24 with \$32 of a lab fee for each of those levels,
3		so you'd have \$64 in lab fees. You add another \$60 in a
4		typical field collection fee, and then using the same
5		number of fields, 12.7, that estimate would be \$1,575.
6	Q	Okay. So per farm, we're talking about an \$800 expense
7		and a \$1,500 expense. That doesn't sound like much.
8		Is that going to have a big impact?
9	A	I would say, on the fiscal side or on the financial
10		capacity side, the dairies would be able to absorb that
11		cost as an operating cost. It's not a large cost to
12		restrict their financial capacity.
13	Q	Okay. What about groundwater monitoring wells? You've
14		heard some testimony this week about what those might
15		cost.
16		And what did you hear this week?
17	А	Okay. So the groundwater monitoring wells we've heard
18		Mr. Erickson make costs or make cost estimates of
19		about \$5,000 per monitoring well, with a minimum of three
20		wells per site to look at.
21		Mr. Erickson also mentioned up to eight for a site,
22		and then and then if you look at the application
23		field, that would be
24		MS. KINN: Objection, Your Honor.
25		Mr. Neibergs is testifying to information and facts that
		107

1 are in his expert report. 2 JUDGE FRANCKS: I think he's trying to 3 respond to your expert, so --4 MS. KINN: He's not identified as a 5 rebuttal witness, however. 6 MS. NICHOLSON: All witnesses are 7 rebuttal witnesses. We've reserved that right. 8 JUDGE FRANCKS: I think this testimony 9 is allowed. So those monitoring cost estimates are -- have been 10 Α 11 consistent, consistent from Mr. Erickson's testimony. 12 They're consistent with Mr. Lindsey's experience on 13 groundwater monitoring. 14 So that about \$5,000 cost per well -- monitoring 15 well with more cost if you have to go deeper, of course, 16 and it was deeper, so at a minimum of \$15,000 per site, 17 moving up higher. 18 (By Ms. Nicholson) So at a very, very conservative Q 19 estimate, assuming you only have one site to monitor on 20 your farm, that's at the minimum \$15,000? Minimum. 21 Α 22 Now, how does an expense like \$15,000 affect a dairy Q 23 business with zero margin that you've been describing? 24 Α Yeah. Not only zero but negative margin. It's a significant -- it becomes significant, and it means that 25

1		they have to either borrow money through their operating
2		line or pay for it somehow through reserves or take on
3		additional debt to cover those expenses.
4	Q	And if they don't have a line of credit available to
5		them, then what kind of hard choices do they need to make
6		about their business?
7	A	Well, if they no longer have a line of credit to get it,
8		it's a matter of cash flow. It's a matter of your
9		personal cash flow as well.
10		If you don't have enough cash and really I was
11		thinking about this. Well, I'll just continue along my
12		thought.
13		It's cash flow management, and if you don't have
14		cash, you're not able to borrow against more of your
15		equity or operating line, that's going to be the point
16		where failure has occurred and ramifications of that
17		failure will pursue or be pursuant to that.
18	Q	So it would be a situation where maybe they have to buy
19		lower quality feed or maybe they have to sell some of
20		their cows and downsize the business, something of that
21		nature?
22	A	Yeah. They selling cows might work, but the cows are
23		probably secured, so they wouldn't
24		MS. KINN: Objection, Your Honor.
25		This is speculative. Mr. Neibergs hasn't presented any
		107

1 fact to suggest that he would have firsthand knowledge of 2 any of this. MS. NICHOLSON: I believe he did, Your 3 4 I mean, he's talked about knowing about the loan Honor. 5 industry and credit, and he works exclusively in this area as an economic expert for dairy industry. 6 7 JUDGE FRANCKS: I think it's 8 allowable. 9 Yeah. So we're talking about having negative cash flow Α 10 and ramifications of negative cash flow. 11 I think we can just go across the audience. As you 12 are developing your youth and the cash was tight, 13 negative cash flow is a very serious concern commonly 14 across everybody. 15 And when you're running a business like a dairy, you 16 have negative cash flow, and you mentioned, "Well, I'm 17 going to not feed them as well," that's a negative -- I 18 would not suggest that they do that because it's going to 19 be a negative deal. 20 You're going to decrease your feed -- you're feeding 21 an engine. You're feeding a productive asset. You 22 decrease the quality of gasoline going into that engine 23 or whatever, it's going to gum up the work, maybe create 2.4 health issues. 25 So they really don't have the capacity to, all of a

sudden, buy cheaper feed into the production chain.
 That's not really a good management option for them to
 take.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Do more labor themselves maybe if they can -- if they're not fully committed on labor. Profits -- and probably the first point of sacrifice is going to be their family living expenses.

They're going to -- the money flows into the dairy. They pay their labor, and the profits and the net returns go to the family for profitability and family living expenses.

That's going to be -- they're going to tighten the belt there first and then go into trying to maybe manage labor, ask people to work harder for the same amount of money.

It's just a negative cycle, as you can imagine, cash
 flow problems. People that you know or you've
 experienced yourself, you get into that bind and then
 things start really falling down rapidly.

If they're actually in a cash flow deficit and they're getting to that point and they have payables, they're getting accruing penalties, their cost of obtaining capital -- this is certainly a point that they're going to be valued at high risk. They're going to have to pay a higher interest rate,

1		so they're going to have a higher interest cost to secure
2		capital secure lending. And it's just a negative cycle
3		all around, and you could paint a negative picture
4		through that.
5	Q	(By Ms. Nicholson) Okay. There's one last area I want
6		to kind of address on economic cost basis. So you've
7		heard some testimony. You've been here all week; is that
8		correct?
9	A	That's correct.
10	Q	And so you've heard testimony by Bill Reck and by Ecology
11		and the about the difference between the bottom of the
12		liner.
13		And is it your understanding that there is a
14		difference in that definition? So Ecology thinks it's
15		here and NRCS thinks it's here, and that difference is
16		whatever the thickness of the liner is the difference of
17		opinion on the bottom of the lagoon, what that means.
18		Do you agree with that in a very simplistic way?
19	A	In a simplistic way, the cost of the lagoons and bringing
20		the lagoons up to speed and standards, meeting permit
21		standards is a very significant cost and
22	Q	And can you address that cost? And I believe it's
23		addressed in your report as well, but can you address the
24		cost of a manure lagoon retrofit?
25	A	Yeah. On Page 10, collaborating with Dr. Joe Harrison,
		107

there is some information on lagoon, prefitting costs.
There's another reference to lagoon waste management on
that Liu and Shumway report. That's a reference on a
footnote on Page 11.

5 And so those costs are expensive and really just --6 Can you give us any actual numbers? And these are 0 7 estimates, I realize, but any actual number you heard 8 during testimony this week or from Dr. Harrison? 9 Yeah. And as we heard Mr. Erickson, who is clearly an Α 10 expert in developing lagoons, quote really dramatic 11 prices on those lagoon retrofitting and construction 12 costs, he gave one example where it was \$200,000 for the 13 lagoon reconstruction installation, plus \$200,000 for the 14 lagoon liner cost.

He gave a second example after that of a bigger
 lagoon, which was a \$300,000 construction and fitting
 cost and a \$300,000 liner cost.

And he gave us a third example of a third lagoon that they're budgeted to do, and he noticed some cost savings on his cost example of \$220,000 for the liner and probably matched to the construction costs relative to his previous examples.

23 So the point being, those three costs are hundreds 24 of thousands of dollars up to half a million dollars, 25 close to.

1 We have examples from Dr. Harrison's analysis that's 2 referenced in the report of up to \$500,000 for lagoon 3 liner costs. 4 And in the footnote references their hundreds of 5 thousands of dollars of cost for the lagoon system just 6 to NRCS standards as their design characteristics. 7 Okay. So now we're talking in the hundreds of thousands 0 8 of dollars of cost to an industry that's running with 9 zero margin. 10 What would be the effect of that type of unexpected 11 expense to a producer? 12 Α It's -- you can put yourself in their shoes. Look in 13 that type of capital investment cost, and in thinking 14 about that over this week, it's really -- it's a very 15 significant and serious issue that has to be addressed, 16 in that they have to make a decision on these type of 17 capital investment costs, and let's go through the 18 decisions and let's go through a couple financing

¹⁹ questions to kind of develop this issue.

25

First, on just dealing with uncertainty, if you listen to the popular press on the economy in general and businesses in general and Wall Street and international trade, is that businesses very much do not like uncertainty.

They don't like uncertainty of making these capital

1 investments and then still not meeting litigation risks, 2 and so that's going to hold them back from jumping in. 3 If we do these hundreds of thousands of dollars of 4 investment, we're going to be protected under the permit 5 against -- and there's uncertainty surrounding that 6 issue. 7 And with that uncertainty, they are not -- they're 8 not going to be excited about, "Okay. This is \$100,000 9 that protects me fully." 10 Then you have to consider, well, how are they going 11 to manage obtaining capital for potentially up to a hundred to 500 -- well, we've heard examples of two, 12 13 three, five hundred thousand dollars for these lagoon 14 costs. 15 That's not the only cost. We talked -- we've heard 16 many references that the dairies are going to have 17 multiple lagoons. So it's not only one lagoon, but 18 probably at least two and maybe multiple beyond that. 19 And then so you have to multiply and make that 20 financial plan out to make that significant capital 21 investment, and then you're going to have to go and look 22 at your banker and your financial capacity to expand that 23 debt load and service that debt load. 24 And when looking at a bank, being a little jokingly, I'd hate to be the loan officer that has to collect on a 25
1		manure lagoon, has to repossess a manure lagoon. That
2		was my weak attempt at humor. I'm sorry about that.
3		But the point about that is that they're making
4		these hundreds of thousands of dollars of investment into
5		their operation, but it doesn't improve their productive
6		capacity, and it doesn't improve the value of their farm,
7		meaning that, when you if you're an appraiser, if
8		you're looking at selling your farm, you're looking at
9		buying a farm, the dairy is a very specialized asset.
10		It's highest and best use is being a dairy farm.
11		And having a functional to the a functional lagoon
12		manure management system that meets permit requirements
13		that meets the regulatory requirements, that's an
14		absolute standard, if you're a buyer or seller and you're
15		going to have to remediate that or you're going to lose
16		equity value from that if it doesn't meet those
17		standards.
18	Q	And, again, they can't really run out and get a loan for
19		this; correct?
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	And most of the dairies that you are aware of and you
22		talked to quite a few dairy operators on a frequent
23		basis; is that correct?
24	A	We do extension programs where we go out and do workshops
25		with dairies and all across, yeah, so we do talk to

J. Shannon Neibergs, Ph.D. - Direct by Virginia Nicholson

1		dairies.
2	Q	And do they have a half a million dollars, \$200,000 to a
3		half a million dollars available to them?
4	A	Not based on their comments, not based on their actions.
5	Q	Would you in your opinion, would you expect that type
6		of expense, the up to half a million dollars for one
7		lagoon when there's probably multiple per farm, would you
8		expect that expense to put more dairy producers out of
9		business?
10	A	Yes. And just to continue along along that, they're
11		going to have to look at it. I mentioned that these
12		dairy farmers are very family focused. They're very
13		interested in having a multi-generation legacy on that
14		dairy.
15		And they're going to have to look at their
16		individual situation and go, "Are we going to throw in
17		the towel? Are we going to" throwing in the towel
18		meaning that, "Okay. We can't make this dairy work for
19		our family anymore and our best alternative is to sell
20		the dairy."
21		And that's going to be a very emotional heartbreak
22		for that family as they have to make that adjustment.
23		MS. NICHOLSON: Okay. Thank you.
24		JUDGE FRANCKS: Let's take a
25		ten-minute break at this point and then we'll

1 MS. NICHOLSON: Oh --2 JUDGE FRANCKS: -- get --3 MS. NICHOLSON: -- can I move to admit 4 his expert report, I-10, before I forget? 5 JUDGE FRANCKS: You may. I-10 is 6 admitted. 7 (Exhibit No. I-10 admitted.) 8 JUDGE FRANCKS: So come back at 2:35. 9 (Recess taken from 2:25 p.m. to 10 2:37 p.m.) 11 JUDGE FRANCKS: Have a seat. Let's go 12 back on the record after a break. 13 So, Ms. Barney, is it now your turn? 14 MS. BARNEY: It is, Your Honor. Thank 15 you. 16 JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay. 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION 18 BY MS. BARNEY: 19 Dr. Neibergs, I just have a couple questions on your 0 20 report, if we can look at Page 10 of your report. 21 Α Yes. Under the heading of "Lagoon Inspection and Repair," your 22 0 23 first sentence is, "The lagoon inspection and assessment 24 cost is a relatively small and one-time cost." 25 Is that -- what is that sentence related to?

J. Shannon Neibergs, Ph.D. - Cross by Phyllis J. Barney

1 Α The initial inspection to look to see -- to inspect the 2 lagoon, if it's meeting the permit requirements, would be the first time inspection cost. 3 4 And that may be relatively low cost, based on 5 discussing that with some people on what was done at the 6 inspections. Typically they told me it was a visual 7 inspection. 8 0 Mm-hm. 9 And so then it's just going out and doing that visual Α 10 inspection. So that, in itself, was probably not that 11 large a cost, and that's what led to that sentence. 12 0 So was that the Tech Note 23 that we've been speaking of, 13 that assessment, based on that document? 14 Α That's what I -- yes. 15 Okay. Thank you. Q 16 And then you go on to say, "Lagoon repair and liner 17 installation costs will be specific to" -- basically 18 specific to the dairy, the size, location, and on-site 19 factors; correct? 20 Correct. А 21 Okay. Thank you. Q 22 And I believe, just before we broke, I heard you say 23 that having a lagoon that does meet permit and regulatory 24 standards would be a benefit to a dairy. 25 Is that -- did I hear your testimony correctly?

1	A	Benefit in terms of looking at the appraised value,
2		considering the appraised value of a dairy and what
3		components are needed within the dairy, that somebody
4		evaluating purchasing that dairy, so to speak, that would
5		certainly be a factor that they would consider if they
6		needed to just like if you inspected a house and there
7		was some problems, you have to remediate those problems
8		prior to selling your house.
9		I would imagine that, in selling a dairy or
10		purchasing a dairy, there's going to be inspection,
11		potentially negotiations on the state of the manure
12		management system or the lagoons.
13		MS. BARNEY: Great. Thank you very
14		much. That's all I have.
15		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
16		JUDGE FRANCKS: Ms. Kinn, is it you
17		who gets to question?
18		MS. KINN: Yes.
19		CROSS-EXAMINATION
20		BY MS. KINN:
21	Q	Hello, Mr. Neibergs. We've met. Nice to see you again.
22	A	Likewise.
23	Q	I'm Katelyn Kinn for Puget Soundkeeper.
24		You confirmed with Ms. Williamson [sic] that you
25		were here all week; right?
		1084

Capitol Pacific Reporting 800.407.0148

1	A	Yes. I was here all week, a little bit late on Monday,
2		but a little bit late on Monday because I flew over
3		from Pullman, but here all the week.
4	Q	Okay. And you are an employee of Washington State
5		University; isn't that right?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Okay. And Washington State University is a publicly
8		funded education institution?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	So isn't it true that you're not being paid for your time
11		here this week by the dairy industry?
12	A	That is correct. I am receiving no compensation or even
13		travel expense support to be here, although I'm using
14		my we have we develop operation moneys to execute
15		our work that we develop through grant funds.
16		And we go through the accounting specifically, but
17		I'm using those operation funds as an application of my
18		workload assignment. So just to be perfectly clear on
19		that.
20	Q	So it's the public university that's compensating you for
21		your time this entire week?
22	A	Yes. I'm not I'm not taking vacation time. I've told
23		my supervisors that I was participating in this activity,
24		and they said fine. They didn't tell me to take personal
25		time.

1	Q	And they've compensated your entire appearance in this
2		case?
3	A	Paid my salary, my normal ongoing salary, yes.
4	Q	And in recent years, maybe over the last five years,
5		you've received some grants from the Washington Dairy
6		Federation; isn't that right?
7	A	That is correct. I've done some studies with the dairy
8		federation on economic impact analysis contribution, is
9		what the economist prefer to call it, but economic impact
10		studies, so yeah, at least two.
11	Q	Do those studies identify how they were funded?
12	A	They should. And if they weren't, that would be that
13		would be wrong, but they were funded by the dairy
14		federation.
15	Q	Did you use any of those studies to inform the figures in
16		your expert report?
17	А	I commented I included one paragraph, looking at the
18		overall economic contribution of the dairy industry to
19		the state.
20	Q	And for that figure, you used research that was funded by
21		the dairy federation?
22	А	Yeah. In the expert report, we could be a little more
23		specific and refer to the text because I don't think I
24		used a figure.
25		I thought I used just a descriptive text. Let's see
		100/

1		if I can find it. There it is. Sorry.
2	Q	What page are you on?
3	A	I'm on Exhibit 1-D, Page 5 of 12. It's Page 5 of my
4		expert report.
5	Q	Is this the 5.2 billion figure?
6	A	The 5.2 billion figure. So that's a paragraph
7		summarizing what the dairy contributes in terms of
8		economic contribution and employment to the state,
9		including dairy farms and dairy processing to the
10		wholesale level.
11	Q	Okay. Thanks. I'll be asking you some more about that
12		in a little bit.
13	A	Okay.
14	Q	But for now I want to go to what you were just testifying
15		to in terms of the potential costs
16	А	Okay.
17	Q	of this new permit.
18	А	Okay.
19	Q	So turning to Page let's see Page 7 of your expert
20		report, that second paragraph under "Economic Costs of
21		the New CAFO Permit," would you please read that for us.
22	A	"The cost of implementing," starting with that?
23	Q	Yes, please.
24	A	Okay. "The cost of implementing and executing operations
25		to meet the new CAFO permit requirements will be unique
		1007
		1087

1		to each dairy.
2		"Each of the permit requirements for manure and
3		wastewater testing, soil testing, nutrient application
4		restrictions, and lagoon repairs, if needed, are site and
5		facility dependent."
6	Q	Do you agree with that statement?
7	A	I would agree with that statement just I agree with
8		that statement.
9	Q	Are you aware that only some of the dairy operations in
10		Washington State are covered by this permit?
11	A	Only some are covered, but
12	Q	Okay.
13	A	Yeah.
14	Q	Is it your understanding that each dairy operation is run
15		independently as a business with its own financial
16		circumstances and documents?
17	А	I would say that, yes. I think that's a little bit more
18		complex than what might first appear. Each dairy might
19		have one dairy owner might have multiple dairies.
20	Q	Okay.
21	A	And so there might be some organizational structure that
22		complicates that, but, in general, they're individually
23		owned and operated and have a unique footprint
24	Q	Okay.
25	A	so to speak.
		1088
		1000

1	0	Do you own or operate a dairy operation?
-	×	I de net
2	A	
3	Q	Did you review any financial data specific to any dairy
4		operations that are covered by this permit to inform your
5		conclusions in your report?
6	A	That data doesn't exist, as far as individual the data
7		doesn't exist for me to review, and so that was not a
8		potential avenue of investigation.
9	Q	Did you ask for any of these documents?
10	A	No. Because the and let's let's maybe take a step
11		back as to why not.
12	Q	That's okay. I think "no" is a
13		MS. NICHOLSON: Objection, Your Honor.
14		If he would be allowed to answer the question.
15		JUDGE FRANCKS: He hadn't finished
16		answering, so let him answer.
17	A	That's a very difficult avenue of information to get,
18		particularly for dairies. Dairymen are very close
19		they do not like to share their financial information
20		whatsoever.
21		So going out and asking, "I want to get and look at
22		your financial statements to do this analysis," they
23		would tell me no. They have no obligation to share their
24		personal information.
25		And as you work with agricultural producers,
		1000
		1089

1 dairymen, cattlemen, getting -- procuring those inventory 2 levels, they don't even like to tell you inventory 3 levels. 4 If you know the industry, they don't want to have a 5 premise ID on the cattlemen side. There's just a lot of 6 personal -- from their perspective, they don't share that 7 information. 8 So I didn't have the time to ask, but I would 9 have -- I wouldn't have been successful in that effort, 10 even if I went down that avenue. 11 (By Ms. Kinn) So even though you acknowledge that each 0 12 operation has unique circumstances, you didn't review any 13 profit or loss data for any individual facility for your 14 calculations? 15 Not that -- not that was available. Α 16 Okay. Have you ever reviewed financial documents for a 0 17 specific dairy in Washington State? 18 А In Washington State, there's been a few, and across the 19 country, there's been a few. 20 But none that were covered by this permit; right? 0 21 Α None that were covered by this permit. 22 Turning to Page 3 of your report, just real briefly, can 0 23 you read the last sentence. Let's see. 24 Α On Page 3? 25 0 Yeah. Sorry. One moment.

1	A	Okay.
2	Q	Okay. So if I understand this section, this first
3		paragraph, you were talking about the financial capacity
4		to make debt payments by families who own dairy
5		operations; isn't that right?
б	A	That generally summarizes that.
7	Q	Okay. Did you talk to any families in Washington who own
8		dairy operations to form that?
9	A	I have through workshops talking about that in general,
10		not as work as following up on workshops that I did
11		over in Mount Vernon, again, in Sunnyside area, talking
12		about just general conversations about profitability
13		figures they shared.
14	Q	Were these facilities covered by the permit?
15	A	Not that I know of.
16	Q	Did you cite any of that in your expert report?
17	A	Not directly.
18	Q	Have you read the permit that brings us here this week?
19	A	I have read it. Certainly not I don't have it
20		memorized, but read the general permit.
21	Q	Is it your understanding that dairy operations with less
22		than 200 cows are not categorically required to obtain
23		coverage?
24	A	Yeah. I think, but I think that's an interesting
25		question.

1 Q Okay. Maybe we can get more into it in a moment. 2 Α Okay. Sure. 3 MS. NICHOLSON: Objection. Can he 4 finish his answer? 5 MS. KINN: I think he did. 6 JUDGE FRANCKS: Well, you said you 7 were going to get to it in a minute, so I'm going to hold 8 you to that. 9 MS. KINN: Thank you. 10 (By Ms. Kinn) Okay. Can you turn to Page 11 of your 0 11 report. Can you read the last sentence in that first 12 paragraph. 13 The one that, "It's entirely possible that the lagoon Α 14 requirement in the capital permit will force dairies out 15 of business"? Page 11? 16 Sorry. 0 17 Α Okay. 18 Q So in preparing this report, did you speak to any small 19 dairy operations? Just -- not in an interview sense to collect data. 20 Α 21 Right. Please turn to Page 11 -- I mean 12. Q 22 Α Okay. 23 0 So this paragraph, please read the last sentence, 24 starting with, "To avoid." 25 Okay. Last sentence of the first paragraph. Yes. А 1092

1		"To avoid being classified as a significant
2		contributor, much of the operating practices considering
3		lagoon management and edge of field management required
4		on the new permit will be considered for implementation
5		on small farms to reduce the risk of being classified as
6		a significant contributor of pollutants."
7	Q	So having not talked about this with any of the small
8		dairy operations in this state, you aren't personally
9		aware that any small dairies are considering this, are
10		you?
11	A	Well, I think, if you look at the map that was brought up
12		in the direct testimony of the location of the overlap
13		small dairies, particularly in Whatcom County, in that
14		part of the state, there's a number of neighboring small,
15		medium sized dairies.
16		And my thought on writing that statement would be is
17		that they're going to be risk adverse. They are not
18		going to want to be subject to any environmental lawsuits
19		or confrontation, that they are going to consider what
20		best management practices are being recommended or
21		required for the permit.
22		And they're going to evaluate whether that's
23		appropriate for their farm as well because they even
24		though they're 200 and less, they still are sustainably
25		conscious and want to be good operators of their dairies

1		and operate in the system and be good neighbors.
2		And I just think that as this technology and best
3		management practices get recommended and get implemented
4		through the industry, that they will also consider
5		adopting some of those practices as well.
б		But, no, I did not interview anybody any small
7		dairy that told me, "Oh, I'm going to jump in."
8	Q	So this is just your professional opinion?
9	A	That's correct.
10	Q	Based on their locations essentially?
11	A	That is correct, yeah.
12	Q	Please turn to Page 4. You mentioned you discussed a
13		little bit about nutrient management and additional
14		costs.
15		That last sentence on Page 4 that leads into the top
16		of Page 5, would you please read that.
17	A	"Additional nutrient management costs will put Washington
18		dairies at further competitive disadvantages and could
19		force dairies out of business."
20	Q	Do you stand by that statement?
21	A	Yeah. I think that's a definite conclusion, is you look
22		at there's a number and this is a contra-argument.
23		There's a number of studies that look at
24		environmental regulations, citing operations in areas
25		with more lax environmental regulations.

1		They looked at particularly for dairies, they
2		looked at why certain dairy regional dairy clusters
3		developed in areas I'll just name them. New Mexico
4		was one that got researched and South Dakota is another
5		one that's emerging that had been stereotyped as
6		having lower environmental regulations.
7		And so that expansion of those dairies relative to
8		lower environmental regulations was cited as a reason in
9		those studies that those dairies expanded the number
10		of dairy cows expanded in that area.
11		And so this would be a contra-argument, that if we
12		increase the regulatory requirements and increase those
13		costs, it could be a cost competitive disadvantage.
14	Q	But, again, this opinion is not informed by any firsthand
15		knowledge you have on the ground with any particular
16		operations; right?
17	А	That's correct.
18	Q	I believe that you mentioned just a moment ago that
19		investments and technologies like manure lagoons may not
20		improve the value of a dairy operation.
21		Did I hear that right? Do you recall the deposition
22		that we did earlier this year?
23	А	I recall, yes.
24	Q	And do you recall that we discussed some of the impacts
25		on property value associated with operations like this?
		100

1	A	You'll have to I don't recall specifically, but
2		definitely I'm sure that we did in the deposition as
3		you're bringing it up.
4	Q	Based on what you have heard this week, do you think
5		there are scenarios where an earthen manure lagoon may
6		leak or seep and cause damage to the value of a piece of
7		property ranging from soil to groundwater contamination?
8		MS. NICHOLSON: Objection, Your Honor.
9		Foundation for this witness. He's here to talk about
10		economics.
11		MS. KINN: In his direct
12		JUDGE FRANCKS: You could ask some
13		foundation questions.
14		MS. KINN: Okay.
15	Q	(By Ms. Kinn) You said you've been here all week; right?
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	So you've heard other witnesses testify to details about
18		the permit, and you've enhanced your professional
19		opinions based on them?
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	You testified during your direct exam on some new and
22		enhanced opinions based on what you've heard here this
23		morning?
24	A	Correct. Yeah. Certainly.
25	Q	So based on what you've heard here this week, do you
		100

1		think there are scenarios where earthen manure lagoons
2		may leak or seep and cause damage to the value of a piece
3		of property ranging from soil to groundwater
4		contamination?
5		MS. NICHOLSON: Same objections, Your
6		Honor.
7		JUDGE FRANCKS: I'm going to allow it.
8	A	I'm not at all an expert on hydrology or the ongoing
9		debate on the amount of seepage and what happens, but
10		those it would if there is a problem, that's
11		certainly going to affect value. You know what I mean?
12		To say otherwise would be just wouldn't be
13		truthful. I mean, you got to admit, but you also have to
14		qualify that "if" and how pervasive and the number of
15		other factors that are site specific.
16		And so I'll leave it at that.
17	Q	(By Ms. Kinn) Thank you. You testified about the losses
18		incurred per cow for dairy operations in the Pacific
19		Northwest in 2015 and 2016; right?
20	A	Mm-hm.
21	Q	Can you please recall those figures for us?
22	A	I think that most consistent ones were the loss of \$40
23		and \$30 as presented in Figure 3.
24	Q	Where do you garner those figures?
25	A	They're garnered from the source that's cited that Frazer
		1097

1 LLP Dairy Farm Operating Trends, which is an accounting 2 firm that that does a tremendous service to the industry 3 across the West, as you can see, all the regions and 4 states reported that would report this information that 5 would be available. 6 And no other -- no other publication at all because, 7 as I mentioned, the dairy financials are very -- they're 8 very conservative with sharing those, but these 9 accountants are able to put their portfolio of work 10 together and make this information available, which is of 11 high value. 12 Do you review all of those reports as they're issued? 0 13 As I can. I don't get to only track dairy operations in Α 14 my workload, so it really -- I only get to review them as 15 the need arises to evaluate those -- those as they're 16 available. 17 Are you aware of any more recent profit numbers that may 0 have been released in 2017? 18 19 They released their first six months of 2017, but they Α 20 have not yet released the June -- the second half yet. 21 0 Do you recall what the profit per animal was in the first half of 2017? 22 I believe -- you may have it in front of you. 23 Α I'm 24 thinking it was a gain of \$95. 25 0 That's a substantial gain from 2015 to 2016, isn't it? 1098

Capitol Pacific Reporting 800.407.0148

1 Α When you're losing money to a gain, yes, it is. But I 2 would be cautioned on thinking that -- we don't know. 3 I would expect the \$95 to come down a little bit 4 over the whole year, but we haven't seen those figures. 5 In fact, I looked at this this morning, thinking that you 6 might bring that up. Still not released, so we don't 7 know. 8 But that variability is important and for -- and 9 you're correct in the first six months of that report, 10 that had gone up. 11 And you were surprised by that increase, weren't you? 0 12 Α I was surprised by that increase. And I was surprised by 13 that increase because all the milk price -- I do get to 14 look at different monthly, weekly reports that are 15 reporting milk price. 16 And the milk price wasn't really being that strong, 17 but -- so, yeah, I was a little surprised based on the 18 news reports and other things that I was looking at as it 19 comes across my desk that it was that strong. 20 Turning to Page 3 of your expert report, Figure 3 on the 0 21 net income per milking cow per year, this figure includes 22 operations that have less than 200 cows; isn't that 23 right? 24 That, I'm not sure of because I don't know if -- I don't Α know if the underlying data -- if they've ever reported 25 1099

1		the distribution of herd size relative to those numbers.
2	Q	Do you know that they're separated out?
3	A	Do I know that they are?
4	Q	Yes.
5	A	I do not know that they are.
6	Q	And then quickly turning to Page 8, you discussed the
7		cost of lab tests?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Did you conduct research to inform your conclusions in
10		this section?
11	А	What I did was an internet search of the available labs,
12		which are referenced on those hyperlinks in the report.
13		On trying to obtain that, made some calls, talking to
14		those people on the lab tests both for the manure sample
15		and the soil samples on trying to get that.
16	Q	Did you get any information from operations that have
17		actually had these tests conducted?
18	A	No.
19	Q	And then turning to Page 5, here we are returning to your
20		\$5.2 billion figure.
21	A	Okay.
22	Q	What is this figure to you?
23	A	The 5.2 billion?
24	Q	Yes.
25	А	Okay. So the total 5.2 billion economic contribution is
		1100

Capitol Pacific Reporting 800.407.0148

1		the aggregate direct and indirect economic contribution
2		of the dairy industry to the state.
3		So it includes that direct milk sales and production
4		to the dairy farms, plus the wholesale milk sales out of
5		the processors as they market that milk. And then that's
6		the direct.
7		And then the indirect aspect of that is that
8		employees that work for those firms, they go out in the
9		community. They're spending money on insurance. They're
10		spending money on clothes.
11		They're spending their money on local businesses
12		that further multiplies that's what they call it.
13		They call it a multiplication factor, and that multiplies
14		the economic multiplies the direct economic
15		contribution.
16	Q	Isn't it true that you used a computer model to calculate
17		this number?
18	A	That is correct.
19	Q	And that you had control over the inputs and conducted
20		research on how to which inputs to put in; right?
21	A	That is correct. We did do that research.
22	Q	I'm going to ask you about some externalized costs.
23		Are you familiar with what externalized costs are?
24	A	You better define that so everybody is on the same page.
25	Q	Okay. So an externalized cost of a business may be a
		1101
		1101

1		cost that the business itself does not incur but is put
2		upon the community by way of that business's operations.
3	А	Okay. Yeah. Sometimes we call that negative
4		externalities.
5	Q	Negative externality.
6	A	Yeah.
7	Q	Okay. Did you consider any negative externalities in
8		your calculation of the \$5.2 billion figure?
9	A	No. That's relative to those type of studies. That
10		would be external to the scope and not part of that.
11	Q	In the event that a CAFO were causing groundwater
12		pollution, wouldn't that be a negative externality on the
13		community and the people of Washington State?
14	А	Well, just to be clear, I don't know about what those
15		negative externalities are. I have no data on those
16		negative externalities, and so it's probably best that I
17		don't comment on that as I've never done any research on
18		that.
19	Q	Okay. Did your \$5.2 billion figure include the costs of
20		groundwater remediation and cleanup on the families who
21		drank that water?
22	A	No. It would not have included that.
23	Q	Did it consider the costs of surface water pollution
24		caused by industrial dairy operations in Washington
25		State?

1	A	Within that study, there was no indication that any
2		negative externality occurred, so it wasn't within the
3		scope.
4	Q	Did it consider
5	А	So it wasn't within the scope, so it would not have
6		been there's just no application to that relative
7		to the 5.2 billion.
8	Q	Okay. Did it consider the cost of environmental cleanup
9		and rehabilitation?
10	А	Again, outside of the scope of the study, so it was not
11		included.
12	Q	Okay. And did it include the impacts on the shellfish
13		industry of Washington?
14	A	Again, no indication that the dairy industry is directly
15		responsible for that and no data to include any analysis
16		of that.
17	Q	Did you include the impacts on salmon fisheries in the
18		state of Washington?
19	A	Again, similar to the shellfish, I don't have any direct
20		data or evidence of that.
21	Q	Did you include any healthcare costs, from asthma to
22		preterm birth to blue baby syndrome that may result from
23		groundwater contamination in drinking that nitrate-filled
24		water?
25	А	No. There was no indication of I had data on that as
		1103

1 well. 2 MS. KINN: That's all I have. Thanks. 3 THE WITNESS: You're welcome. 4 JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay. Board 5 questions? Or redirect? Sorry. 6 MS. NICHOLSON: None from me. 7 JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay. Board 8 questions? 9 I did have one. MR. WISE: Yeah. 10 EXAMINATION BY MR. WISE: 11 12 Good afternoon, Dr. Neibergs. 0 13 Good afternoon. Α 14 I just had some questions about some of the figures in Q 15 your report. 16 Okay. Α 17 I wanted to look first at Figure 4, which I've lost track Ο 18 of. Where is it here? 19 Page 4 as well. Α 20 Page 4. Okay. Total cost of operations per cow, I see 0 21 that Pacific Northwest has one of the highest costs, you 22 indicated. 23 Do you have any idea of why they have the highest 24 cost? 25 So I looked through the cost lines across the different Α 1104

1		regions reported in that figure and tried to say, is
2		there one, you know, notable outlier cost, whether it be
3		feed, whether it be labor, or whatever.
4		But there was it was just a general higher cost
5		across all that categories and that I couldn't attribute
6		it to one factor alone.
7	Q	So there wasn't anything specific to environmental
8		regulation?
9	A	No. And environmental regulation in compliance cost,
10		I've never seen that broken out on a dairy financial
11		statement.
12	Q	Okay. And then Fig the next figure or let's see.
13		Figure 3.
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	I see that Idaho is seems to be doing fairly well.
16		Do you have any idea why?
17	А	Idaho jumps up and down, and these numbers jump up and
18		down, and Idaho is a very important dairy state.
19		You know, California, Idaho, and Wisconsin, and
20		Idaho has some unique characteristics with Chobani and
21		the dairy industry at that point, and so I don't know
22		exactly why that variation in Idaho occurred.
23	Q	Okay. And the same for New Mexico on the other end? You
24		don't have any idea what's going on down there?
25	A	I've been in New Mexico as well, visiting dairies and

1 participating and being a role as dairy financial 2 workshop. And they're a cheese market outlet. As I 3 4 mentioned -- discussed, different classes. In Washington 5 we're a Class 4. They have a higher predominance. 6 In New Mexico, it's Class 3, and it's cheese, and so 7 it's very tied to the cheese market. And they come -- my 8 colleague down there who is an expert talks about lack of 9 competitiveness between the cheese factories. 10 And --11 Interesting. 0 -- so they have a lot of heated economic discussions on 12 Α 13 competitiveness and pricing as you can imagine, which 14 occurs in other agricultural industries as well, but that 15 was New Mexico -- my experience with New Mexico. 16 Okay. But, again, you don't -- you don't see any 0 17 connection to the amount of environmental regulation in 18 these costs? 19 Not -- not in that data, yeah. Α 20 0 Okay. 21 It's just not separated out. Α 22 Table 4 on Page 10, there's costs -- it looks like these 0 23 costs are just lab costs alone? 24 That is correct. А 25 0 And there's cost for the various soil depths, and I -- it 1106

1		was either testimony or some of the prehearing briefing,
2		it was talking about how much more expensive it would be
3		to go three feet down versus one foot.
4		And I don't really see that reflected in this table.
5		Am I missing something? It looks like it's \$32 no matter
6		how deep you go?
7	A	That's correct. And that deals with the sample
8		collection cost is not included in that table.
9	Q	So that would be would that vary?
10	A	That would be an addition and based on information
11		subsequent to writing that report. We talked about that
12		ranging up to a hundred to get to that third foot level
13		would the collection cost would be \$120 per field
14		because that's a deeper sample than typical and just more
15		rigor involved with getting to that depth. So \$120 for
16		the collection cost for that third layer, if needed.
17	Q	Do you know how much for the 12 to 24 level?
18	A	Twelve to twenty-four, the cost estimate range was 50 to
19		80 dollars for the two samples combined for collecting
20		the two depths combined.
21	Q	And the zero to 12 inches?
22	A	The zero to 12 inches on the west side was 20 to 50, and
23		we went through an example where we used 30, but 20 to 50
24		on the west side just to get the first 12 inches cost.
25	Q	Okay. So the lab costs aren't really the difference.

1		It's the collection cost?
2	A	Collection costs.
3	Q	Okay. Also on Page 10, you were talking about lagoon
4		inspection repair, and you said you gave a figure for
5		installing a lagoon liner.
6		Do you have any idea what kind of liner? It sounds
7		like we have everything from Cadillacs to dirt, so I just
8		wondered, you know, what level of liner you're talking
9		about there.
10	A	That, I can't recall without investigating the reference
11		slides. It's work that Joe Harrison had reported on and
12		that he shared with me to use to get those cost
13		estimates.
14		So I can't tell you specifically relative to
15		Mr. Erickson's specific on his different liners of
16		what that liner was.
17	Q	Okay. And, likewise, do you have any idea what lagoon
18		costs you're talking about there or should I
19	A	Up to the 500,000, you mean?
20	Q	Yeah. I mean, it just references lagoon costs there, and
21		I just wondered what that was.
22	А	Yeah. They were three case studies that Dr. Harrison
23		investigated and extracted those cost estimates.
24	Q	Okay. Last question: If do you have any have you
25		looked at any statistics on what happens to these dairy
		1108

1		properties if they do go out of business?
2	A	I have information on what they what they do if they
3		go out of business. And working and visiting with Farm
4		Credit Banks and talking with the dairies, it's a very
5		cutthroat deal because what happens is that if a if
6		the bank tries to sell this property, the rest of the
7		dairymen let the price fall like they're going to find
8		the bottom.
9		So and one case in specific is, one person had
10		sold his dairy, built a new dairy, and the first dairy
11		sale did not materialize, and it was his dairy has
12		been on the first dairy has been on the market for two
13		years.
14		So the point being is the value falls out of the
15		bottom if it's a collected property, and that's one of
16		the reasons why I mentioned on the banks that the
17		their dairy loan portfolio is their highest risk
18		portfolio because they know on their collateral
19		collection value that the rest of the dairymen who would
20		buy these would let that value fall absolutely as far as
21		possible.
22	Q	It just seems like if a dairy landowner was going to go
23		out of business and wanted to maximize his profit, he
24		would probably think about selling it to a developer.
25		Would that

A Yeah. Absolutely. Absolutely. And in this particular
case, it was in New Mexico with very limited development
potential as to why that value is negative and holding,
but you're right.

5 In other areas, if there's development pressure, 6 that would definitely be a factor, and if you trace back 7 the growth in Idaho's dairy industry, a lot of it is 8 attributed to selling dairies in California with high 9 development value and then reinvesting it into dairy 10 operations with lower value.

Q And last question: Have you seen any trend where larger dairies are buying up the small dairies and sort of consolidating?

A Not so much that the large ones are buying small because the economy is the size -- they would not -- if you have a 3,000-cow dairy footprint, you're not interested in operating a 200-cow dairy management facility.

But what happens is that, say, a dairy has 1,000 cows on this footprint. He's an expert and successful in managing this 1,000-cow dairy footprint, he'll go over here and purchase -- you know, buy somebody out maybe or make a deal or develop another thousand-cow footprint over here.

So there's one dairy owner with different
footprints, but if they're large, thousand cow plus,

1		they're not going to be interested in buying a few
2		hundred size cow dairy.
3	Q	So because they're on separate properties, there's no
4		economy scale or whatever? I mean, it doesn't help that
5		you have that many more cows for profitability since
6		they're not in the same location?
7	А	It's not as pronounced. There may be some, but it's not
8		as pronounced.
9		MR. WISE: Okay. Thank you very much.
10		THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
11		JUDGE FRANCKS: Ms. Brown?
12		EXAMINATION
13		BY MS. BROWN:
14	Q	Just a couple questions. So to follow up on that last
15		the questions that Mr. Wise asked, so larger operations
16		with a lot of cattle dairy cows aren't necessarily more
17		profitable, are you saying that or
18	A	I would say that they are gaining economies of size, and
19		what happens is that they're making a low margin on more
20		head.
21		So if they're making a \$5 margin on a thousand
22		heads, that would be 5,000. If they're making \$5 margin
23		on 10,000, they're making 50,000.
24		But that margin might improve from five to six
25		because their cost structure decreased and their
		1111
		1111

1 efficient increased.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

25

And a good example of that is thinking about labor efficiencies. You're a 200-cow dairy. You have labor that's doing the mechanics. They're working -- they're feeding the animals.

They're running the milking parlor. And they're doing multiple tasks across the dairy. If you have a 3,000-cow dairy, you got one guy driving one tractor, doing one function through all the barns. You got a milking crew that's doing that function, and they're working in the milking parlor working 24 hours a day.

12 And so you get labor specialization as an example of 13 those economies of size that can be gained.

Q So I was wondering if, as the financial pressure increases on the dairy industry, does that push them toward larger operations?

17 A Yeah. I think it fits into Mr. Wise's question, is that 18 with the 200-cow dairy in Whatcom County, that 19 development, you know, the development value is much 20 greater.

And you move to your bigger cow dairies, you're gaining efficiencies of size and the competitive factors through my presentation and comments has all been about the financial capacity of dairies.

And as you get bigger, you have -- there's just

1 financial efficiency gains that are realized that drive 2 it to being bigger. And so the industry trends are the decrease in the 3 4 number of farms but the number of cows increase. And so 5 the reason that happens is, those farms get bigger, 6 crowding bigger. 7 I mean, we could have a -- it's a really interesting 8 discussion on managing how many cows are on your farm, 9 but that's a little bit external to that but a real 10 fascinating discussion. 11 Another question I had was, you talked about how milk 0 prices can't -- don't really go up or can't go up easily, 12 13 that they're kind of fixed because of -- it's all the 14 same, I guess is -- is kind of what I took away from 15 that. 16 But then I got to thinking what about all the 17 different kinds of milk like, you know, based on how the 18 cow is treated and no hormones and all that, and seems 19 like there's different pricing on all that. Doesn't that affect it? 20 21 Α Some differentiation. And the point I was hoping to try to communicate is that one individual dairy farmer that 22 23 isn't differentiating his milk, isn't trying to label his 24 own milk, but putting his milk into the big trucks that 25 you see is a homogeneous product that goes into the pool

1	that's set by the overall supply and demand conditions as
2	the milk is being used for these different purposes.
3 Q	Okay.
4 A	And so the no BST, no hormone, that gets back to the
5	production chain that's able to segregate out that no
6	hormone milk and market along those you know,
7	labeling, packaging, and delivering and marketing those
8	different values.
9	MS. BROWN: Okay. Okay. Okay. All
10	right. Thank you.
11	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
12	JUDGE FRANCKS: Questions based on the
13	board questions? Ms. Nicholson?
14	MS. NICHOLSON: None from me.
15	MS. BARNEY: None from Ecology.
16	MS. KINN: None from me.
17	JUDGE FRANCKS: Okay. Thank you very
18	much. You are excused.
19	Ms. Nicholson, where do we stand?
20	MS. NICHOLSON: Well, I don't have
21	another witness that can fit into an hour time slot.
22	So
23	JUDGE FRANCKS: That's actually okay.
24	In honor of the fact that it is Memorial Day weekend, I
25	would be happy to wrap up right now unless someone has
	111

strong objections. MS. NICHOLSON: That works for me, Your Honor. JUDGE FRANCKS: All right. So we will reconvene -- and I'll probably send everyone a letter on this -- on June 5th, 9:00 a.m., here. б Thank you. We are off the record. (Proceedings adjourned at 3:24 p.m.)
1 CERTIFICATE 2 I, ANDREA L. CLEVENGER, a Certified Court 3 Reporter in and for the State of Washington, residing at 4 Olympia, authorized to administer oaths and affirmations pursuant to RCW 5.28.010, do hereby certify; 5 6 That the foregoing proceedings were taken stenographically before me and thereafter reduced to a typed 7 8 format under my direction; that the transcript is a full, true and complete transcript of said proceedings consisting 9 of Pages 900 through 1116; 10 11 That I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any party to this action, or relative or employee 12 13 of any such attorney or counsel, and I am not financially 14 interested in the said action or the outcome thereof; 15 That upon completion of signature, if required, the original transcript will be securely sealed and the same 16 17 served upon the appropriate party. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my 18 19 hand this 18th day of June, 2018. 20 21 Mithen I Ulwingue 22 (Court Reporter, CCR No. 3041) 23 24 25 1116